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SECTION 1: INTRODUCTION
THE VISION VERSUS REALITY

BY: Oklahoma Attorney General W.A. Drew Edmondson

As President of the National Association of Attorneys General (NAAG), 2002-2003, it is my privilege to select a presidential initiative for consideration by Attorneys General during my term of office. When asked about our expectations for end-of-life care, most of us share the same vision: to be free of pain; to be at home surrounded by family and friends; and to have our wishes known and honored. Despite these expectations, we continue to hear stories recounted by family members of patients who endure needless pain and frustration when legal or medical professionals discount their wishes. Advocates for improvement of end-of-life care have been aware of this reality every day, in every state, for decades.

The cornerstone of my initiative centered on three regional listening conferences to provide an opportunity for Attorneys General to hear compelling stories recounted by the mothers, sisters, children and spouses who were thwarted in efforts to fulfill the wishes of loved ones. We challenged national experts to testify before assembled panels of Attorneys General and to address the three questions repeatedly raised by consumers: “Will my pain be managed?” “Will my wishes be known and honored?” and “Will I receive competent care?”

These clinicians and health care advocates reported that some of our state legislatures and professional licensing boards are beginning to adopt policies to encourage better pain management, to clarify the role of opioid analgesics, and to address physicians’ fears of being investigated for inappropriate prescribing of controlled substances. We also heard that there is still a significant gap between policy and practice.

More than 50 attendees from Attorney General offices across the country listened and learned about the barriers that persist, despite legislation in every state, to provide advance care directives, health care proxies and powers of attorney.

We listened to physicians and nurses who cited deficits in educational requirements in medical, nursing, social work and pharmacy schools for end-of-life health care. As consumers, we expect these professionals to be trained in pain management and be informed regarding health care choices, such as hospice. To the contrary, statistical studies reflect a lack of education as a major factor contributing to substandard care near the end of life.

The emerging role of Attorneys General in the protection of these consumers of health care is a challenge and a call to partner with clinicians and advocates to eliminate legal and policy barriers to safeguarding the rights of patients and families.

My initiative, to examine our role as Attorneys General in improvement of care near the end of life, originated when I first listened and learned of this discord between the expectations and experiences of terminally ill patients. Invitations to attend national health care conferences were extended to me, not because of my political office, but because of the leadership role of my wife, Linda Edmondson. Linda worked as a hospital social worker for many years and then in a leadership role as director of the Oklahoma Association for Health Care Ethics and administrator of the Robert Wood Johnson grant to the Oklahoma Alliance for Better Care of the Dying.

It was at one of these conferences that I heard Myra Christopher, president and chief executive officer of the Midwest Bioethics Center, cite the statistic that 80 percent of us express the wish to die at home, surrounded by family and friends, free of pain and without unwanted medical intervention to prolong our suffering. Myra continued to explain that 80 percent of consumers actually die in pain, in hospitals or nursing homes, receiving unwanted extraordinary medical intervention. This presentation triggered my personal exploration as to what I could do to remove the legal barriers that contribute to this tragic statistical gap.

As the highest law officers in our respective states, we are charged with representing our constituents, regardless of economic, social or political status, in matters affecting the public interest. This charge includes protection of the dying. Our role as Attorneys General in protection of the reasonable expectations of consumers of end-of-life care offers an opportunity to address and assist in resolution of this conflict between the law as written and the law in action.

This past year, listening to Attorneys General, consumers and health care advocates who have been passionately committed to this issue for decades, I have been reminded of a statement by President John F. Kennedy at a news conference. One of the female correspondents asked, “Mr. President, what have you done for the ladies of this country?” He replied, “Well, I am certain it has not been enough.” Whatever we have done to improve health care for patients near the end of life in our states, from Maine to California, these conferences have made us acutely aware that it certainly has not been enough. Acting through my assistant attorneys general as advisors, interpreters and advocates, I am privileged to serve the people of the state of Oklahoma as a vital force in the creation of a legal environment that promotes therapeutic jurisprudence in regard to this critical issue that ultimately affects all of us.

As President of NAAG, I can imagine no finer legacy for a public servant than to reduce human suffering in our states. As my term as President of NAAG comes to an end, I look forward to the beginning of a commitment by the National Association of Attorneys General to the protection and representation of these most vulnerable consumers in our respective states.
When Oklahoma Attorney General Drew Edmondson assumed office as President of the National Association of Attorneys General (NAAG), 2002-2003, he announced that he would devote his presidential initiative to the role of Attorneys General in the protection of consumers of health care near the end of life. Despite caution from advisors that he consider a more popular political issue in an election year, he remained resolute. As a long time advocate for improved end-of-life care, it has been my great privilege to participate in Attorney General Edmondson’s commitment of NAAG to this critical issue.

To place this important initiative in perspective, certain events are relevant. In the November 1995 issue of the Journal of the American Medical Association, the Study to Understand Prognoses and Preferences Regarding Treatment, SUPPORT, was published. At a cost of $28 million, this study, conducted in five of the most highly respected medical institutions in the United States, yielded alarming and irrefutable results. The researchers reported that, prior to death, participants in the study were isolated in hospital intensive care units for extended periods, averaging 10 days. Many were unconscious, hooked up to machines, until the moment of death. The wishes of those who had executed advance directives were often ignored with the costs of unwanted medical treatment, resulting in financial devastation to their families. Of those who were conscious at the time of death, more than half were in severe to acute pain.

In a USA Today article, “Breaking the Silence on Death,” Doug Levy reported that researchers in the SUPPORT study observed “doctors are so oriented toward keeping people alive, they are reluctant to shift toward keeping patients comfortable as they die.” He concluded that the study cast a pall over any claim that improvements in the health care system would occur.

Fortunately, reformers in the end-of-life movement were less pessimistic. Those who had started hospices in the 1970s viewed SUPPORT as an opportunity to re-energize efforts to improve care of the seriously ill and dying, regardless of where they received health care. A new robust phase of the national movement emerged focusing on the necessity of training doctors and nurses in pain management and palliative care, establishing clinical standards for end-of-life care, ensuring the readiness of institutions, reforming health policy and engaging the public.

Significantly, even before SUPPORT, this challenge captured the attention of Attorneys General. Former Nevada Attorney General Frankie Sue Del Papa convened a state end-of-life commission. In Maryland, Attorney General Joe Curran empowered Assistant Attorney General Jack Schwartz to assume a role in these issues. With their encouragement, following the publication of SUPPORT, a panel on end-of-life issues was convened at an annual NAAG meeting. Following that presentation, some Attorneys General, including Attorney General Edmondson, joined with end-of-life care coalitions in their respective states to support this national movement.

As I have worked with Drew this past year, I have been reminded of another leader who was not always guided by what was popular or deterred from his vision of public service by political caution. In describing his job as President of the United States, Abraham Lincoln said, “What I want is to get done what the people desire to have done, and the question for me is how to find that out exactly.”

I believe that readers will recognize in this report on Attorney General Edmondson’s “listening” conferences a marvelous mechanism for finding out exactly what the people want done. It provides tangible evidence of participatory democracy at its best as a result of his vision, courage and leadership. I am confident that it will be a valuable resource for Attorneys General and their staff for years to come.

My only regret is that the written word cannot capture the excitement and electricity generated at his conferences. As I speculate on the impact of this initiative on Attorneys General across our country and their role in improving end-of-life care, my belief in the truth of Andrew Jackson’s statement is confirmed, “One man with courage makes a majority.”

Myra Christopher, President and CEO
Midwest Bioethics Center
Kansas City, Missouri
May 2003
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I wish to also acknowledge the invaluable assistance of Oklahoma Assistant Attorney General Annette Prince, who has been my primary staff resource during this year of exploration and work. In preparing for and implementing these conferences, Annette and I have also been aided by Oklahoma Attorney General staff members, First Assistant Tom Graber, Director of Communications Charlie Price and Executive Secretary Suzy Thrash.
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Oklahoma Attorney General W.A. Drew Edmondson
A virtual tsunami wave of caregiving needs is racing toward us. It will test us in many ways. The 78 million baby boomers are aging. Caring for the most ill, infirm and advanced elderly among us is the central social and moral challenge confronting that generation. Attorneys General are on the front lines of consumer protection and, as such, have vital contributions to make to solving many of the dilemmas facing us.

Among these dilemmas, people die in this country in appalling ways. A number of groundbreaking reports confirm serious deficiencies in the way America cares for dying people and their families. The 1995 SUPPORT Study, the 1997 Institute of Medicine Report, Approaching Death: Improving Care at the End of Life, and the 1999 Brown University study, Prevalence and Treatment of Pain in US Nursing Homes, detail significant shortcomings in care for those nearing the end of life and shocking gaps in care for our most vulnerable members of society. These and other studies provide a substantial body of scientific data that describe barriers to care and gaps in knowledge. Together, their rigorous data document that “far too many people needlessly suffered physically, psychologically, spiritually and socially at the end of life. Their preferences regarding care were too frequently ignored and their families often left in emotional despair and financial ruin.”

Opinion polls reveal that most Americans would prefer to die at home, free from pain and with their loved ones. Yet they often die alone in hospitals or nursing homes, in pain and attached to life support machines they may not want.

Experts and the public generally agree that the best care near the end of life treats the whole person — mind, body and spirit. Yet the primary funding mechanisms for end-of-life care — Medicare and Medicaid — are not designed to provide comprehensive care, known as palliative care.

Despite the general agreement of medical experts that at least 90 to 95 percent of all serious pain can be safely and effectively treated, at least half of the people diagnosed with serious illness report unrelieved pain and other distressing symptoms.

Physicians, pharmacists and front-line health care workers lack sufficient understanding of hospice and other models of interdisciplinary palliative care.

Too few clinicians and health care institutions are aware of indicators for quality of care for the dying, and know how to assess pain or understand options for pain management and other aspects of palliative care.
Despite several studies that recognize the importance of spirituality in the care of dying people, their pastoral and spiritual needs are largely unmet. The Institute of Medicine report concludes, “Too many people suffer needlessly at the end of life both from errors of omission and errors of commission. Legal, organizational and economic obstacles conspire to obstruct reliably excellent care at the end of life. The education and training of physicians and other health care professionals fail to provide them with the attitudes, knowledge and skills required to care well for the dying patients. And our current knowledge and understanding are insufficient to guide and support the consistent practice of evidence-based medicine at the end of life.”

The report Means to a Better End: A Report on Dying in America Today reinforces the urgency of the problem. “The need for serious efforts to figure out how to best care for dying people and their loved ones is becoming more urgent every day. A large aging population, increases in the incidence of chronic disease, and the reduced availability of paid and unpaid caregivers, among other factors, must soon focus more attention on ways to improve the care we offer individuals nearing the end of their lives.”

Promising Practices
Although the health care system in America is clearly in crisis, a number of innovative demonstration projects, partnerships and collaborations are making substantial progress in our ability to engage consumers, tackle policy issues, create useful training tools for health care professionals and improve the way institutions – even those involved in the most challenging clinical situations – care for dying patients.9

These initiatives almost always involve interdisciplinary collaboration among providers and other key stakeholders. The most successful of these include clinicians, allied health care professionals and their institutions, as well as policymakers, educators, government stakeholders, community leaders from diverse cultural and ethnic backgrounds, faith leaders, caregivers and other natural allies. These partners are working diligently to overcome competition and dissonance in order to jointly identify common obstacles, create comprehensive strategies and carry out mutually agreed-upon clinical reforms, educational initiatives and policy changes. These partnerships and collaborations create a whole that is greater than the sum of its parts. Their collaborative approach has produced human and social capital and a collective capacity to respond to important health issues. A list of local, state and national partnerships, programs and experts is included in this report.

Recommendations
In order to maintain momentum and establish lasting programs of care, an even stronger and wider network of engaged policy champions, health care professionals and consumers is essential. To make real headway, successful reform strategies will need to focus on the “izing” of excellent care: institutionalizing and routinizing such care throughout systems. State Attorneys General are in an outstanding position to spearhead or support the following recommendations.
**Recommended Actions for Public Policymakers**

We urge policy leaders to recognize that end-of-life care is just as important an issue in health care as is birth. Policy leaders can become full partners in creating a policy environment in which quality end-of-life care thrives. They can focus reform by collecting the stories and views of everyday citizens to learn about their values, concerns and attitudes about this last chapter of life. They can create, update and interpret laws and regulations so that all are consumer friendly. Wide consensus among end-of-life reformers has resulted in the following list of recommendations for action for public policymakers:

1. Make care near the end of life a special priority in aging policy.
2. Support public/private initiatives to meet the needs of family caregivers.
3. Encourage policies to enhance consumers’ knowledge of the options for quality care near the end of life.

**Decisionmaking**

4. Promulgate policies that encourage advance care planning and out-of-hospital Do Not Resuscitate programs.
5. Ensure that in the absence of advance directives, family surrogacy is recognized and used in the best interests of dying people.

**Professional Capacity**

6. Set state targets for the numbers of doctors and nurses with palliative care training needed to care for the state’s critically ill and dying patients, and work with state-funded educational institutions to achieve them.
7. Encourage requirements for continuing medical and nursing education about end-of-life care.

**Service Delivery**

8. Establish good pain management policies that tackle the problem of under treatment of pain.
9. Encourage coordination of health services programs.
10. Require that hospitals and nursing homes establish palliative care services.
11. Reassess the rules and regulations that apply to nursing homes and allow greater flexibility in caring for dying residents.
12. Support the provision of hospice services in government-run institutions – prisons, jails, mental health hospitals and so on.

13. Change the Medicare hospice eligibility criteria to allow Medicare beneficiaries to qualify for the hospice benefit by diagnosis, rather than an estimate of a six-month prognosis of terminal illness.

**Research and Financing**

14. Support large-scale demonstrations of promising models of coordinated end-of-life care that are likely to show both better quality of life for patients and families. Then, act to revise coverage.

**Actions for Health Care Leaders**

Health care leaders can work to establish multidisciplinary palliative care services in hospitals – particularly in intensive care units – and nursing homes for seriously ill patients who have symptoms that are difficult to handle or painful treatments, or who are likely to die. They can include hospice or palliative care service rotations in physician, nurse, social work and pharmacy training. They can support practicing physicians who seek training in palliative care and encourage the capacity of end-of-life teams to be culturally competent.

**Actions for Consumers**

The public clearly wants competent, compassionate and respectful care for themselves and their loved ones. In addition to actions by public policymakers and health care leaders, many of today’s end-of-life reformers are also calling for consumers to embrace personal advocacy and public activism. They advise consumers to learn what constitutes good end-of-life care and join others who are trying to make positive changes. Ask employers to have policies in place to help seriously ill employees, those caring for a seriously ill family member and those who are bereaved. Encourage spiritual leaders to help congregations explore the spiritual aspects of illness and death. Complete an advance directive and learn practical ways to help friends and family who are grieving.

**Conclusion**

The fact of human finitude has given rise to voluminous literature, musical masterpieces, hauntingly beautiful poetry and stunning scientific creativity, writes a colleague. “To face our dying and death or that of another is nothing less than an act of faith. We have to open ourselves to contingency, the unknown, and the unknowable. The challenge for reformers is to clear the path of obstacles so we can focus on the profundity of dying.”

Meeting this challenge will demand a degree of social and political commitment rivaling that required to prevail in the world wars of the 20th century. Now as then, American heroes are needed to galvanize national attention and show us the way. This time around, it is not arms that we must raise, but standards of care and public expectations. Our tools will not be weapons, but our voices, our health care dollars, our letters, our petitions and our votes. Now, as then, our efforts will be fueled by our love of country and for one another. Defeat is unthinkable.
THE ROLE OF ATTORNEYS GENERAL

BY: Maryland Attorney General J. Joseph Curran, Jr. and
Maryland Assistant Attorney General Jack Schwartz

Much of this report discusses why an Attorney General ought to become involved in end-of-life issues. This chapter focuses on what an Attorney General might do after deciding to become a force in the effort to bring improved care to dying patients. The touchstones of Attorney General involvement, in our experience, are these:

- Commitment to promoting excellent care at life’s end. The truth is, most Attorneys General can go through their terms of office without really engaging the issue of end-of-life care. Unlike many aspects of the office, it is not a given that an Attorney General do this. If an Attorney General decides to make this an integral aspect of his or her work, it is because the Attorney General genuinely sees the importance of helping to make quality care at the end of life a reality for all. Consequently, the work of an Attorney General’s office should be consciously based on, and consistently reflect, a belief in certain fundamental precepts of palliative care, which have been formulated by Last Acts and widely accepted in the field: that the goals, preferences and choices of individual patients should be respected; that care should comprehensively address the needs of the dying patient, especially in responding to pain and other distressing symptoms; that interdisciplinary resources should be available to meet the patient’s needs; that the concerns of family caregivers should be addressed; and that best practices must be supported in a systematic way, including the responsible attention of policymakers.

- Visibility. The Attorney General ought to be seen as an ally of consumers who want their voices heard and of those caring for patients near the end of life. The visible engagement of the Attorney General is important to the successful implementation of end-of-life care. The Attorney General can also serve as a single point of contact, for lawyers within the office as well as members of the public, on end-of-life issues.

Ira Byock, MD, is director of Promoting Excellence in End of Life Care, University of Montana. He can be reached at byock@aol.com.

Myra Christopher is president and chief executive officer of Midwest Bioethics Center. She can be reached at myra@midbio.org.

Karen Orloff Kaplan, MPH, SCD, is president and chief executive officer of Partnership for Caring and national program director of Last Acts. She can be reached at kaplanko@aol.com.

1 I Byock Need:
Role Models for Living, Caring and Dying Well, speech, April 2001.
7 Means to a Better End, Ibid.
8 M Christopher, E Blacksher, C Collins, Lightning Rods of Reform, Midwest Bioethics Center, 2002.
10 Means to a Better End, Ibid.
11 On the Road of Reform: Advocacy and Activism in End-of-Life Care, E Blacksher, M Christopher. Quote from Pam Dobies, widow of the late Bill Bartholome, M.D., an early advocate for pediatric palliative care.
12 I Byock, Ibid.
Interpretation and Explanation of Current Law

No one doubts that adults have a legal right to design an over-all framework for end-of-life care that best reflects their values and beliefs. Yet, this right is grounded in a sometimes uncertain mix of constitutional, common law, statutory and regulatory considerations. An Attorney General can serve as an authoritative interpreter of the relevant law.

Example: Issue an opinion or advice letter about some particular clinical issue that has been brought to your attention (for example, the entry of “do not resuscitate” orders) to clarify that common law rights exist in parallel with statutory decision-making mechanisms. Post the letter on your web site; send e-mail notice of it to interested groups.

Example: To help consumers understand how they can go about advance care planning, distribute a plain-language explanation of the process and include specific information about designating a proxy or declaring one’s wishes. For example, clarify in your advice letter that a consumer who wants to write an advance directive is not limited to any particular form.

Example: New developments in the law can cause uncertainty and confusion, potentially to the detriment of patients. Be proactive in giving advice. For example, clarify for providers that the new federal rules on the privacy of health information do not impair a legally authorized proxy from obtaining the information needed to make end-of-life care decisions for the patient.

Example: Send your designated staff member to speak at hospitals, nursing homes, county medical societies and other venues about the legal framework for health care decisionmaking. Develop a concise PowerPoint presentation for this purpose, and write an article for your medical licensing board’s newsletter. Focus on topics that worry clinicians most (for example, what to do when the patient is incapacitated and has no advance directive). Reassure clinicians that practicing to the standard of care will keep them out of liability trouble. If clinicians are confused or worried about potential criminal liability under an assisted suicide prohibition, reassure them that these criminal laws have nothing to do with the practice of good palliative care.

Example: As you issue interpretive guidance about health care decisionmaking, compile the information on your web site as an ongoing resource for consumers, clinicians and the private bar. On the Maryland Attorney General’s site, for instance, those interested can access five formal opinions and 16 letters of advice about end-of-life legal issues.
**Advice to Agency Clients**

Good lawyers do more than give clients technically sound legal advice. As Rule of Professional Conduct 2.1 puts it, “a lawyer may refer not only to law but to other considerations such as moral, economic, social and political factors, that may be relevant to the client’s situation.” This is all the more true for an Attorney General, whose role as representative of the state itself implies that advice to client agencies ought to be broad-gauged, so that those with policy-making responsibility can understand both the extent of their legal discretion or constraints and the potential impact on those affected by the decision.

**Example:** The agency that licenses and inspects nursing homes can significantly affect the quality of end-of-life care for nursing home residents. The regulations that the agency enforces ought to be applied with sensitivity to the special circumstances of dying patients. For instance, the Attorney General can advise that a regulation calling for certain minimal nutritional levels, which makes great sense applied to the nursing home population in general, need not be construed to mandate the use of feeding tubes in dying patients for whom such intrusive efforts achieve no benefit.

**Example:** The nursing home licensing agency can also be advised that a facility’s knowing disregard of a resident’s advance directive is a significant violation of the resident’s rights and grounds for a deficiency. One or two cases of this kind, vigorously pursued by the Attorney General’s Office on behalf of the agency, can result in a generally increased level of attentiveness to advance directives in nursing homes.

**Example:** The Attorney General can encourage the state’s licensing and disciplinary boards for physicians and other health professionals to review their policies and investigatory standards, to avoid any unintended chilling effect on the use of narcotics to respond to the pain of dying patients.

**Example:** The Attorney General can work with the state’s medical licensing board to consider the possibility of disciplinary actions against physicians for severely deficient pain management, akin to a recent action by the California Attorney General on behalf of that state’s medical board.

**Example:** Although no one can reasonably expect the Attorney General to shape Medicaid policy, where, for instance, the Medicaid program requires managed care contractors to provide hospice services, the Attorney General can urge the Medicaid program to ensure that these services are being provided in a timely way.
Criminal Law Enforcement

Whether in the Attorney General’s own enforcement of criminal laws or in the Attorney General’s counseling of other law enforcement agencies, the Attorney General should actively promote the concept of balance: that legitimate law enforcement goals should be pursued without adversely affecting the provision of quality end-of-life care.

Example: Systems for monitoring narcotic prescriptions should be assessed, not only for their ability to flag potentially crooked doctors, but also for the burdens that they might impose on legitimate prescribers, to the ultimate detriment of their patients. In advocating for such a system, an Attorney General should be explicit about both needs.

Example: The Attorney General, working with local clinical experts, could establish an informal and private screening mechanism for cases that the police, a prosecutor or a medical examiner think might be a homicide by means of a deliberately excessive dose of narcotics. It is facile, and often incorrect, to suspect wrongdoing based solely on the level of morphine or similar drug in a patient’s bloodstream after death, and a high-profile investigation can have a destructively chilling effect on physicians generally. An Attorney General could ensure an expert review of the facts before matters are made public.

Legislative Advocacy

If an Attorney General is active in these various areas related to end-of-life care, most likely, some potential legislative reforms will come to his or her attention. Because the Attorney General is an active and respected advocate for legislative change in areas of particular concern (for instance, consumer protection and elder abuse), Attorney General advocacy for legislative reform affecting end-of-life care may carry particular weight.

Example: If legislation is thought to be needed to ensure that a physician’s order for end-of-life care can be honored in any care setting where the patient

Conclusion

Attorneys General do their most satisfying work when they protect the citizens of their states against direct harm. Whether we speak of the victims of criminal violence, or those who lose their hard-earned money to scam artists, or those of our elderly who suffer abuse and neglect, we seek ways to protect our people.

This vision of those whom we work hardest to protect should include those facing death. We owe it to them, and to ourselves, to do all that we can to honor and safeguard them. Helping to reduce human suffering in the last chapter of life would be an unsurpassed legacy for any Attorney General.
CONSUMER STORIES

Chris Cruzan White, of Centerville, Mo., is the older sister of Nancy Cruzan, whose pivotal right-to-die case found its way to the United States Supreme Court in the 1980s. Today, White is the executive director of the Cruzan Foundation, which was established by her family to provide information and assistance to others who are confronted with medical treatment decisionmaking and other end-of-life issues.

In January 1983, the Cruzan family received a call that Nancy Cruzan had been in a car accident. Nancy was found dead at the scene. Emergency personnel were able to get her heart started and get her lungs working, however, medical professionals later determined that she had gone without breathing for at least 12 to 14 minutes and possibly longer.

Just after her accident, some health care providers told Cruzan family members to “wait and see” and “do everything you can to get her to respond.” However, the family waited years before realizing that they had to take the initiative and ask for things like a diagnosis and prognosis. The family spent years trying to do the thing that would bring about a response from Nancy, not realizing she had lost that ability. Three weeks after Nancy’s accident while she was still in ICU, doctors informed the family that it would be necessary to surgically implant a feeding tube. The consent forms were signed and the surgery was done.

Nancy’s condition stabilized and she was eventually admitted to the Missouri Rehabilitation Center (MRC) in Mt. Vernon in October 1983. A few months later, at the suggestion of some of Nancy’s physicians, a guardianship hearing was scheduled. Nancy’s parents were named as her guardians and conservators.

As the years went by without any real improvement, the family finally began to accept the reality that Nancy’s condition was not going to change. Nancy was in a persistent vegetative state.

After researching the condition, family members learned that other families with loved ones in the persistent vegetative states had chosen to go through their state courts to ask to have treatment stopped on behalf of their loved ones. The ultimate question facing the Cruzan family: What would Nancy want, given that she was in this persistent vegetative state? The answer to that question was obvious to all who knew and loved “Nan.” She would not want to simply exist being totally dependent on others for her care.

Family members asked the judge who had granted the guardianship if Nancy could be brought home and treatment stopped—so neither the hospital nor the courts would have to be involved. However, the judge said no, warning Nancy’s parents that they could face murder charges if they brought Nancy home and...
stopped the feedings without going through the courts. The judge suggested that the family request permission from the Missouri Courts to have her treatment stopped.

In May 1987, nearly four-and-one-half years after Nancy’s accident, the family found attorney Bill Calby who agreed to represent the family pro bono.

On May 28, 1987, the Cruzan family hand-delivered a letter to MRC, formally requesting the removal of the feeding tube. Several weeks later, the family received a letter stating that only with a court order, in which the state of Missouri was a named party, could they consider complying with the family’s request. The legal aspects of the case proved complex and challenging, and the case slowly moved through the Missouri courts, to the United States Supreme Court, and then back to the Missouri courts.

The family continued their legal battle over the years, motivated by their love for Nancy and the knowledge that her current existence was contrary to everything they knew and loved about her.

Finally, on December 14, 1990, the Missouri Probate Court allowed for the removal of the feeding tube, after finding clear and convincing evidence that Nancy would not want treatment to continue.

Later that afternoon, after doctors removed the tube, Nancy’s father wrote, “During the late fall and winter of 1986 realizing that Nancy was not going to recover and knowing that she would not wish to continue in her present condition I made a commitment to her to allow her to die with some dignity.” The final journey ended after 1,295 days.

Edith O’Neil-Page is a registered nurse and the Administrator of Home Health Services at Centinella Hospital and Medical Center in Los Angeles, Calif. Prior to serving as the administrator, she was the director of cancer programs at Dominican Hospital and Medical Center in Santa Cruz, Calif. Edith is the co-author of Nurse’s Knowledge of Opioid Analgesic Drugs and Psychological Dependence.

More than 20 years ago, she began working as an oncology nurse. Her experiences included bedside care, home care and hospice. She also worked for inpatient and outpatient departments of major medical centers, smaller community hospitals and cancer centers. She opened three cancer centers, managed inpatient units, cancer resource centers, and developed and participated in cancer support groups. Through those experiences, she saw firsthand the incredible needs of patients and families, particularly for pain management and, in varying degrees, the supportive care necessary at the end of life.

As a nurse, Edith recalled one patient, a 17-year-old man with metastatic testicular cancer, in so much pain that nothing could help him. While working with home care, Edith cited many instances of jumping through hoops, stretching rules, begging and pleading with someone to increase medication, fill a prescription or just change what was being given in order to give patients something that would help manage pain.

Finding pharmacies that carry the appropriate medications and were open on weekends and holidays was frustrating, time consuming and exhausting.

However, these professional experiences became even more personal when Edith was diagnosed with breast cancer four years ago. She kept a log of her experiences as a patient and how she has survived nine surgeries. Starting in November 1998, Edith underwent her first operation for breast cancer, followed by a mastectomy the next day, and a third operation the next day. Her log recounts the exhaustion of surgery, the emotional and psychological stresses of a cancer diagnosis, nausea, vomiting and wracking physical pain. Her personal experiences as a patient illustrate the harsh realities of managing pain.

Addressing Attorneys General during the San Diego End-of-Life Listening Conference, Edith said: “As an inpatient after surgery, I found a lack of understanding from medical and nursing staff. Pain medication was metered out with the regularity that would make the changing of the Palace Guard look haphazard. Pain doesn’t come on that way. Sometimes it’s there and sometimes it’s not and you don’t predict it.”

Using a local pharmacy, Edith recalled they often did not have what she needed. Consequently, the waiting began. The pharmacy refused to fill a prescription and allow her husband to pick it up when it finally was filled. When called, the pharmacy told her that she was using too much of the medication and that she was becoming an addict.

“I’m a nurse, an oncology nurse,” Edith told Attorneys General. “But in addition to that, I’m a consumer, and with all of my years of education and experience and connection to the medical world, I found my personal comfort and pain management to be elusive --- fighting my way through a maze.”

Although she is in recovery, Edith said her experiences clearly illustrate the need for better prepared clinicians, better support and most of all, better designed delivery systems.

“One cannot have too much pain medication.” Edith said. “We must ensure that all of us have a say so, not only in how we live, but also in how we die.”

Peggy Paddyaker is an Investigative Analyst in the Consumer Protection Unit of the Oklahoma Attorney General’s Office. Prior to working in the Consumer Protection Unit, she served as a paralegal in the Criminal Appeals Unit. She is the Attorney General designee for the Oklahoma Indian Affairs Commission and serves on the Senior Companion Program Advisory Council at Sunbeam Family Services.

At the age of 25, Peggy’s son, Johnny, tested positive for HIV. He died from complications of the AIDS virus when he was 36 years old. About 18 months before he died, he became ill with what he thought was a flu-like virus. “Fortunately,” Peggy said, “he needed care in the future, he would have to go to the University Hospital Emergency Room --- formerly a state hospital.
WILL MY PAIN BE MANAGED?

Unrelenting pain cripples the ability of humans to function at the most basic levels. It corrodes the capacity to think, work or simply enjoy human relationships. Over the past 50 years, great strides have been made in understanding and treating pain. Scientific breakthroughs include new drugs; new formulations of existing drugs; new combination therapies; and new methods of administration.

Today, medical experts say that about 95 percent of all pain can be effectively relieved. Yet, there is a large body of evidence that documents the undertreatment of pain...
Public Policies

The availability, prescribing and dispensing of opioids that are essential for pain relief is governed by international treaties and federal and state laws. The main purpose of these policies is to prevent diversion and abuse of drugs. But international and federal laws also note that opioids are essential for managing serious pain and call on governments to make sure that opioids are available for appropriate medical use. For instance, the Federal Controlled Substances Act, passed by Congress in 1970, states that, “Many of the controlled substances in the [CSA] are necessary to maintain public health.” Pain policy experts note that the ideal regulation of opioids is one that takes a balanced approach—preventing the abuse of narcotics while not interfering with medical practice and patients’ access to adequate amounts of opiates to control pain.

Restrictive State Policies

In the United States, medical practice is regulated by the states. It is governed by controlled substances, medical practice and pharmacy practice laws, regulations and guidelines.

Over the past decade, driven by cancer control advocates and other pain relief supporters, the number of state pain-specific laws, regulations and guidelines has grown dramatically. But analysts find that, on the whole, these policies have produced outcomes that may be counterproductive. In a 1998 article in Pain and Public Policy, Walter Smith and Mary Duggan, authors of a comprehensive疼痛政策报告, reported that these policies have increased the cost of pain medications and decreased their availability. Smith and Duggan noted that “the pain medications are still available, but they are more difficult to obtain.”

In addition, experts note that some IPTAs exclude pain patients who are substance abusers and may erect other barriers, such as requiring providers always to consult with another physician.

Liability concerns are another factor that overlap with lack of education and unclear public policies. Some physicians, particularly those inexperienced in prescribing narcotics, fear criminal prosecution because they believe that the dose of opioids needed to relieve excruciating pain would depress the respiratory system and unintentionally hasten death, an outcome known as the “double effect.” Pain experts note that this is another medical myth about opioid use that needs to be dispelled.

Only recently has pain treatment become an expected part of medical practice. During the past 10 years, medical standards and practice guidelines to evaluate and treat pain have begun to emerge. In 1999, the Joint Commission on Accreditation of Healthcare Organizations required that hospitals, hospices and other health care facilities add pain to the four vital signs that providers regularly check for in their patients (along with temperature, pulse, respiration and blood pressure). Guidelines were also issued by the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality, the American Pain Society, and the American Society of Anesthesiologists; all acknowledge that opioids are an essential drug to treat serious pain. Physicians and patients can now find these standards on the Internet.

According to numerous studies, physicians also avoid prescribing opioids because of a prevailing fear that they will be scrutinized by state medical boards and narcotics regulators. For instance, in a recent survey of 1,400 New York physicians conducted by the state’s Department of Health, about 30 to 40 percent said that fear of regulators has influenced their prescribing practices. Attorneys General, as the states’ chief prosecutors and advisors to governors, legislatures, medical licensing and pharmacy boards and executive agencies (including those that regulate nursing homes), can do much to calm these fears.
Reversing a Chilling Effect

In 1998, the Federation of State Medical Boards (FSMB) went a long way towards modernizing medical board policies on opioid use by issuing "Model Guidelines for the Use of Controlled Substances for the Treatment of Pain." (State medical boards are responsible for licensing physicians and regulating their conduct.) The guidelines contain clear language recognizing the legitimate role of opioids in relieving pain. They also address providers’ concerns about being disciplined. "Physicians should not fear disciplinary action from the Board or other state regulatory or enforcement agency for prescribing, dispensing, or administering controlled substances, including opioid analgesics, for a legitimate medical purpose and in the usual course of professional practice," the guidelines state.¹⁰

According to FSMB, medical boards in 43 states have some type of policy regarding pain management; 21 of them have adopted policies that are identical or similar to the model guidelines.¹¹

In the past several years, increasing reports of the abuse of opioid pain medications have led to concerns of a chilling effect on progress made by states and providers in making opioids available for legitimate use. To address this concern, in October 2001, the DEA (which monitors and regulates the use of legal controlled substances) and a number of national health care organizations endorsed a joint statement, titled, "Promoting Pain Relief and Preventing Abuse of Pain Medications: A Critical Balancing Act." According to the statement, "Preventing drug abuse is an important societal goal, but there is consensus, by law enforcement agencies, health care practitioners, and patient advocates alike, that it should not hinder patients’ ability to receive the care they need and deserve." The statement marks the first time the DEA has collaborated with these groups to support better pain management.¹²

Racial Disparities

Disparities in pain treatment based on race and ethnicity are found throughout the research literature on pain management. The health care system has done an especially poor job of managing pain, particularly at the end of life, for several vulnerable populations. Studies have revealed that African-Americans and Hispanics are less likely than whites to receive effective pain treatment in emergency rooms, during hospital stays, and in outpatient clinics and nursing homes. For instance, in one study, Hispanics admitted to the ER with long bone fractures were more than twice as likely as whites with identical conditions to receive no analgesics to manage pain. In another study, white ER patients were more likely than blacks to receive analgesics (74% vs. 57%), despite similar complaints of pain recorded in their medical records.¹³

In studies of cancer patients in outpatient and nursing home settings, it has been documented that African-Americans and Latinos are at three-fold or greater risk for their pain to be under-treated than are other patients.¹⁴ Furthermore, recent studies have shown that pharmacies in poor and minority communities in New York City and Detroit are much less likely to carry morphine and other DEA schedule II opioids than are pharmacies in white, affluent areas of these cities.¹⁵

For example, one particular study showed that nearly 75 percent of pharmacies in non-minority, affluent areas of New York City stocked strong opioid drugs, whereas less than 25 percent of retail pharmacies in poor and minority areas stocked similar drugs, even when pharmacy theft rates and other crime statistics were similar.⁰ Although the causes of these disparities are unclear, pharmacists and other clinicians often cite the low demand for opioid prescriptions in poor and minority areas, and concerns that they may be scrutinized by regulators and law enforcement officials because they work in areas that are perceived or actual sites of high illicit drug use.

The causes of this differential treatment have not been documented. According to some experts, they may in part be due to racial prejudice among health care providers and language and cultural barriers that keep patients from communicating their level of distress to providers. As a recent Institute of Medicine report notes, in encounters where clinicians face time pressures to evaluate and make decisions about complex problems, such as assessing a patient’s level of pain, many providers resort to stereotyping, often on an unconscious level.²² The extent to which these factors cause the racial disparities in pain management needs to be evaluated.

Recommendations

A major gap still exists between the science of pain management and state policies, provider practices and public knowledge. And, in cases where state policies have been improved, oftentimes, the medical community and the public have not been apprised. Attorneys General can do much to improve state pain policies and to communicate these new policies to providers, the public, state regulators and the legal system. The following recommendations for action should be useful:

1. Create a multidisciplinary task force to first study the barriers to pain management in state laws, regulations and guidelines. Among the policies to be reviewed are: the state controlled substances act; pharmacy regulations regarding opioid dispensing; state medical board policies; hospice, hospital and nursing home inspection and certification criteria; and managed care pain relief policies.

2. Evaluate these policies according to criteria based on the concept of balance. The Pain and Policy Studies Group offers the following as measures that enhance pain policies:¹⁶

- controlled substances are recognized as necessary for the public health;
- pain management is recognized as part of general medical practice;
- medical use of opioids is recognized as legitimate professional practice;
- pain management is encouraged;
- providers’ concerns about regulatory scrutiny are eased;
- prescription amount alone is stated as insufficient to determine prescribing legitimacy; and
- physical dependence or analgesic tolerance is not confused with addiction.

… and measures that impede pain policies:

- opioids are implied to be a last resort;
- the belief that opioids hasten death is perpetuated;
• medical decisions are restricted; and
• practitioners are subject to burdensome prescription requirements.

3. Create a regulatory climate that is supportive of pain-relief efforts. As chief
counsels to state drug-control agencies, make sure that state narcotic, med-
cal and pharmacy investigators know about current pain management
practices and have ample cause to conduct investigations of physicians sus-
pected of over-prescribing opioids with an awareness of how those investi-
gations are perceived by other physicians. Convey to hospital, hospice and
nursing home regulators that pain management is a priority.

4. Meet with state medical licensing boards and medical associations to voice
support for effective pain management. Encourage them to adopt the
FSMB model guidelines.

5. Encourage state health officials to offer the public information about pain
and symptom management, and where to go for help.

6. Have medical expertise available within the Attorney General’s Office or by
consulting arrangements, to guide policy review, communication and inves-
tigations into physician over prescribing.

7. Evaluate the possible discriminatory effects of regulatory enforcement of
drug laws in minority communities, particularly as they relate to lack of
drug availability at the community retail phar-
macy level.

Conclusion
While affecting the life quality of millions of
Americans, pain management is an issue that,
until recently, has existed with little public
notice or concern. Attorneys General are in a
strategic position to ensure that residents of
their state receive medical care that offers effec-
tive pain relief. The tools are now available.
Health care providers need to learn them and
feel safe in prescribing the dosage of narcotics
necessary to relieve patients’ pain. As states’
chief legal officers who advise governors, legislatures, and executive agencies, Attorneys General can improve state
policies, physician practices and public knowledge regarding effective pain relief. As one state assistant attorney gener-
al described his motivation to pursue this goal, “When you ask yourself, What have I accomplished in my term? You
can say, ‘I helped reduce the amount of human suffering in my state.’”

Resources
• The Pain and Policy Studies Group (PPSG) at the University of Wisconsin
is a research organization specializing in state, federal and international poli-
cies that promote effective pain relief. PPSG reports and studies are available
at http://www.medsch.wisc.edu/painpolicy/.

• The Federation of State Medical Boards’ Model Guidelines for the Use of
Controlled Substances for the Treatment of Pain contains clear language rec-
ognizing the legitimate role of opioids in relieving pain and addressing physi-
cians’ fear of regulatory scrutiny. More than 20 state medical boards have
adopted the policies that are identical or similar to these guidelines. They are

• The American Pain Foundation has published Pain Action Guide. The guide
is designed to dispel myths about pain-medication addiction; help patients
through conversations with their health care providers; and describe what
kind of pain care they should expect. A copy of the guide can be ordered
online at www.painfoundation.org.

• The Joint Commission on Accreditation of Healthcare Organization’s pain-
management standards, effective January 1, 2001, as basis for accreditation,
mandate that hospitals treat pain as a “fifth vital sign,” along with tempera-
ture, pulse respiration, and blood pressure. These standards can be viewed
online at www.jcaho.org/search/frm.html.

• Researchers at Brown University have developed a step-by-step Pain-Relief
Manual to help health care facilities gauge how well they provide pain man-
agement. The manual is posted at www.chcr.brown.edu/commstate/home-
pagewithframes.htm.

• The Initiative to Improve Palliative Care in African-Americans, a recently
formed research and policy initiative, has many resources addressing dispari-
ties in pain management and palliative care as they relate to African-
Americans and other communities of color. This information is available at
www.iipca.org.

Consumers discuss limited legal and medical options for the dying

David Joranson, MSSW, is director of the Pain and Policy Studies Group at the University of Wisconsin Medical
School. He can be reached at joranson@facstaff.wisc.edu.

Richard Payne, M.D., is chief of the Pain and Palliative Care Service at the Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer
Center. He can be reached at payner@mskcc.org.
WILL MY WISHES BE KNOWN AND HONORED?
POLICY AND PRACTICE PERSPECTIVES
BY: Charles P. Sabatino

Health care advance directives have become the central legal tool in virtually every state as a way to make one’s health care wishes known and assure they are honored. However, whether they really achieve that goal is still an open question. This article provides an overview of key features of the legal landscape of end-of-life decision making generally, and advance directives specifically, and suggests some specific opportunities for action to improve and clarify public policy uniquely suited for Attorneys General.

A good starting point in understanding this landscape is a realization that law and regulation are but one slice of the universe of variables that affect the experience of the dying. At the same time, although it is but one piece, it is a very powerful variable in the big picture. State statutory law addressing surrogate and the use and recognition of advance directives stands out as the predominant body of provisions, organ donation laws and guardianship laws. The focus of this overview is on advance directive laws (living wills and durable powers of attorney for health care) and on default surrogate laws, which establish decision-making authority in cases where advance directives do not exist.

Non-Exclusivity of Statutes
Though predominant, these statutes are by no means...
The statutory bonus of provider immunity may be less important today than it was 25 years ago, since the desire for such protection arose in a relatively new medical era faced with a clash between technologically-controlled dying and emerging assertions of personal autonomy and dignity. Interestingly, when it comes to other medical interventions, from brain surgery to flu shots, medical providers receive no promise of statutory immunity, nor do they demand one. Their protection comes from complying with generally accepted medical standards. Why is immunity needed in order to respect a patient's wish to stop treatment? As we pass through the first decade of the 21st century, the now well-established recognition of patient choice and direction in the practice of medicine may further vitiate the importance of immunity provisions.

Default Surrogate Laws

The law governing decisionmaking in the absence of an advance directive deserves priority in any review of the legal landscape, if for no other reason than the fact that most adult Americans do not have an advance directive. Most decisions relating to end-of-life care for persons lacking decisional capacity are made without the guidance or authority of a health care advance directive. Who makes the decisions in these cases?

One answer is provided by laws that may be referred to as default surrogate consent or family consent laws. These exist in some 36 states and the District of Columbia, although they vary significantly in breadth and depth. All create a list of permissible surrogates, usually in next-of-kin order of priority, with some extending as far as any living relative, no matter how distant in degree. About a dozen include "close friend" or its equivalent in the list of permissible surrogates. Arizona additionally includes "patient's domestic partner," as an authorized surrogate for some health decisions, although the definition of close friend may be broad enough to encompass domestic partner in other states.

These laws differ, in part, according to the kind of statutory context in which they emerged.

a. Some were included in informed consent statutes enacted in the 1960s and 1970s. These laws provided for family consent to treatment primarily as a way to ensure access to care. They are usually silent on the issue of refusals of treatment, so their applicability to refusals of life support may be unclear.

b. Some living will statutes include family consent authority, but these statutes are typically limited to patients in terminal conditions or in permanent unconsciousness and to decisions about life-sustaining treatment. Their application to the full range of health care decisions is sometimes unclear.

c. Some are decision-specific laws, such as New York's narrow family consent provision that deals only with do-not-resuscitate orders. A few other states have enacted family consent provisions specific to medical research consent.

d. Finally, several states – some 22 plus the District of Columbia – have included family/surrogate consent within comprehensive state health-decision statutes. These tend to be the most comprehensive in the kinds of decisions covered.
In states without default surrogate laws, long-standing custom and practice tends to look quite similar to the legislatively sanctioned practices in the states with default surrogate laws. For whether a statute exists or not, health care providers quite naturally like to rely on close family as the spokesperson and responsible party for patients lacking capacity. Yet, the customary reliance on family is increasingly strained by the power of medical science to control the timing and experience of death. Thus, default surrogate laws offer the potential for better clarity in knowing who the authorized decisionmakers are and greater security in relying on their decisions.

Most of the default surrogate laws prescribe some method of handling disagreements among multiple surrogates of the same priority. For example, what happens if the authorized surrogates are adult children, and the children disagree over a particular health care decision? Approaches vary. Some states require consensus among the reasonably available co-equal surrogates, and if consensus cannot be reached, judicial resolution is necessary. Some allow the majority view to prevail, although this may work far less in practice than in theory, since health care providers are often reluctant to proceed with a decision in the face of contentious children. Other statutes are unclear about conflicts, merely permitting providers to rely on the consent of any member of the priority class. Delaware and Maryland provide for referral of such disputes in institutions to a patient care advisory committee or its equivalent. They also give a measure of protection to physicians relying on the recommendation of such committees.

Most states have not addressed what to do if none of the listed surrogates are available. The default in that case is a guardianship proceeding. A few states have taken tentative steps toward prescribing non-judicial means for making decisions for these persons, sometimes referred to as “unbefriended” patients.

Advance Directive Laws

The first health care advance directive law – a living will act – was passed by California in 1976. Since then, every state has enacted one or more health care advance directive statutes. Most have at least two statutes, one establishing a “living will” type directive; the other establishing a proxy or durable power of attorney for health care. The spread of advance directive legislation did not lead to uniformity of the law. Indeed, formalities and other requirements vary to such an extent that no single comprehensive advance directive form can possibly meet the statutory requirements in every state. One group – Aging with Dignity, Inc. – sought to create a truly national advance directive form in 1998, called Five Wishes, and could meet the statutory requirements, by their own estimation, in only 35 states. This Balkanization is a problem, at least to the extent that it confuses the public and deters them from advance planning, and to the extent that it leads providers and the public to embrace the myth that the only valid advance directive is a statutory one.

During the 1990s, states began moving toward simplification by combining these laws into comprehensive advance directive acts. By mid-2000, about 18 states had comprehensive or combined advance directive statutes which, at a minimum, cover living wills and proxies in the same law. The most comprehensive ones also recognize the authority of default surrogate decisionmakers in the absence of an advance directive.

The primary model for a flexible combined advance directive and default surrogate law is the Uniform Health-Care Decisions Act. The Uniform Act was promulgated as a national model by the National Conference of Commissioners on Uniform State Laws in 1993, and given recognition by the American Bar Association in 1994. The Act establishes very simple rules for recognizing almost any kind of written or oral statement as an advance directive, although the states that have adopted the Uniform Act have commonly added to the Act’s baseline require-
What Advance Directives CANNOT Do
An advance directive:

... Cannot provide cookbook directions for end-of-life care, as a last will and testament can give instructions on how to distribute one's property. Most advance directives express very general sentiments about not wanting life support if it only prolongs the dying process or if the treatment is extraordinary or heroic. These kinds of stock instructions are not especially informative.

... Cannot change the fact that dying is complicated. Even though there is definitely a point each of us may reach in which the quality of our existence is worse than dying, that point is different and unique for each person. Moreover, our values and priorities may change as our circumstances change. There is truth to the old saw, “The world looks different horizontally than vertically.” Defining the “No More” point is difficult to do ahead of time, unless one happens to have an extraordinary crystal ball.

... Cannot eliminate one’s personal ambivalence. Most people have some level of ambivalence for themselves, and for loved ones, when faced with trade-offs between length of life versus quality of life. Advance directive forms presume decisiveness, not ambivalence.

... Cannot be a substitute for discussion about the individual’s goals of treatment and goals of living in the face of serious illness. Completing a legal form may give one the impression of completing an important task, yet in reality may merely provide a way of avoiding the essence of the task – communicating with one’s doctor and loved ones.

... Cannot control health care providers. With the power or status of their positions and inertia of the institutional routine, providers still have an upper hand. They may also invoke a conscience objection if they cannot resolve or circumvent a disagreement. Again, the underlying communication process stands out as the key to good decisionmaking.

What Advance Directives CAN Do
Advance directives, used realistically, can help accomplish at least three vital goals.

Advance directives:

... CAN be an important part of the process of advance planning, but the emphasis must be on the ongoing process of discovering and communicating one's values and priorities as circumstances change.

... CAN be an effective tool to prompt individuals to stop and think and discuss key questions.

Opportunities and Actions for Attorneys General
   This can be accomplished through the use of advisory opinions to remove misperceptions or other barriers to simplicity and flexibility. Several kinds of questions lend themselves to Attorney General review, for example:

   - This overview already touched upon one question that could effectively be addressed—the myth that the only valid advance directive is a statutory one. Clarification can be provided to professionals and the public that the statute is non-exclusive and that other advance directive forms may be “valid” in the sense of being legally worthy of compliance. The goal of enhancing communication with respect to health care decisions will favor the recognition of instructions expressed in any way, as long as their authenticity and content is clear.

   - Another common question about statutory forms occurs in those states where the law provides that the advance directive must be “substantially in the following form” set forth in the statute. About a dozen states have this or similar language in their advance directive laws. The notion of substantial compliance arises with great regularity in many areas of law, but it lacks any authoritative construction with respect to advance directives. The uncertainty leads many providers to adopt a restrictive view that substantial compliance requires verbatim use of the statutory form. An authoritative interpretation of the statute as a
3. Clarify Proxy/Surrogate Authority in Medical Research Settings.

In the development of advance directive law, medical research has been largely an invisible, unaddressed issue. In most states, the extent to which a proxy or surrogate has authority to give consent to participation in medical research on behalf of a patient is unclear. One of the populations most affected by this uncertainty are persons suffering from Alzheimer’s disease and for whom new treatments are vitally dependent on the conduct of research. Attorneys General have the ability to speak to this issue in a constructive way. One example is Maryland, where the Attorney General undertook a policy study of Alzheimer’s disease care, examining a wide range of issues, including research consent under the state’s advance directive law. The chapters of the policy report were posted on the Attorney General’s web page.18

The report clarified that “Health care” as defined in the law, includes “participation in research that, considering the risks and benefits of participation, presents a reasonable prospect of direct medical benefit to an individual.”19 Thus, so long as research can fairly be characterized as holding out the prospect of direct medical benefit to participants, the authority to consent is presumptively within the scope of authority of a health care proxy or surrogate. The report goes on to recommend the study of efforts to spell out in greater detail consent authority. The report cites the example of an advance directive used by the Clinical Center at the National Institutes of Health, which provides the following four alternative instructions:

- If I lose the ability to make my own decisions, I do not want to participate in any medical research.
- If I lose the ability to make my own decisions, I am willing to participate in medical research that might help me.
- If I lose the ability to make my own decisions, I am willing to participate in medical research that won’t help me medically, but might help others as long as it involves no more than minimal risk of harm to me.
- If I lose the ability to make my own decisions, I am willing to participate in medical research that will not help me medically, but might help others, even if it involves greater than minimal risk of harm to me.20

The advance directive also encourages the individual to “indicate any specific values, goals, or limitations that you would like to guide your participation in medical research.” The pro-active role of the Attorney General in this example helps push forward the discussion of policy and practice in a positive way.


Many advance directive statutes explicitly recognize the validity of advance directives executed in other states. Even without express recognition, the doctrine of comity arguably supports such recognition. But, as in the case of non-statutory advance directives, the lack of specific authority affirming such a conclusion, or ambiguity in the authority itself, engenders considerable doubt and confusion among medical providers, advisors and the public. Not surprisingly, a common question among older persons who attend programs on health care advance planning is whether a directive executed under the law of one state is “valid” in another state. In such a highly mobile society, the question affects many people. An advisory opinion or other guidance issued by the Attorney General on this question can help dispel doubt and could encourage greater acceptance of advance directives across state lines.
5. Recognize Default Surrogates
As discussed earlier, default surrogate laws vary considerably in content, form and clarity, and in some states simply do not exist. Where state law is unclear, encourage reasonable recognition of default surrogacy, or recommend options for statutory reform.

6. Support Advance Planning Knowledge Skills Across All Systems: In the Community, In the Medical Profession and In the Government.
Recall the pie chart at the beginning of this article, which highlights law and regulation as a slice of a much larger constellation of factors that together determine the experience of end-of-life care. The people and institutions affected by law and regulation are all stakeholders who play an integral role in all the other slices of the pie—medical institutions, private and public financing systems, the health and legal professions, representatives of family, workplace and the faith community and the general public. The state Attorney General stands in a uniquely influential and credible position to bring these stakeholders together to examine and remedy needs in multiple dimensions of end-of-life care.

Charles Sabatino is the assistant director of the ABA Commission on Legal Problems of the Elderly and Immediate Past President of the National Academy of Elder Law Attorneys.

Conclusion
These opportunities for action arise from the essential nature of the Office of Attorney General as legal advisor to the state and as a foremost authority in interpreting and enforcing the law of the land affecting us all from birth to death. By examining and acting upon important legal and policy issues relating to life near the time of death, Attorneys General will reap tangible benefits for the public and for all the stakeholders in the health care system. Making the process of end-of-life decisionmaking truly patient-friendly and family-friendly benefits everyone. Practically and politically, it offers a win-win opportunity for all.

Charles Sabatino is the assistant director of the ABA Commission on Legal Problems of the Elderly and Immediate Past President of the National Academy of Elder Law Attorneys.

1 See National Center for State Courts, Guideline for State Court Decision Making in Authorizing or Withholding Life-Sustaining Medical Treatment (1991).
4 A summary chart of these laws, updated annually by the ABA Commission on Law and Aging, is available on the internet at http://www.abanet.org/aging/update.html.
5 Id., specifically Arizona, Colorado, Delaware, Florida, Hawaii, Illinois, Maine, Maryland, Missouri, New Mexico, North Dakota, Oregon, and West Virginia.
6 For example, Florida law defines “Close personal friend” as "any person 18 years of age or older who has exhibited special care and concern for the patient, and who presents an affidavit to the health care facility or to the attending or treating physician stating that he or she is a friend of the patient; is willing and able to become involved in the patient’s health care; and has maintained such regular contact with the patient so as to be familiar with the patient’s activities, health, and religious or moral beliefs." Fla. Stat Ann. §765.101(c) (West 2001).
8 See note 4, supra.
10 Cite Gillick article on unbefriended patients.
The question, "Will I Receive Competent Care?" is a reasonable question voiced by consumers, as they should well expect competent, compassionate care at life's end. Unfortunately, significant data suggests that the likelihood of consumers receiving competent care at the end of life is very poor. One of the key barriers to receiving competent care is the availability of professionals who are competent in both their knowledge and skill in caring for patients and families at life's end. One thing is certain: Professionals cannot practice what they do not know. Therefore, if ever we are to improve end-of-life care, it will occur because we have been able to educate professionals to become competent in this area.\(^3\)\(^4\)

Supported by a grant from the Robert Wood Johnson Foundation, I, and my colleagues at the City of Hope National Medical Center, have conducted a series of studies to document the current status of nursing education in end-of-life care.\(^5\)\(^-\)\(^7\) One of the key studies was the review of 50 leading textbooks in schools of nursing.\(^8\) This review was conducted to evaluate the amount of information within these leading texts as an indicator of professional education in end-of-life care. The results of that study that reviewed 45,638 pages across these 50 texts indicated that only two percent of the content had any relationship whatsoever to any end-of-life topic. Only 1.4 percent of the chapters in this text review were focused on an end-of-life related topic. These numbers are actually generous, as we credited any information that could possibly be
Additionally, three courses have been conducted for continuing education providers, such as clinical educators in hospitals or home care agencies, and five regional courses were sponsored through the Robert Wood Johnson funded Last Acts Project. These regional courses attracted a broad clinical audience of educators with a high representation by hospice and palliative care programs. The ELNEC project will continue to reach these audiences. Additionally, in 2003, we will launch a national pediatric ELNEC course to address the needs of children and their families at the end of life. We also have received support from the National Cancer Institute for a Graduate Education version of ELNEC, which will integrate end-of-life content into essentially all graduate nursing programs in the nation. We also hope to receive support for a project focused on oncology nurses, as we are aware of extreme deficits in end-of-life care within the nation’s cancer care settings. Attorneys General are encouraged to visit the ELNEC website (http://www.aacn.nche.edu/elnec), which includes a state-by-state listing of ELNEC trainers. Attorneys General can contact these ELNEC trainers in their own states to foster collaboration.

In addition to these continuing education activities, there is a very significant opportunity for promoting improved care through professional practice by the regulation of health care professionals. Boards of pharmacy, nursing and medicine have generally provided little direction or regulation to ensure competent care. Some model states have contributed significantly to advancing pain relief however, there is considerable untapped potential for the promotion of improved care through these state agencies.

Legislating professional education can best be described as a two-edged sword. While many schools of medicine do not support mandates regarding their curriculum, our general experience has been that legislation of professional education is successful. The same is true in the realm of continuing education for practicing physicians. In California, legislation has been enacted that mandates pain education in all state medical school curricula and, more recently in 2002, a requirement of 12 hours of CME in pain or end-of-life care for re-licensure. While somewhat controversial, these efforts are working. Ensuring professional education will take bold action on the part of regulatory and professional agencies. While these mandates present many barriers and encounter opposition, failure to act aggressively will only mean that our nation continues to receive inadequate care at the end of life.

One of the major contributions to advancing nursing knowledge has been the End of Life Nursing Education Consortium (ELNEC), supported by the Robert Wood Johnson Foundation (http://www.aacn.nche.edu/elnec). This project is a comprehensive national effort to improve end-of-life care by nurses. It is conducted jointly by the American Association of Colleges of Nursing and the City of Hope Medical Center. The ELNEC curriculum consists of nine modules. The content areas include nursing care at the end of life, pain, symptom management, ethical/legal issues, cultural considerations, communication, grief/loss/bereavement, achieving quality of life at the end of life and care at the time of death. This curriculum is intended to provide nurses with knowledge in physical aspects of care, such as pain and symptom management, but also to provide a very broad foundation for psychosocial aspects of care. Eight national conferences have been supported through this grant, of which the highest priority has been the integration into the nation’s undergraduate nursing programs. More than 550 nursing programs have been trained to implement the ELNEC content, which represents one out of every three nursing programs in the nation.

A landmark contribution to this dialogue has been the 1997 report from the Institute of Medicine (IOM) on improving care at the end of life. The report is a broad statement about the many reforms needed in end-of-life care. Throughout the document, the importance of professional preparation to provide this care is paramount. Subsequently, the IOM issued reports specific to end-of-life care in cancer and in pediatric care.

Our studies conducted with practicing nurses often revealed that most nurses indicate that their basic nursing education was highly inadequate in preparing them for areas such as pain management, end-of-life care, symptom management, grief/bereavement or communication with patients and families. Each of these findings has challenged us to ask if we are truly providing end-of-life care or if we are providing only what has been described as “brink-of-death” care.

A common question in any dialogue regarding improved end-of-life care is “What will it cost?” Certainly, reform in end-of-life care will incur costs. Yet, a critical question is what is it costing us now to fail to provide good end-of-life care? Failure to provide pain management or symptom control is serious. Patient and family disruption from end-of-life care, lost work time and the sequelae of unresolved problems, in both patients and families, is costing our nation a considerable sum. Our aging population and the prevalence of chronic and life-threatening disease means that we have tremendous opportunities to not only improve the quality of care, but to provide the most cost efficient care.
In 1998, Dr. Susan Tolle, a physician and leader in improved end-of-life care in the state of Oregon, acknowledged that the amount of dollars spent on advertising for and against the intensely debated assisted suicide legislation of Oregon was enough to fund access to hospice care for every dying person in Oregon for the next three years. Dr. Tolle challenged us that if so much money can be found to fight debates regarding moral views, it seems that as a society we should fund high level end-of-life care.

As each of us return to our communities and work settings, I would issue a challenge that a minimum of resources be devoted to continued “fact finding” or descriptive projects. We clearly have abundant documentation to tell us that end-of-life care is poorly managed. We should focus our resources on demonstration projects, educational endeavors, research and efforts that will begin to change the practice of care. In the words of Mother Teresa, “Too many words...let them just see what we do”.

The Attorneys General of the United States have tremendous power and influence over many aspects of improved end-of-life care. The opportunities presented in this forum have the ability to do more to improve care than many activities conducted over the past decade by many professional organizations. The palliative care community, in partnership with the Attorneys General, can be a powerful force to create the kind of care system that each of us would want at the end of our lives or for our families at life’s end.

Betty Ferrell, RN, Ph.D., has been in oncology nursing for 25 years and has focused her clinical expertise and research in pain management, quality of life and end-of-life care. She is also a research scientist at the City of Hope National Medical Center.
The role of state Attorneys General in the improvement of end-of-life care has become more critical than ever as the gap widens between the laws as written and the harsh reality that too often confronts patients and caregivers. As the chief legal officers in their respective jurisdictions, Attorneys General are charged with the representation of all people in matters affecting the public interest. Acting as interpreters or advocates, they are a vital force in the creation of a legal environment that promotes therapeutic jurisprudence as an instrument to provide better care of the dying.

Here is a brief sampling of some of the “promising practices” that Attorneys General throughout the nation have adopted in order to ensure the provision of effective pain management, honoring of advance decisionmaking and competence in the education and regulation of health care professionals.

ARIZONA

Outreach and Education

The Arizona Attorney General’s focus on end-of-life issues has been and continues to be in the area of public awareness, education and community programs relating to advance directives. These directives empower consumers to make their wishes known and have those wishes honored, to have their pain managed and to receive competent care at the end of their lives.

The Attorney General’s Office reviewed the law governing health and financial powers of attorney and created the Life Care Planning Documents. The documents are printed in booklet form and allow consumers to prepare for themselves a Durable Health Care Power of Attorney; a Living Will; a Pre-Hospital Medical Directive (DNR); a Durable Mental Health Care Power of Attorney; and a Durable General Power of Attorney so that consumers can plan their own health and financial futures. The documents are in an easy-to-read format, with instructions on how to create legally valid forms.

In addition to creating these forms, the office also provided the documents to the citizens of Arizona. The Arizona Republic Newspaper printed 130,000 copies as a public service, all of which have been distributed to Arizonans.

“The Office of Attorney General is a uniquely powerful and credible position to bring all stakeholders, community, medical, and governmental, together in support of advance planning for end of life health care.”

Charles P. Sabatino, J.D.
Assistant Director
ABA Commission on Legal Problems of the Elderly
The office continues to distribute copies in response to individual requests, as well as through community outreach and education seminars. This year, the office held 16 seminars on Life Care Planning, presented by the office’s Elder Affairs Program. These presentations are in PowerPoint format and describe the purpose of each form and how each form may be utilized. There are many more presentations planned in the weeks and months ahead. Additionally, the documents are available on the Attorney General’s web site at www.ag.state.az.us, where consumers can download them from home, at senior centers, at libraries and anywhere else Internet access is available. The seminars have been presented to Arizonans around the state and continue to be extremely popular and well attended.

There are no current legislative initiatives or Attorney General opinions in this area, although the office is reviewing the current law as to some of the directives, and may seek legislative changes in the future, if required after further study.

CONNECTICUT

Attorney General Richard Blumenthal has been a tireless advocate for informing Connecticut consumers about the importance of Physician-Assisted Living (PAL) in Connecticut.

The PAL initiative is a joint effort between the Office of the Attorney General, the Hospice Institute for Education Training and Research (the Institute), the Connecticut Bar Association and is supported by the clergy and other leaders demonstrating the kind of broad power elicited by this concept. The Journal of the Connecticut State Medical Society published and thereby helped launch this initiative in winter 1997. By spring 1998, the National Association of Home Care and Hospice (NAHCH), through its founder and president, Val Halamandaris, agreed to take the initiative to the 50 states.

The PAL initiative allows individuals to express a preference for hospice care, before the onslaught of pain and depression, through a document similar to the living will and power of attorney for health care instruments. PAL includes a document entitled, Notice for Desire of Hospice Care, as well as a consumer brochure that explains the need for end-of-life planning.

Attorney General Blumenthal, along with the Institute’s researchers, know that families of terminally ill patients are better prepared to meet the days ahead following the loss of a loved one if they and their loved ones are enabled to have hospice care. Through interviews conducted by the Institute with hospice families during the last six years, there is now evidence that there are fewer days lost from school and work, fewer emergency room visits and less depression with hospice care.

PAL is an instrument designed to help the medical professional communicate with their patients about their needs. PAL provides a message of hope that is durable and does not respect time. The PAL concept creates a means for each patient, through collaboration with their physician, to view the broadest array of care options.

Connecticut gave the nation its first hospice, and with PAL, Connecticut became the first state to adopt the best way to assure the family of a terminally ill patient a death with dignity and compassion.

HAWAII

The Kokua Mau (Hawaiian for Continuous Care) project is a statewide campaign backed by a 260-member coalition of agencies, health care providers and community groups. The organization’s vision statement sums up its operating philosophy and definition of a “good death.” To build a web of support so that the people of Hawaii can die in the place of their choice; free of pain and suffering; and in accordance with their values, traditions and beliefs.

Background, Funding and Leadership

The project was born out of a 1998 Blue Ribbon Panel on Living and Dying with Dignity, convened by Hawaii’s governor, which found: insufficient access to Hawaii’s rich and varied spiritual and cultural resources; limited public and professional awareness about good end-of-life care; limited use of advance directives; limited use of hospice; inadequate pain control; and institutional, policy, and regulatory barriers to a good death. Funding was received from a variety of different foundations to establish the coalition and support its activities.

The leadership of Kokua Mau was initially comprised of four organizations:

- Hawaii Executive Office on Aging (EOA) provided staffing, served as a policy research and analysis resource, and developed and provided training on end-of-life resources for the aging network.
- Hawaiian Islands Hospice Organization (HIHO) acted as an umbrella organization for all seven hospice organizations, overseeing public outreach activities.
- The Center on Aging, University of Hawaii (UH-COA) developed and provided training to faith communities through The Complete Life Course. UH-COA is currently developing programs for multi-ethnic caregivers and nursing homes.
- St. Francis International Center for Healthcare Ethics is part of a major health care system that worked on pilot courses for health care students and professionals and sponsored “pain as a fifth vital sign” program for long-term care facility staff.

Kokua Mau’s leadership has now expanded. And, although St. Francis is no longer directly involved, the Department of Geriatrics, the University of Hawaii, Kaiser Permanente-Hawaii, and the Hawaii Medical Services Association (Hawaii’s Blue Cross Blue Shield) are active participants.

Will my pain be managed?

The Controlled Substance Act 165 of 2002 eliminates duplicate prescriptions for physicians when prescribing controlled substances for pain. This legislation was passed in a unique collaborative effort between the Cancer Pain Initiative and Hawaii’s Drug Enforcement Agency.
Public Awareness/Education

Efforts to raise awareness about end-of-life issues were spearheaded by HIHO. Speakers were provided with curricular materials and hand-outs regarding planning ahead, talking about preferences with others, controlling pain and acknowledging/respecting cultural differences. Presentations were made in a variety of settings, including long-term care facilities to families, caregiver conferences and meetings, senior centers, legal aid forums, regular meetings of retirees, clubs, military units and companies.

Based on Hawaii’s Uniform Health Care Decisions Act (UHCD), the one-page form was developed and widely distributed, along with materials designed to “start the conversation.” The form was made available to all hospitals, health care and long-term care facilities, physicians’ offices, the Department of Motor Vehicles, State ID offices and senior centers. The form was also distributed by the Long Term Care Ombudsman Program and the four Area Agencies on Aging.

A web site was created with an inventory of services that can assist people at the end of their lives. Both national and local resources were listed, recognizing the mobility of residents and family members. Downloadable advance directives are available, and videos, curricula and resource kits can be ordered through www.kokua.mau.org.

Additionally, the Executive Office on Aging’s website has an end-of-life section and links to other sites: www2.state.hi.us/eea/. Also developed and distributed were press releases, radio and television public service announcements, including an eight-and-one-half-minute video designed to facilitate discussions on end-of-life issues. Topics covered pain management, the discussion of preferences and making sure wishes were honored. The State Unit on Aging provides all of these materials for free.

Programs

In a program coordinated by St. Francis International Center of Healthcare Ethics, health care workers were trained at 12 long-term care facilities in pain management and began tracking “Pain as a Fifth Vital Sign” in 2000. The Center also coordinated the LTC Facility Pain Protocols, which established pain protocols with nursing homes to enable them to assess, track and manage pain. The program is now coordinated by UH-COA. Through the Hawaii Cancer Pain Initiative, staff provided training sessions and developed programs designed to improve the identification and management of cancer pain. This initiative is part of a national program. More information is available at http://www.aacpi.org/index.htm.

Palliative care guidelines are currently under development for use in facilities.

Will my wishes be known and honored?

The Uniform Health Care Decisions Act, modified Act 169 of 1999, combined into one statute the stipulations of the living will and durable power of attorney for health care; provided for surrogate decision making in the case of the “friendless” patient; mandated safeguards for patients; and established penalties for non-compliant healthcare providers.

The Advance Health Care Directive Act of 1999 required the Department of Motor Vehicles and the State Civil Identification Branch to ask all applicants to indicate whether they had an advance directive (along with willingness to be an organ donor) on drivers’ licenses and state identification cards. In March 2003, 26 percent of all those renewing licenses indicated they had an advance directive.

A critical opinion related to this area is the matter of the Guardianship of Shirley Sangen Crabtree, an Incapacitated Person, Family Court of the State of Hawaii, FC-G No. 86-0031 (1990). This opinion indicates the possible decision for future incapacitated person cases. This is prior to the enactment of the UHCD in Hawaii, but could serve as a guideline in cases where a surrogate decisionmaker is yet to be appointed. This was the first case where the guardian was able to remove feeding tubes without a written declaration of the incapacitated person’s intentions. Despite the wishes of a child, other family members and interested persons were allowed to testify and confirm the incapacitated person’s wishes to not be kept alive through feeding tubes.

Programs

Recognizing the important role of professional and paraprofessional workers affiliated with Area Agencies on Aging and their service-provider networks, training was provided out of the EOA. Members of the aging network were familiarized with the educational and resource materials developed for the public and encouraged to share them with clients, co-workers and agency board members. From this work, “End-of-life Care: An Aging Network Issues. An Advocacy Guide and Resource Kit,” was created for national distribution.

Based on trainings with the aging network, additional groups in the community have received training, concentrating on starting the conversation, documenting wishes, managing pain, accessing hospice and understanding reimbursement. Training was also provided in Arizona, New Mexico, Washington and Utah. (Representatives from the Arizona Attorney General’s Office presented at the Arizona training sessions.) End-of-life resource lists were also added to directories for seniors, women, health programs and professional education.

The Complete Life Course Curriculum was created by the University of Hawaii’s Center on Aging to support faith communities to expand outreach to dying and bereaved members. Materials are available via the Kokua Mau website or from the State Unit on Aging.

An additional program includes a document bank. Working with Hawaii Medical Service Association (Blue Cross Blue Shield of Hawaii), a document bank was developed to give doctors and facilities statewide access to advance directives. The project is in the process of expanding nationally. For more information visit www.myhealthdirective.com.

Will I receive competent care?

The Hospice Reimbursement Act of 1999 provided for: reimbursement for room and board in hospice units; reimbursement for hospice care services even if the referral does not result in admission; and the Department of Health licensing of hospice service agencies.

Another important factor in ensuring competent care is establishing appropriate curricula, by facilitating changes to medical and nursing school curricula, incorporating end-of-life and palliative care into existing programs and creating appropriate courses for public health and social work students. ELNEC and EPEC, end-of-life education programs for practicing nurses and doctors, also were offered.
MAINE
Legislation
Maine law has a comprehensive statute that provides citizens with the opportunity to express their wishes regarding the type of care they want to receive, once they no longer have the capacity to make decisions. Uniform Health-Care Decisions Act, 18-A M.R.S.A. §§ 5-801 to 5-817. A health care directive executed pursuant to this Act is not limited to end-of-life care decisions and can include pain management or other medical care decisions. A format for the health care directive is provided in the statute to facilitate the process. In the absence of a written health care directive, this statute also permits surrogates to carry out the wishes of the individual (expressed while competent) regarding end-of-life care.

Programs
Late last year, representatives from the Office of the Attorney General, the Department of Human Services and the Bureau of Elder and Adult Services, undertook plans to form a group to review deaths and cases of serious bodily injury associated with suspected abuse and neglect of elderly and vulnerable adults. These cases could include referrals that relate to the failure to provide reasonable and appropriate end-of-life care. The group is called the Maine Elder Death Analysis Review Team (MEDART).

The purpose of the group will be to review deaths and cases of serious bodily injury related to, or caused by, abuse and neglect in order to identify whether the systems that have the purpose or responsibility to assist or protect victims were sufficient for the particular circumstances, or whether such systems require adjustment or improvement. The group will, hopefully, act as a catalyst for system changes that will improve the response to victims and prevent similar outcomes in the future.

The MEDART has received a small, one-year grant from the Victims of Crime Act program of the U.S. Department of Justice to cover administrative costs for the group. Maine is one of four pilot sites in the United States to host this innovative program.

The team is currently at the stage of putting together the working group that will participate in the case studies. In doing so, they have secured representation from the following agencies: the Office of the Attorney General, the Bureau of Elder and Adult Services, Licensing and Certification, the Maine State Police, Office of the Chief Medical Examiner, the Long Term Care Ombudsman Program, and the Maine Chiefs, Sheriffs and Prosecutors Associations.

In April 2003, legislation was introduced, L.D. 1211, to recognize the team, define its composition, duties and powers, and resolve issues surrounding confidentiality and access to records. The proposed legislation has not yet been acted upon by the legislature. Although not an office initiative, the assistant attorneys general assigned to the Board of Osteopathic Licensure and the Board of Medical Licensure assisted these clients in drafting regulations regarding appropriate pain management. A primary purpose of these regulations was to encourage practitioners to properly treat pain without fear that they would be reported for over prescribing or hastening the patient’s death. These regulations can be found at 02-373 or 02-283 C.M.R. Chapter 11. http://www.state.me.us/osu/cec/ect/apa/02/chaps02.htm.

MARYLAND
The Office of Attorney General has worked in a number of ways to address legal issues related to end-of-life care.

Pain Management
In the area of pain and other symptom management, the Attorney General has worked to clarify legal issues for professionals and the public. The office, via letter, has notified health professionals and the public that nursing homes have a regulatory obligation to provide medically appropriate pain management for terminally ill residents. The office also has notified health professionals, via letter, that Maryland's Assisted Suicide Act does not apply to medically appropriate measures to relieve pain and other symptoms, and guidelines can be identified to avoid misconstruing proper efforts to manage symptoms as assisted suicide. As part of this effort, the office has participated with physician educators in developing a web-based training program for medical residents, including a statement from the Attorney General's Office reassuring physicians about the use of opioids for appropriate symptom relief.

Recognizing the importance of creating a supportive environment for pain management practices, the office has arranged for an expert in pain management to train nursing home surveyors — to encourage oversight of nursing home pain management practices. The office also has joined the medical licensing board in providing materials, including video, that inform physicians about state pain policy. Other successes include a partnership with palliative care physicians to create a hotline for general practice and other physicians who would need quick, Assistant attorneys general assigned to the medical boards also assist the boards in reviewing complaints regarding the appropriateness of the end-of-life care to assure that competent care is rendered.

Additionally, the office has a strong policy of trying to make sure that patients have access to appropriate, affordable medications. Furthermore, the office has worked closely with the legislature and client agencies on several issues that would impact palliative care.

Litigation
In the past few years, the Maine Department of Human Services has attempted to initiate a program, (Maine Rx), that would reduce the costs of necessary medication to persons not eligible for the MaineCare, (Medicaid), or other public or private health care programs. This program could help eligible persons pay for necessary pain medication. A drug manufacturer filed litigation to block this program. This office represents the Department of Human Services and the Office of the Attorney General in this litigation and has supported the department in its efforts to have the state’s Rx program approved by the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. Pharmaceutical Research & Manufacturers of America v. Kevin Concannon, Commissioner, Maine Department of Human Services, 249 F.3d 66 (2001).

The office's Healthcare Crimes Unit also actively investigates providers of MaineCare who abuse or neglect patients and can initiate prosecutions when warranted. A recent case included an end-of-life care issue. At the conclusion of the investigation, a referral to the medical examiner's office and the licensing board was made.
expert advice on pain management. In addition, the office has supported the creation of a state advisory group to make recommendations on improved pain management, with an employee from the Attorney General’s Office serving as a member.

Respecting individual wishes
The Attorney General’s Office has been very active in ensuring that health care professionals and the public understand the complex legal issues at stake in identifying and carrying out the wishes of an individual. The office issued two formal opinions analyzing decisionmaking under the general durable power of attorney statute, the former living will law, and constitutional and common law, both before and after the landmark Cruzan case.

The office also advised, via letter, regarding the implementation of a nursing home resident’s decision to decline attempted CPR. Other advisories include clarifying the distinction between a patient’s decisionmaking through the informed consent doctrine and a patient’s use of an oral advance directive, as well as the circumstances under which a living will is binding on a surrogate.

Working to create a supportive environment for individual planning
The office has been actively drafting legislation, analyzing legislation and defending current laws that protect the rights of individuals. The office participated in drafting and enacting the Health Care Decisions Act of 1993. The office also was involved in assessing the impact of all proposed legislation in this area (e.g., failed bill last session that would have required Medicaid applicants to give special attention to completing advance directives). Other legislative activities include drafting and enacting legislation to create a permanent advisory council on end-of-life care (The Attorney General’s Office serves as a member of this and two earlier, temporary advisory groups); working on legislation authorizing recognition of an EMS “do not resuscitate” order in all care settings; and rewriting the EMS “do not resuscitate” order to make it easier to use.

The office has aggressively represented the state survey agency in imposing sanctions on nursing homes for intentional noncompliance with instruction in an advance directive. In addition, the office filed an amicus brief in support of deferential standard --- when a health care agent’s decision is challenged by a family member who was not selected by the patient as an agent.

Promoting an effective system of care
In promoting an effective system of care, the office has addressed the application and implementation of current law with respect to resuscitation orders, and provisions for “medically ineffective treatment.” The office has issued: a formal opinion discussing the application of the Health Care Decisions Act to “do not resuscitate” orders; a formal opinion discussing how the law on “medically ineffective treatment” applies to feeding tubes; a formal opinion discussing care responsibilities for a terminally ill child in the public school system whose parents have authorized a “do not resuscitate” order; seven letters on various issues related to “do not resuscitate” orders; and three letters about the application of the “medically ineffective treatment” provision of the Health Care Decisions Act to various situations.

Working to create a supportive environment for quality care
Working with the hospice network to bring training on end-of-life care to hospitals across the state has been invaluable to reaching out to health care professionals and to the public. Providing information through approximately 30 talks annually to various professional and lay groups on end-of-life care also has increased awareness and sensitivity to this critical topic. The office responds to five to 10 calls per week from health care professionals asking questions about end-of-life decisionmaking. In addition, approximately 10-15 calls are fielded each week from citizens asking questions about advance care planning. Optional advance directive forms and instructions are available on the web site, in addition to a number of educational materials and legal interpretations in response to phone, e-mail, and postal requests. (The web site links directly to the “Five Wishes” document and has been part of a pilot project on the use of Five Wishes in the workplace.) The office prepares and makes widely available a number of educational materials, including summaries of the law, PowerPoint presentations and decision algorithms for physicians. The office provides training for judges on end-of-life issues; participates in a statewide ethics committee network; and actively participates in the Last Acts coalition.

Currently, the office is working with researchers to develop data on end-of-life decision making by public guardians. The office also is developing data on the impact of the state survey process on the use of feeding tubes in nursing homes. Additionally, staff members are conducting a study on state law and policy affecting people with Alzheimer’s disease and their caregivers.

NEW MEXICO
In October 2002, the New Mexico Health Policy Commission (HPC) completed a report on end-of-life health care issues in the state. The report, compiled at the request of the New Mexico state legislature through Senate Memorial 22, was the result of a myriad of professionals working together, including two attorneys from the New Mexico Office of the Attorney General.

The final report was the result of a study of pain management in the state that included a survey of citizens receiving prescription medications, a site survey of the opinions, beliefs and knowledge of health professionals throughout the state and a task force asked to consider the issues and make recommendations to the legislature.

Although the report produced a number of key findings, there were several critical areas of concern that emerged – the need for more education about pain and pain management, for both patients and health professionals; significant limited knowledge about the etiology of pain; the actual risks and benefits of opioids in the treatment of pain and effective pain management, in general, by health professionals; lack of attention given to pain management in the curricula of the professionals school; no competency requirements for pain management that are required for licensure; fear among health care providers that they make themselves vulnerable to discipline and/or legal action when they prescribe opioids and other narcotics for pain; and the need for the creation of a State Advisory Council on Pain Management, which would be responsible for instituting statewide education efforts for both providers and patients.

The full set of recommendations also includes other issues, such as a patient bill of rights and establishing, in statute, the right of all New Mexicans to receive appropriate treatment for pain. The report provided citizens and the legislature with “insightful and useful” information and recommendations that can be used to develop effective pain management public policy for the state.
State Initiatives

In addition to increasing awareness of this critical legal area on a national level, the Office of Attorney General has worked to raise awareness on a state level. Attorney General Edmondson has assigned an attorney general to liaison with the state coalition on end-of-life care for the past four years. This individual has represented the office in working with the Oklahoma Association on Health Care Ethics, the Robert Wood Johnson Foundation funded Alliance for Better Care of the Dying, in addition to making presentations to state agencies related to health care, and to the public on end-of-life care. As a result of this work, the current governor and immediate past governor endorsed Palliative Care Week in Oklahoma, and stood side-by-side with Attorney General Edmondson to inform Oklahomans of the importance of advance health care directives.

Attorney General Edmondson has advocated legislation to simplify the Advance Directive form in Oklahoma. And, this summer, Attorney General Edmondson will publish an article on the role of Attorneys General in improving end-of-life care in the law review of the Oklahoma City University School of Law.

The office continues its enforcement efforts. Recently, the office’s Medicaid Fraud Control Unit prosecuted a nurse for felony caretaker abuse and misdemeanor verbal abuse by a caretaker. The nurse worked the evening shift at a nursing home. One of the patients complained about treatment from the nurse several times. The person holding power of attorney for the patient placed a video camera and monitor in the patient’s room and advised the nursing home administrator of his action. The administrator, likewise, notified the staff of the camera recording events in the patient’s room. Amazingly, the nurse continued to treat the patient in an abusive manner. In addition, an investigation revealed that the nurse had failed to administer the pain medication Darvocet on several occasions during her shifts. The doctor’s order for Darvocet instructed that it be given every six hours for pain management. On the video, the patient is heard saying to the nurse and two aides that she feels she is “breaking in two.” The nurse claimed that she didn’t know what the patient meant by that. It was obvious from the six hours of video that the patient was in pain throughout the evening. The nurse waived a preliminary hearing and entered a guilty plea on July 11, 2002. She received a five-year deferred sentence. A condition of her probation is to refrain from employment as a caretaker for the elderly. On October 8, 2002, the chief of the office’s Medicaid Fraud Unit received a letter from the Oklahoma Board of Nursing advising that the nurse’s license was suspended.

Oregon Attorney General’s Office has served in a consulting and advisory role with respect to state agencies in the human services and health-related licensing board arenas. Those state agencies are partners with a number of organizations that are actively engaged in increasing public awareness and education on end-of-life health care issues, and they are also involved in developing standards for emerging issues related to end-of-life health care.

Will my pain be managed?

Oregon has established, by statute, a Pain Management Commission within the Department of Human Services. Oregon Revised Statutes 409.500 – .570 call for the commission to develop a pain management education program curriculum, in coordination with health professional regulatory boards and other health profession-
als. The statute then phases in requirements for physician assistants, nurses, psychologists, chiropractors, naturopaths and physicians to participate in the pain management education program.
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RHODE ISLAND
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ment the recommendations. After considering both public and private entities to fulfill those responsibilities, the Attorney General concluded, that as the chief legal officer for the state, he was in the unique position to bring both the medical and the legal community to the table to discuss improving end-of-life care. Thus, the Attorney General Task Force to Improve End of Life Care ("Task Force") was formed in May 2001.

The Task Force consists of approximately 60 members, representing health care providers such as physicians, hospitals, nurses, nursing homes, hospices, academic medical programs, such as Brown Medical School, University of Rhode Island College of Pharmacy, Rhode Island College School of Nursing; advocacy groups, such as the AARP, CCJ and the Alliance for Better Long Term Care; the legal community; such as representatives from the Rhode Island Bar Association, hospital and advocate attorneys; religious/spiritual representatives, such as the Interfaith Ministries and the Rhode Island Council of Churches; and governmental entities, such as the Rhode Island Department of Health, Rhode Island Department of Elderly Affairs and the Rhode Island Department of Attorney General. The Task Force was divided into two steering committees — the Clinical Steering Committee, which reviews recommendations concerning pain assessment and management, and the Medical-Legal Steering Committee, which reviews the advanced directive recommendations of the end-of-life conference.

**Will my pain be managed?**

The Clinical Steering Committee reviewed the recommendations concerning pain assessment and management and concluded that a two-pronged approach, legislative and educational, would best implement the recommendation. The Clinical Steering Committee drafted the Pain Assessment Act, which was introduced in the General Assembly at the request of the Attorney General. It was enacted in June 2002. The Pain Assessment Act requires all licensed health care providers and health care facilities to assess pain on a regular basis and record findings in the patients’ medical records. In addition, the Pain Assessment Act makes pain assessment on a regular basis a patient’s right.

With the assistance of the Clinical Steering Committee, the Rhode Island Department of Health is in the process of promulgating Rules and Regulations Related to Pain Assessment. The scope of the proposed Rules and Regulations concerning the Pain Assessment Act is very broad and requires the following elements of pain assessment: assessing pain intensity, location of pain, duration, onset and characteristics of pain, and the patient’s goals and alleviation of causative factors. In addition, the proposed regulations require that a physical examination shall be conducted and noted in the record. The proposed regulations provide for both in-patient and outpatient treatment. We expect the proposed Rules and Regulation Related to Pain Assessment to be final by the end of June 2003.

In the course of reviewing the recommendations concerning pain, the Clinical Steering Committee reviewed state laws concerning pain management or pain assessment. Although Rhode Island has an Intractable Pain Act, the Act did not recognize the present state of who is licensed to prescribe pain medications. The Intractable Pain Act only pertained to physicians and did not consider the role of physician assistants and nurse practitioners as licensed prescribers of pain medication. The Clinical Steering Committee drafted an amendment to the Intractable Pain Act, which extended its protections to prescribed controlled substances. The revisions to the Intractable Pain Act became law in July 2002.

Recognizing that pain at the end of life is a great fear of patients, the Clinical Steering Committee drafted annual resolutions to create Pain Awareness Week, which the House of Representatives approved for 2001 and 2002 and is pending for 2003. The Pain Awareness Week Resolutions provide for a media opportunity to increase awareness about pain assessment and management for health care providers and patients. The Task Force and its members provide educational programs concerning pain assessment or management for health care providers. The programs cover a broad range of topics, for example: the role of law enforcement in pain management; proper documentation for pain management programs; the medical-legal ethical aspects of pain assessment and management; alternative complementary pain treatment; and explaining the Pain Assessment Act.

To help health care providers assess and manage pain, the Clinical Steering Committee prepared a Pain Relief Guide. It may be accessed at www.riag.state.ri.us. The Pain Relief Guide is undergoing revisions to update it.

In 2003, the Hospice Steering Committee was formed to address the short length of stay in hospice. The Hospice Steering Committee prepared legislation to increase awareness about hospice by creating the opportunity for patients to receive information about hospice in the Patient’s Bill of Rights. The legislation was introduced at the request of the Attorney General and has passed both the House and Senate. Also, the Hospice Steering Committee drafted a Hospice Awareness Week Resolution, which passed the House and Senate, and has been transmitted to the governor. The Hospice Steering Committee is preparing activities for Hospice Awareness Week, October 19-23, 2003, to educate the public and health care providers about hospice.

**Will my wishes be known and honored?**

The Attorney General Task Force to Improve End of Life Care formed the Medical-Legal Steering Committee, which consists of physicians, attorneys, social workers, nurses, religious/spiritual representatives and patient advocates. One of the recommendations from the End of Life Conference Series was to encourage more people to execute Durable Power of Attorney for Health Care forms by changing the Durable Power of Attorney for Health Care forms to be easier to execute and more meaningful to physicians. Hospitals frequently did not honor them because they were improperly witnessed or did not have two qualified witnesses. The Attorney General requested that the legislature revise the Durable Power of Attorney for Health Care Act to permit either two qualified witnesses or one notary public to witness a Durable Power of Attorney for Health Care form. The revised Durable Power of Attorney for Health Care became law in July 2002.

The Medical-Legal Steering Committee tackled another obstacle preventing people from engaging in advanced care planning. The Statutory Durable Power of Attorney for Health Care form was written by attorneys; however, the Durable Power of Attorney for Health Care form is interpreted by health care providers. The language used in the Statutory Durable Power of Attorney for Health Care form was written above the average reading level and is legalese. Health care providers were having difficulty interpreting it because it did not contain sufficient information to help guide the agent and the health care provider to honor a patient’s wishes for medical treatment. The Medical-Legal Steering Committee drafted a revised Durable Power of Attorney for Health Care form, which was user-friendly and provided agents and health care professionals with relevant information to honor the patient’s wishes. The revised Durable Power of Attorney for Health Care form is available in English and Spanish on the Attorney General’s web page, www.riag.state.ri.us.

The Task Force and its members have sponsored numerous educational programs for health care providers and the public. The Department of Elderly Affairs, a member of the Task Force, is providing educational programs on advance care planning at senior centers and assisted-living facilities.
To date, the Department of Attorney General has not been involved in litigation involving honoring a patient's wishes. The Department of Attorney General, however, has assisted numerous families and health care providers in resolving confusion about patient's wishes for end-of-life treatment.

**Will I receive competent care?**
The Department of Attorney General is aware of the importance of competent care, not just for end-of-life care, but for quality health care. To assist the citizens of Rhode Island, the Department of Attorney General has established two units that are involved with competent care issues. The Office of Health Care Advocate is a statutory position authorizing the Health Care Advocate to appear in amicus and civil actions involving health care quality standards; to intervene in administrative actions related to health care insurance; to review complaints and conduct investigations concerning the quality of health care delivery; to assist directors of state agencies related to inadequacies in health care or health insurance; to take all necessary and appropriate action, including public education, legislative advocacy; and to institute formal legal action to secure and ensure compliance with Rhode Island health and safety laws and insurance laws.

The Medicaid Fraud Unit has been renamed the Medicaid Fraud and Patient Abuse Unit. The unit not only looks at the financial aspects of Medicaid fraud, but also at the impact of Medicaid fraud on patients, such as incompetent care. The failure to adequately treat pain is a type of patient abuse, which the unit investigates.

There are several quality initiatives within the state in which members of the Department of Attorney General participate. By law, the Rhode Island Department of Health is required to develop quality indicators for all sectors of health care and publicly report the quality status of health care entities. The Rhode Island Quality Institute is a health care quality initiative consisting of hospitals, doctors, nurses, insurers and businesses seeking to collaborate to reduce medical errors, improve quality of health care and contain health care costs. The Rhode Island Quality Institute is implementing a pilot program for electronic prescription writing for non-hospital based physicians. This is a pilot program for Sure Script, a national program. The Rhode Island Quality Institute is also developing an initiative, eICU, which would permit hospitals that do not have intensivists on staff to be electronically connected to hospitals that have 24-hour intensivists, so that real time assessment of ICU patients could be performed by an intensivist.

The Department of Attorney General seeks to improve end-of-life care, as well as health care in general, through legislative initiatives, outreach and satisfying its statutory duty under Rhode Island law.

**TEXAS**

**Will my pain be managed?**
The Chief of the Elder Law and Public Health Division of the Office of Attorney General issued a memo and met with key staff at the Texas Department of Human Services to discuss relevant health care issues, including NAAG’s initiative on pain assessment and management.

The Office of Attorney General intends to become more aggressive in pain violation detection, writing violations and enforcement. The office also has addressed the topic in numerous speeches and addresses delivered to both state-side nursing home regulators and private industry nursing home staff and management. In addition, Elder Law and Public Health attorneys and staff have been educated on pain assessment and management issues.

Currently, the office has one case pending.

**Will my wishes be known and honored?**
The Chief of the Elder Law and Public Health Division of the Office of Attorney General sat as an advisory member of the Texas Advance Directives Coalition. The coalition recommended amendments to the Texas Advance Directives Act, which have been incorporated into bills pending before the state legislature. The Senate Health and Human Services Committee completed its hearing on the Senate version of the Advance Directives Act, SB 1320, and unanimously reported the bill back to the full Senate with the recommendation that the Senate pass it and send it to the House of Representatives. The committee also recommended the bill for the Senate Consent Calendar, which should ensure that the bill makes its way rapidly through the Senate and help assure its final passage.

In an effort to educate the public regarding the importance of planning for end-of-life care, the office published an Advance Directives pamphlet and newspaper column. The pamphlet is currently being revised. The Chief of the Elder Law and Public Health Division and the division’s outreach coordinator have included the topic of advance directives in their speeches to seniors across the state.

The office has provided education on advance directive issues to Elder Law and Public Health attorneys and staff. Currently, the office has four cases/investigations --- one of which was settled in March 2003. In one particular egregious case, the facility stored the resident’s directives in the basement; had no system in place for determining whether a resident was “do not resuscitate” (DNR) or full code; and attempted life-sustaining treatment on one resident who was a DNR, while the facility failed to take any measures on another resident who was full code. (All of the office’s Elder Law cases arguably involve a failure to provide competent care.)

---

**WASHINGTON**

**Will my pain be managed?**

In 1996, the Washington State Medical Quality Assurance Commission published the Guidelines for Pain Management in Washington State. The document clearly indicates that opiates can be prescribed, dispensed or administered, when there is medical need, without fear of discipline. The guidelines establish standards for prescriptions, etc. Last Acts’ publication, Means to a Better End, gave Washington an A grade for its pain laws and policies because they do not create barriers for good pain management. The Washington Attorney General’s Office has not issued any formal opinions on this matter.

**Will my wishes be known and honored?**

In the publication, Means to a Better End, the report notes that Washington laws do “an average job of supporting good advance care planning in the form of living wills and medical powers of attorney, which designate a health care decision-maker should the patient become unable to communicate.” Relevant laws include the Washington Natural Death Act (RCW 70.122), which allows an individual to determine his or her wishes in the event of a terminal or permanent unconscious condition. There is also Durable Power of Attorney (RCW 11.94), which allows a person to appoint an agent to make health care decisions on their behalf, should the person become incompetent.
In the state of Washington, a model of care was developed by a hospice team using physician-directed symptom management algorithms established guidelines for pain and distressing symptom care. These guidelines also addressed issues of spiritual distress, psychological distress and cultural differences. Along with pharmacists who received prescriptive authority from the State Board of Pharmacy and an interdisciplinary hospice team, this model allowed pain and other distressing symptoms to be alleviated within two hours in the home care arena, when previously it took more than 48 hours. This resulted in overall greater patient, caregiver and physician satisfaction, a hospitalization rate of less than one percent for the home care patients, and minimal emergency room utilization. This model has now been adapted to other locations of care and is one example of how an integrated effective team approach can greatly improve care and contain costs. The effectiveness of the interdisciplinary team model has brought a richness of expertise into the palliative care arena that other areas of medicine could benefit from modeling.

In order to increase the public’s understanding of end-of-life issues, the Attorney General’s Office has prepared and distributed a brochure and a website entitled, Dealing with Death. The publication guides citizens through the “business process” surrounding the death of a loved one. Among other things, it covers living wills and other directives. Dealing with Death is available on the Attorney General’s Office website at www.wa.gov/ago.

The success of these efforts has garnered much attention from experts. According to the policy paper entitled, End of Life Care in Washington State (August 14, 2002), prepared by the Washington End of Life Consensus Coalition (WEOLCC) and published by the Rainier Institute, “Washington State is an excellent example …, where state sponsored trainings have spawned several community forums and new coalitions are forming statewide because of the interest piqued at these forums and other activities.” The policy position is available on the Rainier Institute web page at www.rainierinstitute.com

Will I receive competent care?

Means to a Better End gave Washington a C grade in the percentage of palliative care-certified physicians and nurses. The following is excerpted from the WEOLCC position in End-of-Life Care in Washington State:

“Palliative care physicians are spearheading the promotion of interdisciplinary team management, where the expertise of each team member plays a vital role in the overall patient treatment plan. Whether one is in the hospital, homebound, in a long term care facility or assisted living facility, the interdisciplinary nature of palliative care teams allows for flexibility. Palliative care resource teams act as consultants, allowing the most appropriate member of the team to do the initial assessment. Many models exist currently, including hospitals with palliative care units, home care agencies with hospices or hospice-like like teams, nursing homes with designated palliative care beds, or assisted living facilities with access to any of the above.”
SECTION 4: RESOURCES

NATIONAL END-OF-LIFE CARE ORGANIZATIONS

AARP
AARP is a nonprofit, nonpartisan membership organization dedicated to making life better for people 50 and over. It works to promote and support advocacy, education, and community service activities in states and communities. AARP gives support around end-of-life care issues, including providing advice on legal issues, grief and loss, and caregiving.
WASHINGTON, DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA
www.aarp.org/endoflife

AGING WITH DIGNITY
Aging with Dignity provides people dying in America with the practical information, advice, and legal tools needed to ensure that their wishes and those of their loved ones will be respected. Aging with Dignity works to safeguard the rights of the sick, aging, or dying.
TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA
www.agingwithdignity.org

AMERICAN ACADEMY OF HOSPICE AND PALLIATIVE MEDICINE
The American Academy of Hospice and Palliative Medicine (AAHPM) is the only organization in the United States for physicians dedicated to the advancement of hospice/palliative medicine. AAHPM members are physicians from many medical specialties who are committed to fostering the practice of hospice/palliative care for the terminally ill and their families.
GLENVIEW, ILLINOIS
www.aahpm.org

AMERICAN ALLIANCE OF CANCER PAIN INITIATIVES
The American Alliance of Cancer Pain Initiatives (AACPI) is dedicated to promoting cancer relief nationwide by supporting the efforts of State Cancer Pain Initiatives. AACPI provides national leadership and advocacy for the Initiative movement, recommends program direction, supports Initiative growth and development, facilitates regular communication among Initiatives, fosters collaborations with other organizations, and organizes an annual national meeting.
MADISON, WISCONSIN
www.aacpi.org

AMERICAN ALLIANCE OF CANCER PAIN INITIATIVES
The American Alliance of Cancer Pain Initiatives (AACPI) is dedicated to promoting cancer relief nationwide by supporting the efforts of State Cancer Pain Initiatives. AACPI provides national leadership and advocacy for the Initiative movement, recommends program direction, supports Initiative growth and development, facilitates regular communication among Initiatives, fosters collaborations with other organizations, and organizes an annual national meeting.
MADISON, WISCONSIN
www.aacpi.org

AMERICANS FOR BETTER CARE OF THE DYING
Americans for Better Care of the Dying (ABCD) aims to improve end-of-life care by learning which social and political changes will lead to ending, efficient, and effective programs. ABCD works with the public, clinicians, policymakers, and other end-of-life care organizations to make change happen.
WASHINGTON, DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA
www.abcd-caring.org

AMERICANS FOR BETTER CARE OF THE DYING
Americans for Better Care of the Dying (ABCD) aims to improve end-of-life care by learning which social and political changes will lead to ending, efficient, and effective programs. ABCD works with the public, clinicians, policymakers, and other end-of-life care organizations to make change happen.
WASHINGTON, DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA
www.abcd-caring.org

AMERICAN HOSPICE FOUNDATION
The American Hospice Foundation supports programs that serve the needs of terminally ill and grieving individuals of all ages. Its programs include training school professionals who work with grieving students, educating employers and managers about the needs of grieving employees, and creating tools to help hospices reach out to their communities.
WASHINGTON, DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA
www.americanhospice.org

BROWN UNIVERSITY CENTER FOR GERONTOLOGY AND HEALTH CARE RESEARCH
The Center for Gerontology and Health Care Research, with funding from The Robert Wood Johnson Foundation, is engaged in a five-year research effort to use both existing and new data collections to describe the dying experience in the United States utilizing maps that display geographical data about quality of end-of-life care.
PROVIDENCE, RHODE ISLAND
www.cho.brown.edu/dying/factsondying.htm

CENTER TO ADVANCE PALLIATIVE CARE
The Center to Advance Palliative Care (CAPC) is a resource for hospitals and health systems interested in developing palliative care programs. The Center serves a broad constituency of providers and interested groups including physicians, nurses, educators, policymakers, health researchers, and, ultimately, patients and their families in an effort to improve the availability and quality of palliative care.
NEW YORK, NEW YORK
www.capc.org

CHILDREN’S HOSPICE INTERNATIONAL
Children’s Hospice International (CHI) serves as an advocate in meeting the needs of children with life-threatening conditions and their families. Its programs include support systems and a referral network for children with life-threatening conditions and their families, and support of health care providers and organizations that engage in the treatment of children with life-threatening conditions and their families.
ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA
www.chi-online.org

CITY OF HOPE PAIN/PALLIATIVE CARE RESOURCE CENTER
The City of Hope Pain/Palliative Care Resource Center (COHPPRC) is a clearinghouse for disseminating information and resources to assist others in improving the quality of pain management and end-of-life care. The COHPPRC is a central source for collecting a variety of materials, including: pain assessment tools; patient education materials; quality assurance materials; end-of-life resources; research instruments; and other resources.
DUARTE, CALIFORNIA
www.pni.cosh.org

END-OF-LIFE NURSING EDUCATION CONSORTIUM
Funded by a grant from The Robert Wood Johnson Foundation, the End-of-Life Nursing Education Consortium (ELNEC) project is a comprehensive, national education program to improve end-of-life care by nurses. ELNEC works to develop a core of expert nursing educators and to coordinate national nursing education efforts in end-of-life care.
WASHINGTON, DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA
www.aacn.nche.edu/elnec

EDUCATION FOR PHYSICIANS ON END-OF-LIFE CARE
Supported by a grant from The Robert Wood Johnson Foundation, Education for Physicians on End-of-Life Care (EPEC) works to educate all physicians on the essential clinical competencies required to provide quality end-of-life care.
CHICAGO, ILLINOIS
www.epec.net

“IT IS ABOUT ACCESS TO CARE THAT COMPORTS WITH THE NEEDS, VALUES, AND CULTURAL BACKGROUND OF CONSUMERS. IT IS ABOUT CARE THAT ASSURES THAT THE VALUES OF INDIVIDUAL AND FAMILY AUTONOMY AND INFORMED CONSENT ARE REALIZED.”
KAREN ORLOFF KAPLAN, SC, MPH
NATIONAL PROGRAM DIRECTOR, LAST ACTS
END OF LIFE/PALLIATIVE EDUCATION RESOURCE CENTER
The End of Life/Palliative Education Resource Center (EPERC) works to assist physicians and other educators involved in all aspects of physician end-of-life education. EPERC provides brief abstracts describing peer-reviewed instructional and evaluation materials, a list of core resources for End-of-Life educators, and opportunities for training, educational funding, and pending conferences.
MILWAUKEE, WISCONSIN
www.eperc.muw.edu

HOSPICE FOUNDATION OF AMERICA
The Hospice Foundation of America is a non-profit organization that provides leadership in the development and application of hospice and its philosophy of care. Through programs of professional development, research, public education and information, the Hospice Foundation of America assists those who cope either personally or professionally with terminal illness, death, and the process of grief.
WASHINGTON, DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA
www.hospicefoundation.org

HOSPICE AND PALLIATIVE NURSES ASSOCIATION
The Hospice and Palliative Nurses Association (HPNA) seeks to promote excellence in hospice and palliative nursing; HPNA works to exchange information, experiences, and ideas, to promote understanding of the specialties of hospice and palliative nursing; and to study and promote hospice and palliative nurses.
PITTSBURGH, PENNSYLVANIA
www.hpna.org

INITIATIVE TO IMPROVE PALLIATIVE CARE FOR AFRICAN-AMERICANS
The Initiative to Improve Palliative Care for African-Americans (IPC) works to educate key stakeholders and leadership organizations in the black community, build coalitions with palliative care health, education and policy groups, encourage and support rigorous and innovative educational activities and research, and shape public policy through forums and publications.
NEW YORK, NEW YORK
http://tpca.nihhost2atlantic.net

LAST ACTS
Last Acts is a national coalition of organizations engaged in an unprecedented education campaign to improve care for people who are dying and their families. Last Acts serves as a clearinghouse for sharing information and ideas at the national, state, and local levels.
WASHINGTON, DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA
www.lastacts.org

LIFE’S END INSTITUTE: MISSOURA DEMONSTRATION PROJECT
The Missouri Demonstration Project, now known as Life’s End Institute, researches the experiences of dying persons and their families to demonstrate that a community-based approach of excellent physical, psychological, and spiritual care improves the quality of life among those who are dying and their families.
MISSOURI, MONTANA
www.lifes-end.org

MIDWEST BIOETHICS: COMMUNITY-STATE PARTNERSHIPS TO IMPROVE END-OF-LIFE CARE
The Midwest Bioethics Center helps communities find practical solutions to ethical problems in the health and health care arenas. Housed at the Midwest Bioethics Centers, Community-State Partnerships to Improve End-of-Life Care awards grants that support statewide coalitions working with citizens, health care professionals, educators, and policymakers to identify problems, make recommendations, and build public support for practical policies, regulations, and guidelines to improve care of the dying.
KANSAS CITY, MISSOURI
www.misbio.org

NATIONAL HOSPICE AND PALLIATIVE CARE ORGANIZATION
The National Hospice and Palliative Care Organization (NHPCO) is the largest nonprofit membership organization representing hospice and palliative care programs and professionals in the United States. The organization is committed to improving end-of-life care and expanding access to hospice care with the goal of profoundly enhancing quality of life for people dying in America.
ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA
www.nhpco.org

NATIONAL RESOURCE CENTER ON DIVERSITY IN END-OF-LIFE CARE
The National Resource Center on Diversity in End-of-Life Care (NRCD) works to maximize the involvement of diverse cultures in the national dialogue about end-of-life care. NRCD serves as a place for networking, a clearinghouse for minority leadership, research findings, best practices, and as a technical resource to the Rural Points Regional Resource Centers and the National Coordinating Center.
WASHINGTON, DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA
www.nrcd.com

OPEN SOCIETY INSTITUTE’S PROJECT ON DEATH IN AMERICA
The Open Society Institute’s programs support initiatives in a range of social areas, including improving care of the dying. The Project on Death in America works to understand and transform the culture and experience of dying and bereavement through initiatives in research, scholarship, the humanities, and the arts, and to foster innovations in the provision of care, public education, professional education, and public policy.
NEW YORK, NEW YORK
www.soros.org/death/

ONCOLOGY NURSING SOCIETY
The Oncology Nursing Society (ONS) is a professional organization of more than 30,000 registered nurses and other health care providers dedicated to excellence in patient care, education, research, and administration in oncology nursing. It is also the largest professional oncology association in the world.
PITTSBURGH, PENNSYLVANIA
www.Ons.org

PARTNERSHIP FOR CARING: AMERICA’S VOICES FOR THE DYING
Partnership for Caring: America’s Voices for the Dying is a national, nonprofit organization devoted to raising consumer expectations for excellent end-of-life care and increasing demand for such care. It is the only end-of-life organization that partners individuals and organizations with patients and professionals in a powerful collaboration of voices.
WASHINGTON, DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA
www.partnersforcaring.org

PROMOTING EXCELLENCE IN END-OF-LIFE CARE
A national program office of The Robert Wood Johnson Foundation, and housed at the Practical Ethics Center of the University of Montana, Promoting Excellence in End-of-Life Care works to improve health care for dying persons and their families by providing technical assistance to innovative demonstration projects addressing particular challenges to existing models of hospice and palliative care.
MISSOURI, MONTANA
www.promotingexcellence.org

SUPPORTIVE CARE OF THE DYING
Supportive Care of the Dying is a non-profit coalition that works to bring about cultural change regarding pain and symptom management and relief of suffering for persons living with and affected by life-threatening illnesses. To accomplish this goal, Supportive Care of the Dying focuses on interventialn with health care professionals, the community, and the Church.
PORTLAND, OREGON
www.careofdying.org

UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN PAIN & POLICY STUDIES GROUP
The University of Wisconsin Pain & Policy Studies Group (PPSG) works to balance international, national, and state policies to ensure adequate availability of pain medications for patient care while minimizing diversion and abuse. The group supports a global communications program to improve access to information about pain relief, palliative care, and policy.
MADISON, WISCONSIN
www.medsch.wisc.edu/painpolicy/
Related National Organizations and Websites

AARP – http://www.aarp.org
Aging with Dignity – http://www.agingwithdignity.org
American Academy of Hospice and Palliative Medicine (AAHPM) – http://www.aahpm.org
American Alliance of Cancer Pain Initiatives (AACPI) – http://www.aacpi.org
American Pain Foundation – http://www.painfoundation.org
American Pain Society (APS) – http://www.ampainsoc.org
Americans for Better Care of the Dying (ABCD) – http://www.abcd-caring.org
Center to Advance Palliative Care (CAPC) – http://www.capcsmss.org
City of Hope National Medical Center PRC – http://prccoh.org
Children’s Hospice International – http://www.chionline.org
Community-State Partnerships to Improve End-of-Life Care – http://www.midbio.org
Education for Physicians on End-of-Life Care – http://www.epec.net/
End of Life Nursing Education Consortium – http://www.aacen.nche.edu/ehec
Hospice and Palliative Nurses Association (HPNA) – http://www.hpna.org
Last Acts – http://www.lastacts.org
Midwest Bioethics Center – http://www.midbio.org
National Hospice and Palliative Care Organization (NHPCO) – http://www.nhpco.org
Open Society Institute Project on Death in America – http://www.soros.org/death.html
Southern California Cancer Pain Initiative (SCCPI) – http://sccki.coh.org
Supportive Care of the Dying – http://www.careofdying.org

National Experts in End-of-Life Care

SUBJECT AREAS
ADVANCE CARE PLANNING
DIVERSITY
ETHICS
FINANCING
PAIN MANAGEMENT
PALLIATIVE CARE
PROFESSIONAL EDUCATION
PUBLIC POLICY

Susan Block, M.D.
Director of Faculty Scholars Program
Project on Death in America
Dana-Farber Cancer Institute
Boston, Massachusetts
Phone: (617) 632-6179
Email: sblook@partners.org
Subject Area: Palliative Care/Professional Education

William Breitbart, M.D.
Attending Physician
Pain and Palliative Care Service
Memorial Sloan-Kettering Cancer Center
New York, New York
Phone: (212) 639-3907
Email: wbibo@mskcc.org
Subject Area: Palliative Care

LaVerda V. Edwards, M.D.
Attorney who represented the Cruzan family before the Supreme Court
Author of Long Goodbye: The Deaths of Nancy Cruzan
City of Hope Medical Center
Duarte, California
Phone: (626) 356-2825
Email: lvedwards@coh.org
Subject Area: Palliative Care

Joseph Fins, M.D.
Director
End of Life Nursing Education Consortium
City of Hope Medical Center
Duarte, California
Phone: (626) 356-2825
Email: jfins@coh.org
Subject Area: Pain Management/Palliative Care

Betty Ferris, R.N., Ph.D.
Research Scientist
City of Hope National Medical Center
Duarte, California
Phone: (626) 356-2825
Email: bferrell@coh.org
Subject Area: Pain Management/Palliative Care

Frank Ferris, M.D.
Medical Director
Palliative Care Standards
San Diego Hospice and Palliative Care
San Diego, California
Phone: (619) 276-6225
Email: fferris@sdhosp.org
Subject Area: Palliative Care

Joseph Fins, M.D.
Director of Medical Ethics
New York Presbyterian Hospital
New York, New York
Phone: (212) 746-4246
Email: jfins@nyp.org
Subject Area: Ethics/Advance Care Planning

Kathleen M. Foley, M.D.
Project Director
Project on Death in America
Open Society Institute
New York, New York
Phone: (212) 639-7050
Email: kfoley@mskcc.org
Subject Area: Palliative Care/Professional Education

Constance M. Dublin, R.N., C.S., A.N.P.
Advanced Practice Nurse
Palliative Care Service
Massachusetts General Hospital
Boston, Massachusetts
Phone: (617) 724-8659
Email: cdublinpartners.org
Subject Area: Palliative Care

Grace H. Christ, D.S.W.
Director of Social Work
Baltimore, Maryland
Phone: (410) 580-5872
Email: gchrist@partners.org
Subject Area: Palliative Care/Professional Education

Myra Christopher
President and Chief Executive Officer
Midwest Bioethics Center
Missoula, Montana
Phone: (406) 221-1100
Email: mchrist@midbio.org
Subject Area: Public Policy/Ethics/Advance Care Planning

Jill Collins, J.D.
Attorney who represented the Cruzan family before the Supreme Court
Author of Long Goodbye: The Deaths of Nancy Cruzan
Center for State Health Policy
Rutgers University
New Brunswick, New Jersey
Phone: (732) 932-3105
Email: jcantor@cshp.rutgers.edu
Subject Area: Public Policy

Linda Emanuel, M.D., Ph.D.
Director
Battelle Center on Aging
Northwestern University Medical Center
Chicago, Illinois
Phone: (312) 503-2772
Email: lemanuel@northwestern.edu
Subject Area: Palliative Care/Professional Education

Grace H. Christ, D.S.W.
Director of Social Work
Baltimore, Maryland
Phone: (410) 580-5872
Email: gchrist@partners.org
Subject Area: Palliative Care/Professional Education

Myra Christopher
President and Chief Executive Officer
Midwest Bioethics Center
Missoula, Montana
Phone: (406) 221-1100
Email: mchrist@midbio.org
Subject Area: Public Policy/Ethics/Advance Care Planning

Jill Collins, J.D.
Attorney who represented the Cruzan family before the Supreme Court
Author of Long Goodbye: The Deaths of Nancy Cruzan
Center for State Health Policy
Rutgers University
New Brunswick, New Jersey
Phone: (732) 932-3105
Email: jcantor@cshp.rutgers.edu
Subject Area: Public Policy
Isabella Nardi
President and Chief Executive Officer
American Hospice Foundation
New York, New York
Phone: (202) 223-0004
E-mail: inardi@ahf.org
Subject Area: Palliative Care

Mary L. Meyer
Vice President, Special Projects
Supportive Care of the Dying
New York, New York
Phone: (800) 889-9445
E-mail: mary.meyer@supportivecare.org
Subject Area: Palliative Care

Galyn Miller, Ph.D.
Chief Executive Officer
Palliative Care
Beth Israel Medical Center
New York, New York
Phone: (212) 844-1505
E-mail: gmiller@bethisrael.org
Subject Area: Palliative Care

Judy Perez
Director, Dermatologic Surgery
Dermatologic Surgery Modifical School
New York, New York
Phone: (800) 938-2928
E-mail: judy.perez@dartmouth.edu
Subject Area: Pain Management

Colin Scanlon, J.D., R.N.
Vice President, Advocacy
Catholic Health Initiative
Denver, Colorado
Phone: (303) 312-0693
E-mail: colin.scanlon@chtional.org
Subject Area: Palliative Care/Ethics

Andy Schmieden, J.D.
Principal
Medical Policy, LLC
Washington, District of Columbia
Phone: (202) 393-6808
E-mail: aschmieden@medpolicy.com
Subject Area: Financing

J. Donald Schumacher, M.D.
President and Chief Executive Officer
National Hospice and Palliative Care Organization
Arlington, Virginia
Phone: (703) 837-3136
E-mail: djschumacher@nhpco.org
Subject Area: Palliative Care/Public Policy

Jack Schwartz, J.D.
President and Chief Executive Officer
AARP
Washington, District of Columbia
Phone: (202) 434-3844
E-mail: tschwarza@aarp.org
Subject Area: Public Policy

David E. Weissman, M.D.
Director of Palliative Care
MedStar Georgetown University Hospital
Washington, D.C.
Phone: (202) 342-4860
E-mail: dweissma@medstar.net
Subject Area: Palliative Care/Public Policy

Theresa Varner, M.A., M.S.W.
Director, Public Policy Institute
AARP
Washington, District of Columbia
Phone: (202) 344-5030
E-mail: tvvarner@aarp.org
Subject Area: Palliative Care/Public Policy

Seddon Savage, M.D.
President
NorthShore University Health System
Vincennes, Indiana
Phone: (815) 620-4466
E-mail: ssavage@northshore.org
Subject Area: Palliative Care/Pain Management

Joan Teno, M.D., M.S.
Associate Director
Center for Gerontology and Health Care Research
Brown University
Providence, Rhode Island
Phone: (401) 626-4853
E-mail: jteno@brown.edu
Subject Area: Palliative Care/Public Policy

Susan W. Tolle, M.D., FACP
Director
Center for Ethics in Health Care
Oregon Health & Science University
Portland, Oregon
Phone: (503) 494-4468
E-mail: stolle@ohsu.edu
Subject Area: Palliative Care/Advance Care Planning/Public Policy

Memorial Sloan-Kettering Cancer Center
New York, New York
Phone: (212) 639-8031
E-mail: payner@mskcc.org
Subject Area: Pain Management/Diversity

American Association of Colleges of Nursing
Washington, District of Columbia
Phone: (202) 463-6930
E-mail: arhome@aacn.nche.edu
Subject Area: Professional Education

American Bar Association
Washington, District of Columbia
Phone: (202) 662-8686
E-mail: sabatinoc@staff.abanet.org
Subject Area: Advance Care Planning/Public Policy

Richard Payne, M.D.
Assistant Director
San Francisco, California
Phone: (415) 476-9019
E-mail: stevep@itsa.ucsf.edu
Subject Area: Professional Education/Palliative Care

University of Wisconsin Comprehensive Cancer Center
Madison, Wisconsin
Phone: (608) 263-7662
E-mail: jensomoff@staff.wisc.edu
Subject Area: Pain Management/Public Policy

Karen Offit Kaplan
President & CEO
Partnership for Caregiving
American’s Voices for Health
National Program Director, Last Acts
Washington, District of Columbia
Phone: (202) 296-8071
E-mail: koffit@partnershipforaging.org
Subject Area: Public Policy/Advance Care Planning/Public Policy

Mary Layton, M.S.W., L.C.S.W.
President and Executive Director
The Hospice of the Florida Suncoast
Lehigh, Florida
Phone: (727) 586-4432
E-mail: mlayton@thehospice.com
Subject Area: Palliative Care/Public Policy

Judy Lenz, R.N., M.S.N., G.N., N.H.A.
Director
Hospice and Pain Palliative Care Association
Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania
Phone: (412) 978-9301
E-mail: jlenz@hppca.org
Subject Area: Palliative Care/Pain Management

Susan Goldbloom Levine
Executive Director
Hospices of the Valley
Parkinson, Arizona
Phone: (480) 955-6600
E-mail: sgoldbloom@hospiceofthevalley.org
Subject Area: Palliative Care

Jenny B. Lee, M.D.
Director
Hematology/Oncology
University of Wisconsin Comprehensive Cancer Center
Madison, Wisconsin
Phone: (608) 263-7662
E-mail: joranson@facstaff.wisc.edu
Subject Area: Pain Management/Public Policy

Joanne M. Hilden, M.D.
Associate Professor
University of California-San Francisco
San Francisco, California
Phone: (415) 476-9019
E-mail: joranson@ucsf.edu
Subject Area: Palliative Care/Pain Management

Mary L. Meyer
Vice President, Special Projects
Supportive Care of the Dying
New York, New York
Phone: (800) 889-9445
E-mail: mary.meyer@supportivecare.org
Subject Area: Palliative Care

Galyn Miller, Ph.D.
Chief Executive Officer
Palliative Care
Beth Israel Medical Center
New York, New York
Phone: (212) 844-1505
E-mail: gmiller@bethisrael.org
Subject Area: Palliative Care

Judy Perez
Director, Dermatologic Surgery
Dermatologic Surgery Modifical School
New York, New York
Phone: (800) 938-2928
E-mail: judy.perez@dartmouth.edu
Subject Area: Pain Management

Colin Scanlon, J.D., R.N.
Vice President, Advocacy
Catholic Health Initiative
Denver, Colorado
Phone: (303) 312-0693
E-mail: colin.scanlon@chtional.org
Subject Area: Palliative Care/Ethics

Andy Schmieden, J.D.
Principal
Medical Policy, LLC
Washington, District of Columbia
Phone: (202) 393-6808
E-mail: aschmieden@medpolicy.com
Subject Area: Financing

J. Donald Schumacher, M.D.
President and Chief Executive Officer
National Hospice and Palliative Care Organization
Arlington, Virginia
Phone: (703) 837-3136
E-mail: djschumacher@nhpco.org
Subject Area: Palliative Care/Public Policy

Jack Schwartz, J.D.
President and Chief Executive Officer
AARP
Washington, District of Columbia
Phone: (202) 434-3844
E-mail: tschwarza@aarp.org
Subject Area: Public Policy

David E. Weissman, M.D.
Director of Palliative Care
MedStar Georgetown University Hospital
Washington, D.C.
Phone: (202) 342-4860
E-mail: dweissma@medstar.net
Subject Area: Palliative Care/Public Policy

Theresa Varner, M.A., M.S.W.
Director, Public Policy Institute
AARP
Washington, District of Columbia
Phone: (202) 895-2625
E-mail: aschneider@kff.org
Subject Area: Financing

David Joranson, M.S.S.W.
President
Aging with Dignity
Alexandria, Virginia
Phone: (703) 837-3140
E-mail: gmiller@nhpco.org
Subject Area: Palliative Care

Steve J. McPhie, M.D.
Professor of Medicine
Division of General Internal Medicine
University of California-San Francisco
San Francisco, California
Phone: (415) 476-9038
E-mail: steve.mcphie@ucsf.edu
Subject Area: Palliative Care/Public Policy

Mary Layton, M.S.W., L.C.S.W.
President and Executive Director
The Hospice of the Florida Suncoast
Lehigh, Florida
Phone: (727) 586-4432
E-mail: mlayton@thehospice.com
Subject Area: Palliative Care/Public Policy

Judy Lenz, R.N., M.S.N., G.N., N.H.A.
Director
Hospice and Pain Palliative Care Association
Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania
Phone: (412) 978-9301
E-mail: jlenz@hppca.org
Subject Area: Palliative Care/Pain Management

Susan Goldbloom Levine
Executive Director
Hospices of the Valley
Parkinson, Arizona
Phone: (480) 955-6600
E-mail: sgoldbloom@hospiceofthevalley.org
Subject Area: Palliative Care
### ALABAMA

**Palliative Medicine Program**  
Kay Johnson, R.N., M.P.H.  
Project Manager  
Birmingham, Alabama  
Phone: (205) 975-9815  
E-mail: kayjohn@uab.edu

**The Black-Belt Rural Congregational End-of-Life Coalition**  
Joseph Davis  
Reverend  
Tuscaloosa, Alabama  
Phone: (334) 724-4612  
E-mail: shercomtrf@ mindspring.com

**University of Alabama Palliative Medicine Program**  
John L. Shuster, Jr., M.D.  
Director  
Birmingham, Alabama  
Phone: (205) 975-8197  
E-mail: shuster@norm.dpo.uab.edu

### ARIZONA

**Community Consortium for Comfort Care**  
Sam Downing  
Prescott, Arizona  
Phone: (928) 541-7864  
E-mail: ldown@mindspring.com

**Pima County Caring Choices**  
Elaine Rousseau  
Director of Research  
Tucson, Arizona  
Phone: (520) 626-5808  
E-mail: rousseau@aging.arizona.edu

### CALIFORNIA

**Children’s Care Coalition**  
Lori Butterworth  
Executive Director  
Soquel, California  
Phone: (831) 477-0930  
E-mail: lawigig.net

**Children's Care Coalition of Los Angeles**  
Joyce Carrick  
Coalition Leader  
Los Angeles, California  
Phone: (323) 644-8777  
E-mail: jcarick@cla.usc.edu

**Compassionate Care Alliance of the Greater Sacramento Region**  
Kathy Glassome  
Associate Director  
Rancho Cordova, California  
Phone: (916) 590-2389  
E-mail: kkth@shd@quiknet.com

**Compassionate Care Alliance of Monterey County**  
Victoria Nelson  
Project Manager  
Pebble Beach, California  
Phone: (831) 655-9502  
E-mail: vnelson@heart4hk.net

**Continuing Care Services**  
Judy Clark, J.D.  
Vice President  
Sacramento, California  
Phone: (916) 552-7573  
E-mail: jclark@continuingcare.org

**End-of-Life Care Alliance of Contra Costa County**  
Andrea Campos  
Vice President  
Concord, California  
Phone: (925) 433-1840  
E-mail: acampos@hospiceofthevalleys.org

**End-of-Life Care Alliance, Contra Costa County**  
Angel Campos  
Vice President  
San Rafael, California  
Phone: (877) 436-5638  
E-mail: eolnet@hotmail.com

**Laguna Honda Hospital**  
Terry Hill, M.D.  
Co-Principal Investigator  
San Francisco, California  
Phone: (415) 759-2369  
E-mail: tthill@pactolus.net

**Mendocino Coalition for End-of-Life Care**  
Judith Redwing Keyssar, R.N.  
Chairman  
Healdsburg, California  
Phone: (707) 937-3334  
E-mail: network@pacific.net

### PARTNERS

**Partners for Palliative Care and End-of-Life Healing**  
Mary Leslie  
Executive Director  
Monrovia, California  
Phone: (626) 812-5800  
E-mail: mleslie@partnersforheal.org

**Partners in Care**  
Cheryl Ferguson  
Project Manager  
Paso Robles, California  
Phone: (805) 644-6180  
E-mail: grp@partnersincares.org

**QueensCare Health and Faith Partnership**  
Susan Peters  
Vice President  
Los Angeles, California  
Phone: (323) 644-6180  
E-mail: grp@queenscare.org

**Sacramento Health Care Decisions**  
Marge Ginsburg  
Executive Director  
Rancho Cordova, California  
Phone: (916) 851-2828  
E-mail: marge.shd@quiknet.com

**San Francisco Bay Area Network for End-of-Life Care**  
Jeanne Kraft  
Chairperson  
San Rafael, California  
Phone: (415) 436-5638  
E-mail: edww@hotmail.com

**Santa Clarita Valley End-of-Life Coalition**  
Tina Eisman  
Chairperson  
Canyon Country, California  
Phone: (661) 252-2632  
E-mail: esianese@verizon.net

**Santa Cruz County End-of-Life Coalition**  
Salina Cole  
Aptos, California  
Phone: (831) 488-1184  
E-mail: hospice@calcoa.com

**San Diego County Coalition for Improved End-of-Life**  
Linda Stratton  
Membership/Outreach Coordinator  
San Diego, California  
Phone: (619) 787-6472  
E-mail: lstratan@hospice.org

### STATE-BY-STATE COALITIONS

“TO MAKE AN END IS TO MAKE A BEGINNING... AND THE END OF ALL OUR EXPLORING WILL BE TO ARRIVE WHERE WE STARTED AND KNOW THE PLACE FOR THE FIRST TIME.”  
T.S. ELIOT
2002-2003 LISTENING CONFERENCE ATTENDANCE LIST

**Alabama**
Alice M. Maples
Deputy Attorney General
Office of the Attorney General of Alabama
State House
11 Union Street South
Montgomery, AL 36130

**Alaska**
Pat Luby
Legislative Representative
ARAM – Alaska
3601 C Street, #4420
Anchorage, AK 99503

**Arizona**
Susan Goldwater Levine, MD
Executive Director
Hospice of the Valley
Phoenix, AZ
Kathryn Leonard
Assistant Attorney General
in the African-American Community
Palo Alto, CA

**Arkansas**
David Mitchell
State Director
ARAM – Arizona
302 North 1st Street Avenue, Suite 410
Phoenix, AZ 85003

**Arkansas**
Tom Stilabahn
Executive Director
Hospice of the Valley
12575 W Washington
Phoenix, AZ 85027

**Arkansas**
Teri Stackman
Executive Director
Hospice of the Valley
12575 W Washington
Phoenix, AZ 85027

**Arkansas**
Shoshoney Bybee
Executive Director
Hospice of the Valley
12575 W Washington
Phoenix, AZ 85027

**Virginia**
Sue Moore
Coordinator
Hospice of the Valley
12575 W Washington
Phoenix, AZ 85027

**Virginia**
Sue Moore
Coordinator
Hospice of the Valley
12575 W Washington
Phoenix, AZ 85027

**Virginia**
Lori Butterworth
Executive Director
Children’s Care of Los Angeles
354 Hoover Road
Soquel, CA 95073

**Virginia**
Jayce Carver
Children’s Care of Los Angeles
4650 Sunset Blvd., #245
Los Angeles, CA 90027

**Virginia**
Tawny S. Carey
Special Assistant Attorney General
Office of the Attorney General of California
1300 1 Street, Suite 1720
Sacramento, CA 95814

**Virginia**
Jody Cline
California Coalition for Compassionate Care
1215 K Street, Suite 800
San Diego, CA

**Virginia**
Dr. Laverne M. Cranley
Executive Director
Improve Palliative and End of Life Care
in the African-American Community
Palo Alto, CA

**Virginia**
Richard D. DeLaPenna, MD
Director
Kaiser Permanente Aging Network
Phoenix, AZ

**Virginia**
Sue Wilford
Executive Director
Hospice of the Valley
Phoenix, AZ

**Virginia**
Sue Wilford
Executive Director
Hospice of the Valley
Phoenix, AZ

**Virginia**
Thomas F. Dade
Senior Vice President, Public Policy
California Healthcare Association
1215 K Street, Suite 800
Sacramento, CA 95814

**Virginia**
Lucil H. Harrel, MD
Vice President, Medical Affairs
San Diego Hospice
4311 Third Avenue
San Diego, CA 92103

**Virginia**
Dawn Anzel Hennel, RN, BSN, PHP
The Village Health Care Center
Hemet End of Life Coalition
100 37th Street East, Suite 80
San Diego, CA 92108

**Virginia**
Bill Duke
Director
Last Acts Writing Project
1030 Glenhaven Drive
Pacific Palisades, CA 90272

**Virginia**
Tara Eisenman
EOL Coalition Chair
Santa Clara Valley EOL Coalition
12151 Lafayette
Canyon Country, CA 91387

**Virginia**
Betty Ferrell, RN, PhD
Research Scientist
City of Hope National Medical Center
500 E. Duarte Road
Duarte, CA 91010

**Virginia**
Suze Miller
Executive Director
Texas Partnership for End-of-Life Care
Sudan End of Life Coalition
1300 I Street, Suite 1720
Sacramento, CA 95814

**Virginia**
Mara H. Hocutt
Senior Vice President, Public Policy
California Healthcare Association
1215 K Street, Suite 800
Sacramento, CA 95814

**Virginia**
Kevin Keane
Director, Federal Legislative Advocacy
American Cancer Society
California Division
1769 Challenger Way, Suite 150
Sacramento, CA 95815

**Virginia**
Kathleen Kelly
Executive Director
Family Caregiver Alliance
500 Market Street, Suite 600
San Francisco, CA 94104

**Virginia**
Donna Leale, RN
District Administrator
San Diego District Office South
7575 Metropolitan Drive, Suite 211
San Diego, CA 92128-4402

**Virginia**
William Marcus
Executive Director
City of Hope National Medical Center
500 E. Duarte Road
Duarte, CA 91010

**Virginia**
Tawny S. Carey
Special Assistant Attorney General
Office of the Attorney General of California
1300 1 Street, Suite 1720
Sacramento, CA 95814

**Virginia**
Jose Gomez
Special Assistant Attorney General
Office of the Attorney General of California
1300 1 Street, Suite 1720
Sacramento, CA 95814

**Virginia**
Fred M. Harder
Senior Vice President, Public Policy
California Healthcare Association
1215 K Street, Suite 800
Sacramento, CA 95814

**Virginia**
Laurel H. Herst, MD
Vice President, Medical Affairs
San Diego Hospice
4311 Third Avenue
San Diego, CA 92103

**Virginia**
Kevin Keane
Director, Federal Legislative Advocacy
American Cancer Society
California Division
1769 Challenger Way, Suite 100
Sacramento, CA 95815

**Virginia**
Kathleen Kelly
Executive Director
Family Caregiver Alliance
500 Market Street, Suite 600
San Francisco, CA 94104

**Virginia**
Donna Leale, RN
District Administrator
San Diego District Office South
7575 Metropolitan Drive, Suite 211
San Diego, CA 92128-4402

**Virginia**
William Marcus
Executive Director
City of Hope National Medical Center
500 E. Duarte Road
Duarte, CA 91010

**Virginia**
Tawny S. Carey
Special Assistant Attorney General
Office of the Attorney General of California
1300 1 Street, Suite 1720
Sacramento, CA 95814

**Virginia**
Jose Gomez
Special Assistant Attorney General
Office of the Attorney General of California
1300 1 Street, Suite 1720
Sacramento, CA 95814

**Virginia**
Fred M. Harder
Senior Vice President, Public Policy
California Healthcare Association
1215 K Street, Suite 800
Sacramento, CA 95814

**Virginia**
Laurel H. Herst, MD
Vice President, Medical Affairs
San Diego Hospice
4311 Third Avenue
San Diego, CA 92103
## Section 4: Resources

### National Association of Attorneys General
750 First Street, N.E., Suite 1100
Washington, DC 20002

### Dawn Johns
Director, Division of Victim Services
Purdue Pharma
One Stamford Forum
201 Tresser Boulevard
Stamford, CT 06901-3431

### John J. Lynch, MD
Medical Director
Community Hospice Washington, D.C.
4200 Wisconsin Avenue, N.W., Suite 400
Washington, DC 20016

### Karen Orloff Kaplan, ScD
President
Partnership for Caring
1620 Eye Street, N.E., #202
Washington, DC 20006

### Diane Lewis
Policy Coordinator
National Resource Center on Divestory
4201 Connecticut Ave., N.W., Suite 402
Washington, DC 20009

### John J. Lynch, MD
Executive Director
National Association of Attorneys General
750 First Street, N.E., Suite 1100
Washington, DC 20002
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Washington, DC 20009

### John J. Lynch, MD
Executive Director
National Association of Attorneys General
750 First Street, N.E., Suite 1100
Washington, DC 20002

### Lynn Ross
Director, Long Term Care
AARP
601 E Street, N.W.
Washington, DC 20004

### Charles Sabatino
Assistant Director
ABA Commission on Legal Problems of the Elderly
Washington, DC

### Dimitri Syenis
Senior Attorney
AARP
State Legislation Department
601 E Street, N.W.
Washington, DC 20049
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