IOWA DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL Attorney General Tom Miller

December 17, 2020 Miller joins multistate lawsuit seeking to end Google's illegal monopoly in search Bipartisan coalition of 38 AGs allege Google's actions harm innovation and consumer choice and privacy

DES MOINES — Iowa Attorney General Tom Miller is leading a bipartisan coalition of 38 attorneys general in suing Google LLC for anticompetitive conduct in violation of Section 2 of the Sherman Act.

The states allege that Google illegally maintains its monopoly power over general search engines and related advertising markets through a series of anticompetitive exclusionary contracts and conduct. As a result, Google has deprived consumers of competition that could lead to greater choice, innovation, and better privacy protections. Furthermore, Google has exploited its market position to accumulate and leverage data to the detriment of consumers.

"Combined with the other recent lawsuits filed against Google, never before have so many states and the federal government come together to challenge a company with such power," Miller said. "Google has more data on consumers, and more variety of information, than perhaps any entity in history."

The states' complaint is consistent with the lawsuit filed by the U.S. Department of Justice on Oct. 20, which alleged that Google improperly maintains its monopoly power in general search and search advertising through the use of exclusionary agreements.

But the state's filing asserts additional allegations and describes Google's monopoly maintenance scheme as a multi-part effort. The lawsuit alleges that Google:

• Uses exclusionary agreements and other practices to limit the ability of rival general search engines and potential rivals to reach consumers. This conduct cements Google as the go-to search engine on computers and mobile devices.

- Deceives users of its search-advertising management tool, SA360, by promising that it would not favor Google search advertising over that of competing search engines such as Bing. Instead, Google continuously favors advertising on its own platform, inflating its profits to the detriment of advertisers and consumers.
- Discriminates against specialized search sites such as those that provide travel, home repair, or entertainment services — by depriving them access to prime real estate because these competing sites threaten Google's revenue and dominant position.

The attorneys general argue that more competition in the general search engine market would benefit consumers, for example, though improved privacy protections and more targeted results and opportunities for consumers. Competitive general search engines also could offer better quality advertising and lower prices to advertisers.

The attorneys general expand on the U.S. DOJ's allegation that Google's anticompetitive conduct continues. As explained in the complaint, the company seeks to deploy the same exclusionary contracting tactics to monopolize the emerging ways consumers access general search engines, such as through their home smart speakers, televisions, or in their cars. In so doing, Google is depriving consumers of competitive choices and blocking innovation.

"Google is preventing competitors in the voice assistant market from reaching consumers through connected cars, which stand to be a significant way the internet is accessed in the near future," Miller said.

The states also go further than the U.S. DOJ in explaining how Google's acquisition and command of vast amounts of data — obtained in increasing part because of consumers' lack of choice — has fortified Google's monopoly and created significant barriers for potential competitors and innovators.

The attorneys general ask the court to halt Google's illegal conduct and restore a competitive marketplace. The states also seek to unwind any advantages that Google gained as a result of its anticompetitive conduct, including divestiture of assets as appropriate. Finally, the court is asked to provide any additional relief it determines appropriate, as well as reasonable fees and costs to the states.

The complaint was filed in the U.S. District Court for the District of Columbia, in conjunction with a motion to consolidate seeking to combine the states' case with the pending U.S. DOJ case.

The states' investigation was led by an executive committee made up of Miller and the attorneys general of Arizona, Colorado, Nebraska, New York, North Carolina, Tennessee, and Utah. The executive committee is joined by the attorneys general of Alaska, Connecticut, Delaware, Hawaii, Idaho, Illinois, Kansas, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, Minnesota, Nevada, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New Mexico, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma, Oregon, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Dakota, Vermont, Virginia, Washington, West Virginia, Wyoming, the District of Columbia, and the territories of Guam and Puerto Rico.

###

« Back

© 2020 State of Iowa Office of the Attorney General. All rights reserved.