26

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

scope of their duties to Any Test Franchising) as well as its successor and assigns, and controlled subsidiaries.

II. INVESTIGATION

- Any Test Franchising has six franchised facility locations in Washington, which 2.1. are owned and operated by independent franchisees. Neither Any Test Franchising nor its affiliates owns or operates any facility location in Washington.
- During a portion of the period of the State of Washington's investigation, Any 2.2. Test Franchising has included language in its franchise agreements in Washington that restricted a franchisee's ability to knowingly solicit or hire workers from another franchisee for a limited time-period. (No-Poaching Provision). Specifically, from the beginning of the relevant time period until June 28, 2019. the standard Any Test Franchising franchise agreement registered in Washington contained a provision titled "Employee Recruitment" that stated that, "During the term of this Agreement and for two years after termination, transfer, or expiration of this Agreement for any reason, Franchisee shall not knowingly employ or seek to employ any person then employed by Franchisor or by any other franchisee of Franchisor." The No-Poaching Provision restricted franchisees from knowingly hiring employees of Any Test Franchising or another franchisee.
- Prior to the initiation of the State of Washington's investigation in 2019, in Any 2.3. Test Franchising's 2019 renewal of its franchise disclosure documents (FDD), Any Test Franchising removed the No-Poaching Provision from its U.S. form franchise agreement. Any Test Franchising's form franchise agreement registered in Washington has not included the No-Poaching Provision since June 28, 2019.
- The Attorney General asserts that the No-Poaching Provision in franchise 2.4. agreements that Any Test Franchising entered with Washington franchisees, prior to the issuance of the 2019 renewal FDD, constitutes a contract, combination, or conspiracy in restraint of trade in violation of the Consumer Protection Act, RCW 19.86.030.

1		
2		С
3		F
4		С
5		٧
6		t
7		t
8		ŀ
9		a
10		t
11		f
12		t
13		ŗ
14		S
15		C
16		
17		
18		
19		8
20		
21		i
22	I	t

24

25

26

2.5. Any Lab Test Now expressly denies the conduct described above constitutes a contract, combination, or conspiracy in restraint of trade in violation of the Consumer Protection Act, RCW 19.86.030, or any other law, and expressly denies it has engaged in conduct that constitutes a contract, combination, or conspiracy in restraint of trade. Any Test Franchising riewed the No-Poaching Provision in Any Test Franchising's form franchise agreement as a way o reduce potential conflicts among its franchisees that could disrupt the franchise system and he franchisor-franchisee relationship that Any Test Franchising has with each of its franchisees. However, Any Test Franchising is not aware of the No-Poaching Provision being the subject of my dispute in any legal proceeding in Washington. Any Test Franchising has never attempted o enforce a No-Poaching Provision with any of its franchisees and is not aware of any of its ranchisees in Washington enforcing or attempting to enforce any No-Poaching Provision in heir franchise agreements. Any Test Franchising nevertheless enters into this AOD to avoid protracted and expensive litigation. Pursuant to RCW 19.86.100, neither this AOD nor its terms shall be construed as an admission of law, fact, liability, misconduct, or wrongdoing on the part of Any Lab Test Now.

III. ASSURANCE OF DISCONTINUANCE

- 3.1. Subject to paragraph 2.5 above, Any Lab Test Now agrees:
- 3.1.1 Any Test Franchising will no longer include no-poaching provisions in any of its future U.S. franchise agreements.
- 3.1.2 Any Test Franchising will continue not enforcing no-poaching provisions in any of its existing franchise agreements, and will not seek to intervene or defend in any way the legality of any no-poaching provision in any litigation in which a franchisee may claim third-party beneficiary status rights to enforce an existing no-poaching provision;
- 3.1.3 Any Test Franchising will make all of its U.S. franchisees aware of the entry of this agreement with the State, and provide them a copy of the AOD upon request;
 - 3.1.4 Any Test Franchising will notify the Attorney General's Office if it learns

Within 60 days of entry of this AOD, Any Test Franchising will exercise all

reasonable commercial efforts to amend all existing franchise agreements with entities in 3 Washington to remove any no-poaching provisions in its existing franchise agreements. Any 4 Test Franchising is under no obligation to offer any franchisee any monetary or non-monetary 5 consideration to induce them to accept the proposed amendment of the franchise, and it shall be 6 under no obligation to take any coercive action against a franchisee that may refuse or decline 7 to agree to any amendment of its franchise agreement. If any franchise owner is unwilling to 8 consent to the change to its franchise agreement, prior to the 60-day deadline, Any Test 9 Franchising shall provide the name and address of the resisting franchisee and the name and 10

address of the franchisee's registered agent to the Office of the Attorney General.

2

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22.

23

24

25

26

3.2.

- 3.3. Any Test Franchising had modified its form franchise agreement it uses on a nationwide basis to remove any no-poaching provision. Any existing franchisee that renews a franchise agreement will be party to a new or amended franchise agreement that will not include a no-poaching provision.
- 3.4. Within 30 days of the conclusion of the time period referenced in Section 3.2, Any Test Franchising will submit a declaration to the Attorney General's Office signed under penalty of perjury stating that Section 3.1.3 and 3.2 of this agreement have been satisfied.

IV. ADDITIONAL PROVISIONS

- 4.1. This AOD is binding on, and applies to Any Test Franchising, including each of its respective directors, officers, managers, agents, and employees (all of the foregoing, acting within the scope of their duties to Any Test Franchising), as well as their respective successors and assigns, controlled subsidiaries, or other entities through which Any Test Franchising may now or hereafter act with respect to the conduct alleged in this AOD.
- 4.2. This is a voluntary agreement and it shall not be construed as an admission of law, fact, liability, misconduct, or wrongdoing on the part of Any Lab Test Now, and it is not an

order, injunction, or decree. By entering into this AOD, Any Lab Test Now neither agrees nor			
concedes that the claims, allegations and/or causes of action which have or could have been			
asserted by the Attorney General have merit and Any Lab Test Now expressly denies any such			
claims, allegations, and/or causes of action. However, proof of failure to comply with this AOD			
shall be <i>prima facie</i> evidence of a violation of RCW 19.86.030, thereby placing upon the violator			
the burden of defending against imposition by the Court of injunctions, restitution, costs and			
reasonable attorney's fees, and appropriate civil penalties under the Consumer Protection Act.			
4.3. Any Lab Test Now will not, nor will it authorize any of its officers, employees,			
representatives, or agents to state or otherwise contend that the State of Washington or the			
Attorney General has approved of, or has otherwise sanctioned, the conduct described in			
Paragraph 2.2 with respect to the No-Poaching Provision in Any Test Franchising's form			
franchise agreement.			
4.4. This AOD resolves all issues raised by the State of Washington and the Antitrust			
Division of the Attorney General's Office under the Consumer Protection Act and any other			
related statutes pertaining to the acts set forth in paragraph 2.1 – 2.4 above that may have			
occurred before the date of entry of this AOD and concludes the investigation thereof. Subject			
to paragraph 4.2, the State of Washington and the Antitrust Division of the Attorney General's			
Office shall not file suit or take any further investigative or enforcement action with respect to			
the acts set forth above that occurred before the date of entry of this AOD.			
APPROVED ON this day of, 2019.			
JUDGE/COURT COMMISSIONER			

1	Presented by:	
2	ROBERT W. FERGUSON	
3	Attorney General	
4	JUSTIN WADE, WSBA #41168	
5	Assistant Attorney General	
6	Antitrust Division Office of the Attorney General	
7	800 Fifth Avenue, Suite 2000 Seattle, WA 98104	
8	(206) 464-7030	
9	Justin.Wade@atg.wa.gov	
10	Attorneys for State of Washington	
11	Agreed to and approved for entry by:	
	Any Test Franchising, Inc.	$M \cap M$
12		
13	JOSEPH VANCE, WSBA #25531	Any Test Franchising, Inc.
14	Miller Nash Graham & Dunn LLP 500 Broadway Street, Suite 400	By: <u>Clarissia Bradstreal</u> Its: <u>Chief Toxicative Officer</u>
15	Vancouver, WA 98660 (360) 699-4771	Its: Chief Toxcative Office
16	Joseph.Vance@millernash.com	
17	AND	
18		
19	NICK ROTCHADL Minn. #0393366 Faegre Baker Daniels LLP	
20	2200 Wells Fargo Center 90 South Seventh Street	
21	Minneapolis, MN 55402-3901	
22	(612) 766-6864 Nick.Rotchadl@FaegreBD.com	
23	Attorneys for Any Test Franchising, INC.	
24		
25		
26		
1	il	