1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	STATE OF WASHINGTON KING COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT
8	
9	in re: franchise no poaching no. 19-2-23508-0SE.
10	EWC FRANCHISE, LLC ASSURANCE OF
11	(EWC FRANCHISE, LLC)  ABSOCIATED OF DISCONTINUANCE
12	
13	The state of Washington, by and through its attorneys, Robert W. Ferguson, Attorney
14	General, and Rahul Rao, Assistant Attorney General, files this Assurance of Discontinuance (AOD)
15	under RCW 19.86.100.
16	I. PARTIES
17	1.1 In September 2018, the Attorney General initiated an investigation into EWC
18	Franchise, LLC ("EWC"), franchisor of European Wax Centers, relating to restrictions contained
19	in its franchise agreements on a franchisee's solicitation or hiring of another EWC franchisee's
20	employees or employees of EWC.
21	1.2 EWC is a Florida limited liability company with its principal office or place of
22	business in Hallandale Beach, Florida. EWC is in the business of offering franchise agreements
23	authorizing franchisees to use EWC's trademarks in connection with the operation of a European
24	Wax Center within a defined geographical territory.
25	
26	

1

1.3 For the purposes of this AOD, EWC includes its directors, officers, and managing agents acting within the scope of their agency, as well as its successor, assigns, and controlled subsidiaries. EWC does not include any franchisee or franchise operator.

## II. INVESTIGATION

- 2.1 To date, EWC has entered into 8 franchise agreements with franchisees operating or soon to be operating a European Wax Center in the state of Washington, which are located in Vancouver, Spokane Valley, University Place, Renton, Federal Way, Bellevue, and Bothell, Washington, respectively. EWC does not own or operate any European Wax Centers in the state of Washington.
- 2.2 Since before it first entered into a franchise agreement in Washington State in 2016, EWC has included language in its franchise agreements nationwide that restrict a franchisee's ability to solicit workers from another franchisee or EWC. Specifically, the standard EWC franchise agreement has included the following Non-Solicitation provision in Paragraph 7.3.4 of its franchise agreements, which provides in pertinent part as follows:
  - 7.3. . . . Therefore, during the Term, and for a period of two (2) years after the expiration or termination of the Term, regardless of the cause of expiration or termination, neither Franchisee nor any holder of a legal or beneficial interest in Franchisee, nor any officer, director, executive, manager or member of the professional staff of Franchisee, either directly or indirectly, for themselves, or through, on behalf of or in conjunction with any person, partnership, corporation, limited liability company or other business entity, shall:

\* \* \*

7.3.4. Solicit or otherwise attempt to induce or influence any employee or other business associate of Franchisee, Franchisor or any other European Wax Center franchisee or Area Representative to compete against, or terminate or modify his, her or its employment or business relationship with, Franchisee, Franchisor or any other European Wax Center or any Area Representative.

EWC has never enforced this provision.

2.3 To the extent that EWC has entered into a franchise agreement that contains the above described Section 7.3.4, which purports to restrict a franchisee's ability to hire or recruit

an employee of another EWC franchisee or of EWC, the Attorney General asserts that the contractual commitment constitutes a contract, combination, or conspiracy in restraint of trade in violation of the Consumer Protection Act, RCW 19.86.030.

2.4 EWC expressly denies the conduct described above constitutes a contract, combination, or conspiracy in restraint of trade in violation of the Consumer Protection Act, RCW 19.86.030, or any other law, and expressly denies it has engaged in conduct that constitutes a contract, combination, or conspiracy in restraint of trade. EWC unilaterally included the above described Non-Solicitation provision to encourage franchisees to make the investments necessary to develop well-trained, high-quality, and stable workforces in their locations, to protect against franchisee free-riding, to discourage franchisee divisiveness and promote franchisee harmony, to strengthen EWC's brand, and to promote competition with non-EWC outlets. Further, EWC specifically denies that the above described Non-Solicitation provision has had or will have any adverse impact on any market for labor, and that it instead likely benefits consumers and has a pro-competitive effect in the market for services in which EWC locations compete. Nonetheless, EWC enters into this AOD to avoid protracted and expensive litigation. Under RCW 19.86.100, neither this AOD nor its terms shall be construed as an admission of law, fact, liability, misconduct, or wrongdoing on the part of EWC. Indeed, EWC expressly denies any wrongful conduct or activity.

## III. ASSURANCE OF DISCONTINUANCE

- 3.1 Subject to paragraph 2.4 above, EWC agrees:
- 3.1.1. It will no longer include the Non-Solicitation provision in any of its future franchise agreements;
- 3.1.2. Effective upon its registration of its franchise offering in any registration state after the date of this AOD (i.e., its state registrations in 2020 and thereafter), EWC will modify the terms of its Non-Solicitation provisions by removing the Non-Solicitation provision

23

24

25

now used in Section 7.3.4 of its franchise agreements (and quoted above in paragraph 2.2), as well as any other language having the same or substantially similar meaning.

- 3.1.3. EWC will not act to enforce any Non-Solicitation provision in any of its existing franchise agreements for any outlets operating, and will not seek to intervene or defend in any way the legality of any such provision in any litigation in which a franchise location may claim third-party beneficiary status rights to enforce an existing Non-Solicitation provision.
- 3.1.4. EWC will within 21 days after entry of this AOD notify all of its franchisees in of the entry of this agreement, and provide them a copy of the AOD upon request.
- 3.1.5. EWC will notify the Attorney General's Office if it learns of any effort by a franchisee in Washington to enforce any Non-Solicitation provision.
- 3.2 Within 60 days of entry of this AOD, EWC will exercise all reasonable commercial efforts to amend all existing franchise agreements with outlets located in the state of Washington to remove the Non-Solicitation provision set forth above in paragraph 2.2 from its existing franchise agreements as presented above, though EWC shall be under no obligation to offer any franchisee any monetary or non-monetary consideration to induce them to accept the proposed amendment of the franchise, and it shall be under no obligation to take any coercive action against a franchisee that may refuse or decline to agree to any amendment of its franchise agreement. If any franchise owner is unwilling to consent to the change to its franchise agreement within the 60-day deadline, EWC shall provide the name and address of the resisting franchisee to the Office of the Attorney General.
- 3.3 Going forward, EWC will not include the Non-Solicitation provision set forth above for any franchisee, including for (a) new franchisees signing franchise agreements for the first time, (b) existing franchisees whose franchise agreements have expired and/or are otherwise required to sign a new franchise agreement in the event, as applicable, of a renewal, rebuild or relocation, and (c) franchisees who sign new franchise agreements in the event, as applicable, of an acquisition of a EWC location or franchise agreement for operations in the

1

2

7 8

9

10 11

12 13

14

15 16

17 18

19 20

22

23

21

24

25

26

3.4 Within 30 days of the conclusion of the time periods referenced in this Section III, EWC will submit a declaration to the Attorney General's Office signed under penalty of perjury stating that it has complied with all provisions of this AOD or, if circumstances beyond EWC's control prevent compliance with any paragraph within the specified time frame, EWC will describe its efforts to satisfy the paragraph's requirements and the relevant extenuating circumstances.

## ADDITIONAL PROVISIONS IV.

- 4.1 This AOD is binding on, and applies to EWC, including each of its respective officers and managing agents acting within the scope of their agency, as well as EWC's successors and assigns, controlled subsidiaries, divisions, or other entities through which EWC may now or hereafter act with respect to the conduct alleged in this AOD.
- 4.2 This AOD shall not be construed as an admission of law, fact, liability, misconduct, or wrongdoing on the part of EWC and in fact, EWC expressly denies any wrongdoing or misconduct. By entering into this AOD, EWC neither agrees nor concedes that the claims, allegations and/or causes of action which have or could have been asserted by the Attorney General have merit and EWC expressly denies any such claims, allegations, and/or causes of action. However, proof of failure to comply with this AOD shall be prima facie evidence of a violation of RCW 19.86.030, thereby placing upon the violator the burden of defending against imposition by the Court of injunctions, restitution, costs and reasonable attorney's fees, and appropriate civil penalties under the Washington Consumer Protection Act. This AOD shall not be deemed an admission of any liability or as evidence of any violation of Washington or any other law with respect to any private action maintained by any franchisee, any of its employees, or any other party and shall not be deemed admissible in any such proceeding.

1	4.3 This AOD resolves all issues raised by the state of Washington and the Antitrust
2	Division of the Attorney General's Office under the Consumer Protection Act and any other related
3	statutes pertaining to the acts set forth in Paragraphs 2.1 – 2.4 above that may have occurred before
4	the date of entry of this AOD and concludes the investigation thereof. Subject to Paragraph 4.2, the
5	state of Washington and the Antitrust Division of the Attorney General's Office shall not file suit
6	or take any further investigative or enforcement action with respect to the acts set forth above that
7	occurred before the date of entry of this AOD.
8	
9	APPROVED ON this day of, 2019.
10	
11	
12	JUDGE/COURT COMISSIONER
13	
14	-
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	Presented by:	
2 3	ROBERT W. FERGUSON Attorney General	
4		
5	RAHUL RAO, WSBA #53375	
6	Assistant Attorney General Antitrust Division	
7	Attorneys for State of Washington Office of the Attorney General	
8	800 Fifth Avenue, Suite 2000 Seattle, WA 98104	
9	(206) 442-4499	
10	Rahul.Rao@atg.wa.gov	
11	Agreed to and approved for entry by: EWC Franchise, LLC/	
12	By Ma	
13	Its Authorized Signatory	
14		
15	Agreed to as to form	
16	llaghi Ben	
17 18	Douglas C. Berry (WSBA# 12291)	
19	Miller Nash Graham & Dunn LLP 2801 Alaskan Way Suite 300	
20	Seattle, WA 98121	
21	Attorneys for EWC Franchise, LLC	
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		