1								
2								
3								
4								
5								
6								
7	STATE OF WASHINGTON KING COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT							
8	IN RE: FRANCHISE NO POACHING NO. 19-2-25514-5 PROVISIONS							
10	CRUISESHIPCENTERS USA INC. d/b/a EXPEDIA							
11	d/b/a EXPEDIA CRUISESHIPCENTERS CRUISESHIPCENTERS) ASSURANCE OF DISCONTINUANCE							
12	DISCONTINUANCE							
13	The State of Washington, by and through its attorneys, Robert W. Ferguson, Attorney							
14	General, and Rahul Rao, Assistant Attorney General, files this Assurance of Discontinuance (AOD)							
15	under RCW 19.86.100.							
16	I. PARTIES							
17	1.1. In August 2019, the Attorney General initiated an investigation into							
18	Cruiseshipcenters USA Inc. d/b/a Expedia CruiseShipCenters ("ECSC") relating to a particular							
19	internal hiring practice.							
20	1.2. ECSC is a Nevada corporation with its principal office or place of business in							
21	Bellevue, Washington. ECSC operates as a franchisor to franchisees that operate retail travel							
22	agency businesses that offer reservations for cruise ships, airlines, car rentals and hotels, as well							
23	as other related travel services and products.							
24	1.3. For the purposes of this AOD, ECSC includes its directors, officers, managers,							
25	agents acting within the scope of their agency, and employees as well as its successor and							
26	assigns, controlled subsidiaries, divisions, groups, affiliates, partnerships, and joint ventures.							
	ı							

II. INVESTIGATION

	2.1.	Currently	there	are	eight	(8)	Expedia	Cr	uiseSh	ipCent	ters	retail	locatio	ns	ir
Wash	ington, a	all of which	are ov	wnec	d and c	pera	ated by fra	ancl	hisees.	ECSC	doe	es not	operate	ret	ai!
location	ons.														

- 2.2. ECSC has included language in its franchise agreements providing that a franchisee would not solicit, recruit or engage any person who at that time is an ECSC employee or the employee of any other franchisee or an independent contractor (*i.e.*, travel agent) who is at that time currently engaged by another ECSC franchisee without the prior written consent of that person's employer or contracting ECSC franchisee ("non-solicitation provision"). Specifically, the ECSC franchise agreement typically stated that a franchisee will not "recruit or hire any person who is [ECSC's] employee or the employee of any other [ECSC franchisee] or an Authorized Independent Contractor [*i.e.*, travel agent] engaged by another [franchisee], without obtaining the prior written permission of that person's employer or the contracting [ECSC franchisee]." The non-solicitation provision restricted franchisees from hiring employees or engaging independent contractors then employed or engaged by ECSC or by a competing ECSC franchisee.
- 2.3. The Attorney General asserts that the foregoing conduct constitutes a contract, combination, or conspiracy in restraint of trade in violation of the Consumer Protection Act, RCW 19.86.030.
- 2.4. ECSC expressly denies the conduct described above constitutes a contract, combination, or conspiracy in restraint of trade in violation of the Consumer Protection Act, RCW 19.86.030, or any other law, and expressly denies it has engaged in conduct that constitutes a contract, combination, or conspiracy in restraint of trade. ECSC asserts that the non-solicitation provisions serve multiple legitimate purposes and, in any event, based on reasonable investigation, it has not taken any steps to enforce such provisions in the state of Washington in the past five years. Nonetheless, ECSC enters into this AOD to avoid protracted and expensive

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
6 7 8	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	
17	-
18	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

litigation. Pursuant to RCW 19.86.100, neither this AOD nor its terms shall be construed as an admission of law, fact, liability, misconduct, or wrongdoing on the part of ECSC.

III. ASSURANCE OF DISCONTINUANCE

- 3.1. Subject to paragraph 2.4 above, ECSC agrees:
- 3.1.1 It will no longer include non-solicitation provisions in any of its future franchise agreements;
- 3.1.2 It will no longer enforce non-solicitation provisions in any of its existing franchise agreements, and will not seek to intervene or defend in any way the legality of any non-solicitation provision in any litigation in which a franchisee may claim third-party beneficiary status rights to enforce an existing non-solicitation provision;
- 3.1.3 It will notify all affected franchisees of the entry of this agreement, and will provide a copy upon request;
- 3.1.4 It will notify the Attorney General's Office if it learns of any effort by a franchisee in Washington to enforce any existing non-solicitation provision.
- 3.2. Within 90 days of entry of this AOD, ECSC will have attempted to amend all of its existing franchise agreements with entities in Washington that contain non-solicitation provisions to remove those provision. ECSC is under no obligation to offer any franchisee any monetary or non-monetary consideration to induce them to accept the proposed amendment of the franchise, and it shall be under no obligation to take any action against a franchisee that may refuse or decline to agree to any amendment of its franchise agreement. If any franchisee is unwilling to consent to the change to its franchise agreement, prior to the 90 day deadline, ECSC shall provide the name and address of the resisting franchisee and the name and address of the franchisee's registered agent to the Office of the Attorney General.
- 3.3. To the extent any existing franchise agreements are renewed or renegotiated during the ordinary course of business, ECSC will amend any such franchise agreements to remove any non-solicitation provisions from those agreements.

3.4. Within 60 days of the conclusion of the time periods referenced in this section III, ECSC will submit a declaration to the Attorney General's Office signed under penalty of perjury stating that all provisions of this agreement have been satisfied.

IV. ADDITIONAL PROVISIONS

- 4.1. This AOD is binding on, and applies to ECSC, including each of its respective directors, officers, managers, agents acting within the scope of their agency, and employees, as well as their respective successors and assigns, controlled subsidiaries, divisions, groups, affiliates, partnerships, and joint ventures, or other entities through which ECSC may now or hereafter act with respect to the conduct alleged in this AOD.
- 4.2. This is a voluntary agreement and it shall not be construed as an admission of law, fact, liability, misconduct, or wrongdoing on the part of ECSC. By entering into this AOD, ECSC neither agrees nor concedes that the claims, allegations and/or causes of action which have or could have been asserted by the Attorney General have merit and ECSC expressly denies any such claims, allegations, and/or causes of action. However, proof of failure to comply with this AOD shall be *prima facie* evidence of a violation of RCW 19.86.030, thereby placing upon the violator the burden of defending against imposition by the Court of injunctions, restitution, costs and reasonable attorney's fees, and appropriate civil penalties under the Consumer Protection Act.
- 4.3. ECSC will not, nor will it authorize any of its officers, employees, representatives, or agents to state or otherwise contend that the State of Washington or the Attorney General has approved of, or has otherwise sanctioned, the conduct described in Paragraph 2.2 with respect to the non-solicitation provision in ECSC'S franchise agreement.
- 4.4. This AOD resolves all issues raised by the State of Washington and the Antitrust Division of the Attorney General's Office under the Consumer Protection Act and any other related statutes pertaining to the acts set forth in paragraph 2.1 2.3 above that may have occurred before the date of entry of this AOD and concludes the investigation thereof. This AOD

1	does not impose or require ECSC to undertake any obligation other than as stated or required						
2	herein. Subject to paragraph 4.2, the State of Washington and the Antitrust Division of the						
3	Attorney General's Office shall not file suit or take any further investigative or enforcement						
4	action with respect to the acts set forth above that occurred before the date of entry of this AOD.						
5	APPROVED ON this day of, 2019.						
6							
7							
8	JUDGE/COURT COMMISSIONER						
9							
10							
11							
12							
13							
14							
15							
16							
17	,						
18							
19							
20							
21							
22							
24							
25							
20							

1	Presented by:	•	
2	ROBERT W. FERGUSON		
3	Attorney General		
4	FOR: Jonathan Mark \$ 2805/		
·	RAHUL RAO, WSBA #53375		
5	Assistant Attorney General Antitrust Division		
6	Office of the Attorney General		
7	800 Fifth Avenue, Suite 2000 Seattle, WA 98104		
8	(206) 442-4499	•	
9	rahul,rao@atg.wa.gov		
10	Attorneys for State of Washington		
11	Agreed to and approved for entry by: Crusieshipcenters USA Inc.		
12	Vam Sn Pren		
13	VANESSA SORIANO POWER, WSBA #30777	ECSC/Rep	
14	Stoel Rives LLP 600 University Street, Suite 3600	By: Robert Jacoby	
15	Seattle, WA 98101	Its: Vice President, Finance	
16	(206) 386-7553 vanessa.power@stoel.com	•	* .
17	and		
18	ALAN J. SCHAEFFER		
19	Law Offices of Alan J. Schaeffer 1629 K. Street NW, Suite 300		
20	Washington, DC 20006		
21	(202) 695-3690 alan@schaefferlegal.com	•	
22	Attorneys for ECSC	,	
23			•
24			
25	,		•.
26		•	