26

RECEIVED n King County Superior Court Clerk's Office

FEB 1 5 2019

Cashier Section Superior Court Clerk

SUPERIOR COURT OF WASHINGTON KING COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT

NO.

IN RE: FRANCHISE NO POACHING PROVISIONS

19-2-04312-

SEA

EXPRESS SERVICES, INC. d/b/a EXPRESS EMPLOYMENT PROFESSIONALS ASSURANCE OF DISCONTINUANCE

The State of Washington (State), by and through its attorneys, Robert W. Ferguson,
Attorney General (the "Attorney General"), and Rahul Rao, Assistant Attorney General, files this
Assurance of Discontinuance ("AOD") pursuant RCW 19.86.100.

I. PARTIES

- 1.1 In January 2018, the Attorney General initiated an investigation into Express Services, Inc., d/b/a Express Employment Professionals ("Express") relating to certain provisions in its form franchise agreements.
- 1.2 Express is a Colorado corporation with its principal office or place of business in Oklahoma City, Oklahoma. Express is in the business of professionally marketing and providing human resource solutions to franchise branch locations that provide permanent and temporary employment services.
- 1.3 For purposes of this AOD, Express includes its directors, officers, managers, agents acting within the scope of their agency, and employees as well as its successor and

1

6

11 12

13

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22 23

24

25

26

assigns, controlled subsidiaries, affiliates and predecessor franchisor entities. Express does not include its franchisees.

II. INVESTIGATION

- 2.1 There are 22 Express franchise branch locations in the State of Washington as of the date hereof. All of these branch locations are independently owned and operated by franchisees.
- 2.2 In the State of Washington, since at least 2013, the standard franchise agreements entered into between Express and its franchisees have restricted a franchisee's ability to hire or solicit employees from Express or other Express franchisees (the "No-Solicitation Provision"). Specifically, the standard Express franchise agreement stated that a franchisee "will not employ or seek to employ any person who is at the time employed by [Express] or any of [Express's] subsidiaries or affiliates or by any franchisee of [Express], or otherwise induce, directly or indirectly, the person to leave his/her employment." The No-Solicitation Provision further provided that it "shall not be violated if the person has left the employ of any of the parties for a period in excess of six (6) months."
- 2.3 The Attorney General asserts that the foregoing conduct of Express constitutes a contract, combination, or conspiracy in restraint of trade in violation of the Consumer Protection Act, RCW 19.86.030.
- 2.4 Express expressly denies that the foregoing conduct described above constitutes a contract, combination, or conspiracy in restraint of trade in violation of the Consumer Protection Act, RCW 19,86,030, or any other law, and expressly denies it has engaged in conduct that constitutes a contract, combination, or conspiracy in restraint of trade. Express enters into this AOD to avoid protracted and expensive litigation. Pursuant to RCW 19.86.100, neither this AOD nor its terms shall be construed as an admission of law, fact, liability, misconduct, or wrongdoing on the part of Express.

Subject to paragraph 2.4 above, Express agrees:

3.1

States of America;

9

7

10

11 12

.13

14

1516

17

18 19

2021

22

2324

25

26

3.1.1. It will no longer include the No-Solicitation Provision in any of its future franchise agreements signed after the date hereof for branch locations in the United

3.1.2. It will not enforce the No-Solicitation Provision in any of its existing franchise agreements with branch locations in the United States of America, and will not seek to intervene or defend in any way the legality of any no-poach provision in any litigation in which a franchisee may claim third-party beneficiary status rights to enforce an existing no-poach provision;

3.1.3. It will notify all of its current franchisees of branch locations in the United States of America of its commitment to no longer enforce the No-Solicitation Provision, as described in Section 3.1.2, above, inform them of the entry of this AOD, and will make a copy of this AOD available to them upon request;

3.1.4. If, after the 60-day period set forth in Paragraph 3.2 below, Express becomes aware of a franchisee with a branch location in the State of Washington attempting to enforce the No-Solicitation Provision, and Express is unable to persuade such franchisee to desist from enforcing or attempting to enforce such provision, Express will notify the Attorney General.

3.2 Within 60 days of entry of this AOD, Express will send a letter to all its current franchise locations in the State of Washington (the "Washington Franchisees") stating that the Attorney General has requested that the existing No-Solicitation Provision be removed from existing franchise agreements. The letter that Express will send to the Washington Franchisees will be substantially in the form of the letter attached as Exhibit A. That letter will enclose the proposed amendment that Express is requesting that its Washington Franchisees agree to, which amendment shall remove the No-Solicitation Provision. The proposed amendment that

1011

12

. 13

14 15

16

17

18

19 20

21

2223

24

2526

will be included with the letter will be substantially in the form of the amendment attached hereto as Exhibit B.

- 3.3 In addition to sending the letter to the Washington Franchisees pursuant to Paragraph 3.2, above, Express will respond promptly to any inquiries from such franchisees regarding the request to amend the terms to the franchise agreement and will encourage the Washington Franchisees to sign the proposed amendment. However, for the avoidance of doubt, Express is under no obligation to offer its franchisees any consideration, monetary or otherwise, to induce them to sign the proposed amendment, or take any adverse action against such franchisees if they refused to do so. Within 120 days of the entry of this AOD, Express will provide copies of all executed amendments it has obtained with its Washington Franchisees to the Attorney General's Office. A decision by a Washington Franchisee not to amend its franchise agreement, or not to do so within 120 days of this AOD, shall not mean that Express has not complied with its obligations under this AOD.
- 3.4 If Express learns that a Washington Franchisee intends in good faith to sign the proposed amendment but is unable to do so within the time period specified in Paragraph 3.3, above, Express will notify the Attorney General's Office to seek a mutually agreeable extension. During any such extension, the Attorney General's Office will not take further investigative or enforcement action against the Washington Franchisee.
- 3.5 As they come up for renewal, extension, or renegotiation during the ordinary course of business, Express will remove the No-Solicitation Provision from its existing franchise agreements for locations in the United States of America, unless expressly prohibited by law.
- 3.6 Within 30 days of the conclusion of the time periods referenced in Paragraphs
 3.3 and 3.4, Express will submit a declaration to the Attorney General's Office signed under penalty of perjury stating that all provisions of this AOD have been satisfied.

4.1 This AOD is binding on, and applies to Express, including each of its respective directors, officers, managers, and agents acting within the scope of their agency, and employees, as well as their respective successors and assigns, controlled subsidiaries, predecessor franchisor entities, or other entities through which Express may now or hereafter act with respect to the conduct alleged in this AOD.

- 4.2 This is a voluntary agreement and it shall not be construed as an admission of law, fact, liability, misconduct, or wrongdoing on the part of Express. By entering into this AOD, Express neither agrees nor concedes that the claims, allegations and/or causes of action which have or could have been asserted by the Attorney General have merit, and Express expressly denies any such claims, allegations, and/or causes of action. However, proof of failure to comply with this AOD shall be *prima facie* evidence of a violation of RCW 19.86.030, thereby placing upon the violator the burden of defending against imposition by the Court of injunctions, restitution, costs and reasonable attorney's fees, and civil penalties of up to \$2,000.00 per violation.
- 4.3 Express will not, nor will it authorize any of its officers, employees, representatives, or agents to state or otherwise contend that the State of Washington or the Attorney General has approved of, or has otherwise sanctioned, the conduct described in Paragraph 2.2 with respect to the No-Solicitation Provision in Express's franchise agreements.
- 4.4 This AOD resolves all issues raised by the State of Washington and the Antitrust Division of the Attorney General's Office under the Consumer Protection Act and any other related statutes pertaining to the acts of Express and its current and former franchisees, as set out in Paragraphs 2.1 2.3 above that may have occurred before the date of entry of this AOD, or that occur between the date of the entry of this AOD and the conclusion of the 120-day period identified in Paragraph 3.3 above, and concludes the investigation thereof. Subject to paragraph 4.2, the State of Washington and the Antitrust Division of the Attorney General's Office shall not

.1	file suit or take any further investigative or enforcement action with respect to the acts set forth							
2	above that occurred before the date of entry of this AOD, or that occurs between the date of the							
3	entry of this AOD and the conclusion of the 120-day period identified in Paragraph 3.3, above,							
4	against Express or any of its Washington Franchisees that sign the proposed amendment described							
5	in Paragraph 3.2, or any of its former franchisees in the State of Washington, or any of its current							
6	or former franchisees located outside the State of Washington. The Attorney General reserves the							
7	right to take further investigative or enforcement action against any Washington Franchisee							
8.	identified pursuant to Paragraph 3.1.4 or any Washington Franchisee that does not sign the							
9	proposed amendment described in Paragraph 3.2.							
10								
11	APPROVED ON this day of, 2019.							
12								
13								
14	JUDGE/COURT COMISSIONER							
14 15	JUDGE/COURT COMISSIONER							
	JUDGE/COURT COMISSIONER							
15 16	JUDGE/COURT COMISSIONER							
15 16 17	JUDGE/COURT COMISSIONER							
15 16 17 18	JUDGE/COURT COMISSIONER							
15 16 17 18 19	JODGE/COURT COMISSIONER							
15 16 17 18	JUDGE/COURT COMISSIONER							
15 16 17 18 19	JUDGE/COURT COMISSIONER							
15 16 17 18 19	JUDGE/COURT COMMISSIONER							
15 16 17 18 19	JUDGE/COURT COMISSIONER							
15 16 17 18 19	JUDGE/COURT COMISSIONER							
15 16 17 18 19	JUDGE/COURT COMISSIONER							

1	Presented by:			
2	ROBERT W. FERGUSON			
3	Attorney General			
4.	The state of the s			•
5	RAHUL RAO, WSBA #53375 Assistant Attorney General			
6	Antitrust Division Attorney's for State of Washington		1	
7	Office of the Attorney General			
. 8	800 Fifth Avenue, Suite 2000 Seattle, WA 98104			
9				• •
	Agreed to and approved for entry by:			
10	EXPRESS SERVICES, INC., d/b/a EXPRESS EMPLOYMENT PROFESSIONALS			
11	1 / /			
12	1/h	<u>D. V.</u>	MARI	
13		O. Keith McFal	I Z General Counse	1
- 73	ALIER BEREEF DEAKINS NASH SMEDAK & F	A CHARLES AND AN		
14	STEWART, P.C.	Express Service	s, Inc.	
14 15	STEWART, P.C. E 222 SW Columbia St., Ste. 1500 9		s, Inc. c Blvd.	
	STEWART, P.C. E 222 SW Columbia St., Ste. 1500 9	Express Service 701 Boardwall	s, Inc. c Blvd.	
15	STEWART, P.C. E 222 SW Columbia St., Ste. 1500 9 Portland, OR 97201 0	Express Service 701 Boardwall	s, Inc. c Blvd.	
15 16	STEWART, P.C. E 222 SW Columbia St., Ste. 1500 9 Portland, OR 97201 0	Express Service 701 Boardwall	s, Inc. c Blvd.	
15 16 17	STEWART, P.C. E 222 SW Columbia St., Ste. 1500 9 Portland, OR 97201 0	Express Service 701 Boardwall	s, Inc. c Blvd.	36034427.1
15 16 17 18	STEWART, P.C. E 222 SW Columbia St., Ste. 1500 9 Portland, OR 97201 0	Express Service 701 Boardwall	s, Inc. c Blvd.	
15 16 17 18 19	STEWART, P.C. E 222 SW Columbia St., Ste. 1500 9 Portland, OR 97201 0	Express Service 701 Boardwall	s, Inc. c Blvd.	
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	STEWART, P.C. E 222 SW Columbia St., Ste. 1500 9 Portland, OR 97201 0	Express Service 701 Boardwall	s, Inc. c Blvd.	36034427.1
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	STEWART, P.C. E 222 SW Columbia St., Ste. 1500 9 Portland, OR 97201 0	Express Service 701 Boardwall	s, Inc. c Blvd.	36034427.1
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	STEWART, P.C. E 222 SW Columbia St., Ste. 1500 9 Portland, OR 97201 0	Express Service 701 Boardwall	s, Inc. c Blvd.	36034427.1
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	STEWART, P.C. E 222 SW Columbia St., Ste. 1500 9 Portland, OR 97201 0	Express Service 701 Boardwall	s, Inc. c Blvd.	36034427.1
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	STEWART, P.C. E 222 SW Columbia St., Ste. 1500 9 Portland, OR 97201 0	Express Service 701 Boardwall	s, Inc. c Blvd.	36034427.1

AOD - EXHIBIT A

Form Letter to Express Washington Franchisees

Dear [Franchisee]

In September 2018, Express Service, Inc. ("Express") received a Civil Investigative Demand from the Attorney General's Office of the State of Washington seeking information regarding whether there are any provisions in our franchise agreements that restrict the hiring or solicitation of employees (sometimes referred to as "no poaching" clauses). We understand that this is part of a broader investigation into the use of such clauses in franchised industries. We have cooperated fully with the investigation.

Without admitting that Express or its franchisees violated any law or regulation, or acted improperly in any respect, we have reached an agreement with the Attorney General's Office. This agreement provides that Express will, among other things, no longer include in any U.S. franchise agreement or renewal any provisions that restrict the hiring or solicitation of employees. The agreement also provides that Express will not enforce any such provisions in any of our existing franchise agreements for branches located in the U.S.

We believe the franchise system's interests are best served by resolving the investigation quickly and cooperatively on these terms, and avoiding the uncertainty and cost of protracted litigation.

Our agreement with the Attorney General's Office also includes a requirement that we request from franchisees located in the State of Washington that they agree to amend their existing franchise agreements to remove the provisions, if any, that restrict the hiring or solicitation of employees. Enclosed for your signature is an amendment to your franchise agreement(s) with Express to satisfy the requirement. To the extent that you agree to this amendment to your franchise agreement, the Attorney General has committed to not pursue any suit, or take any investigative of enforcement action against you, for conduct relating to the relevant provisions of your franchise agreement, up to and including the date you sign the amendment. Please sign and return the amendment to me as soon as possible. If you decide not to sign the enclosed amendment, the Attorney General's Office has indicated that it will reserve the right to investigate you and/or pursue enforcement actions against you relating to the contractual provisions described above.

Should you have any questions regarding this matter, please contact me at [e-mail of appropriate person]

If you receive any media inquiries regarding this matter, please refer them to [e-mail of appropriate person]

[Signature block for appropriate person]

AOD - EXHIBIT B

AMENDMENT EXPRESS SERVICE, INC. LICENSE AGREEMENT

The Express Service, Inc. Franchise Agreement between Express Service, Inc. ("We") and the undersigned franchise ("You") listed in Exhibit A hereto (as amended, the "Franchise Agreement(s)") shall be amended in accordance with the following terms.

- 1. <u>Background</u>. We and you are parties to each Franchise Agreement and you operate one of more franchisees in the State of Washington under the Franchise Agreement(s). We have determined that it is in the best interests of the franchise system to not enforce the subsections described in Appendix A attached hereto. The purpose of this Amendment to your Franchise Agreement(s) is to document this change. All initial capitalized terms used but not defined in this Amendment shall have the meaning set forth in the Franchise Agreement(s).
- 2. <u>Modification of Terms</u>. As of Effective Date (defined below) of this Amendment, You and We agree that the sub-sections described in Appendix A attached hereto are hereby deleted or modified from each Franchise Agreement and are of no further force or effect.
- 3. <u>Miscellaneous</u>. Except as specifically modified by this Amendment, the provisions of the Franchise Agreement(s) shall remain in full force and effect. This document is an amendment to, and forms a part of, each Franchise Agreement. If there is an inconsistency between this Amendment and any Franchise Agreement, the terms of this Amendment shall control. This Amendment constitute the entire agreement between the parties hereto, and there are no other oral or written representations, understandings or agreements between them, relating to the subject matter of this Amendment. This Amendment inures to the benefit of the parties hereto and their respective successors and assigns and will be binding upon the parties hereto and each of their respective successors and assigns. This Amendment may be executed in multiple counterparts, but all such counterparts together shall be considered one and the same instrument.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties hereto have executed and delivered this						
Agreement effective as of	, 2019 (the "Effective Date").					
EXPRESS SERVICE, INC.	[FRANCHISEE'S NAME]					
By:	By:					
Names:	Names:					
Title:	Title:					

APPENDIX A

	Provisions	being	deleted	amen	ded:
--	-------------------	-------	---------	------	------

License Agreements for [

36036550.1