26



## STATE OF WASHINGTON KING COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT

IN RE: FRANCHISE NO POACHING PROVISIONS (CK FRANCHISING, INC.)

NO. 18-2-56311-9 SEA

CK FRANCHISING, INC. ASSURANCE OF DISCONTINUANCE

The State of Washington (State), by and through its attorneys, Robert W. Ferguson, Attorney General, and Rahul Rao, Assistant Attorney General, files this Assurance of Discontinuance (AOD) pursuant RCW 19.86.100.

## I. PARTIES

- 1.1 In January 2018, the Attorney General initiated an investigation into CK FRANCHISING, INC. ("CKFI") relating to certain provisions in its franchise agreement.
- 1.2 CKFI is an Ohio corporation with its principal office or place of business in Irvine, California. CKFI is a franchisor, and its corporate and franchise operated Comfort Keepers locations are in the business of providing in-home care for seniors and other adults who need assistance in daily living, including companionship care, personal care, and personal technology services and equipment.
- 1.3 For the purposes of this AOD, CKFI shall include its directors, officers, managers, agents acting within the scope of their agency, and employees as well as its successor and assigns, controlled subsidiaries, divisions, groups, affiliates, partnerships, and joint ventures.

1

## II. INVESTIGATION

- 2.1 There are nine Comfort Keepers locations in the state of Washington. All of these Comfort Keepers locations are independently owned and operated by franchisees.
- 2.2 For several years, the franchise agreements entered into between CKFI and its franchisees in the United States have provided that franchisees subject to such agreements could not solicit for employment the employees of CKFI and/or of other Comfort Keepers franchisees (the "No-Solicitation Provision"), and specifically, that the franchisee agree:
  - (a) Except as otherwise approved in writing by CKFI, You will not (during the Term), and each of the Related Parties will not (during the portion of the Term that each satisfies the definition of a Related Party), either directly or indirectly, for Yourself and/or any of the Related Parties, or through, on behalf of, or in conjunction with any Person:
    - (ii) . . . seek to employ, any Covered Individual;

The franchise agreement also provided that franchisees subject to such agreements could not hire the employees of CKFI and/or other Comfort Keepers franchisees (the "No-Hire Provision"), and specifically, that the franchisee agree:

- (a) Except as otherwise approved in writing by CKFI, You will not (during the Term), and each of the Related Parties will not (during the portion of the Term that each satisfies the definition of a Related Party), either directly or indirectly, for Yourself and/or any of the Related Parties, or through, on behalf of, or in conjunction with any Person:
  - (ii) Employ... any Covered Individual;
- 2.3 The Attorney General asserts that the foregoing conduct of CKFI and its franchisees constitutes a contract, combination, or conspiracy in restraint of trade in violation of the Consumer Protection Act, RCW 19.86.030.
- 2.4 CKFI expressly denies the conduct described above constitutes a contract, combination, or conspiracy in restraint of trade in violation of the Consumer Protection Act, RCW 19.86.030, or any other law, and expressly denies it has engaged in conduct that

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

constitutes a contract, combination, or conspiracy in restraint of trade. CKFI enters into this AOD to avoid protracted and expensive litigation. Pursuant to RCW 19.86.100, neither this AOD nor its terms shall be construed as an admission of law, fact, liability, misconduct, or wrongdoing on the part of CKFI.

## III. ASSURANCE OF DISCONTINUANCE

- 3.1 Subject to paragraph 2.4 above, CKFI agrees:
- 3.1.1. It will contractually omit the No-Hire Provision or the No-Solicit Provision, or any other restriction that purports to restrict a franchisee's ability to solicit or hire workers from another franchisee or from CKFI, in any franchise agreement in the United States signed after the entry of the AOD and will issue a franchise disclosure document ("FDD") omitting the No-Solicitation and No-Hire provisions within 60 days of the issuance of this AOD.
- 3.1.2. It will continue not enforcing the No-Solicitation Provision and the No-Hire Provision in any of its existing franchise agreements, and will not seek to intervene or defend in any way the legality of any No-Solicitation Provision or No-Hire Provision in any litigation in which a franchisee may claim third-party beneficiary status rights to enforce an existing No-Solicitation or No-Hire Provision;
- 3.1.3. It will notify all of its current franchisees in the United States of the entry of this AOD and make a copy available to them;
- 3.1.4. If, after the 21-day period set forth in Paragraph 3.2 below, CKFI becomes aware of a franchisee with an office located in the State of Washington attempting to enforce the No-Solicitation Provision or No-Hire Provision, and CKFI is unable to persuade such franchisee to desist from enforcing or attempting to enforce such provision, CKFI will notify the Attorney General.
- 3.2 Within 21 days of entry of this AOD, CKFI will send a letter to all of its current franchisees with offices located in the State of Washington, stating that the Attorney General

has requested that the existing No-Solicitation Provision and No-Hire Provision be removed from existing franchise agreements. That letter will enclose an amendment that CKFI is requesting that each of its franchisees in the State of Washington agree to, which amendment will remove the No-Solicitation Provision and the No-Hire Provision.

- 3.3 In addition to sending the letter to its current franchisees in the State of Washington pursuant to Paragraph 3.2 above, CKFI will respond promptly to any inquiries from such franchisees regarding the request to amend the terms of the franchise agreement and will encourage its current franchisees in the State of Washington to sign the amendment. However, for the avoidance of doubt, CKFI is under no obligation to offer its franchisees any consideration—monetary or otherwise—in order to induce them to sign an amendment or take any adverse action against such franchisees if they refuse to do so. Within 120 days of entry of this AOD, CKFI will provide copies of all executed amendments it has obtained with its current franchisees in the State of Washington to the Attorney General's Office. A decision by a franchisee not to amend its franchise agreement, or not to do so within 120 days of this AOD, shall not mean that CKFI has not complied with its obligations under this AOD.
- 3.4 If CKFI learns that a current franchisee in the State of Washington intends in good faith to sign the proposed amendment but is unable to do so within the time period specified in Paragraph 3.3, CKFI will notify the Attorney General's Office to seek a mutually agreeable extension. During any such extension, the Attorney General's Office will not take further investigative or enforcement action against a franchisee.
- 3.5 As they come up for renewal during the ordinary course of business, CKFI will remove the No-Solicitation and No-Hire Provisions from all its existing franchise agreements in the United States, unless expressly prohibited by law.
- 3.6 Within 60 days of the conclusion of the time periods referenced in Paragraph 3.3, CKFI will submit a declaration to the Attorney General's Office signed under penalty of perjury stating that all provisions of this agreement have been satisfied.

4.1 This AOD is binding on, and applies to CKFI, including each of its respective directors, officers, managers, agents acting within the scope of their agency, and employees, as well as their respective successors and assigns, controlled subsidiaries, divisions, groups, affiliates, partnerships, and joint ventures, or other entities through which CKFI may now or hereafter act with respect to the conduct alleged in this AOD.

- 4.2 This is a voluntary agreement and it shall not be construed as an admission of law, fact, liability, misconduct, or wrongdoing on the part of CKFI. CKFI neither agrees nor concedes that the claims, allegations and/or causes of action which have or could have been asserted by the Attorney General have merit and CKFI expressly denies any such claims, allegations, and/or causes of action. However, proof of failure to comply with this AOD shall be *prima facie* evidence of a violation of RCW 19.86.030, thereby placing upon the violator the burden of defending against imposition by the Court of injunctions, restitution, costs and reasonable attorney's fees, and civil penalties of up to \$2,000.00 per violation.
- 4.3 CKFI will not, nor will it authorize any of its officers, employees, representatives, or agents to state or otherwise contend that the State of Washington or the Attorney General has approved of, or has otherwise sanctioned, the conduct described in Paragraph 2.2 with respect to the No-Solicitation Provision and No-Hire Provision in CKFI's franchise agreement.
- 4.4 This AOD resolves all issues raised by the State of Washington and the Antitrust Division of the Attorney General's Office under the Consumer Protection Act and any other related statutes pertaining to the acts of CKFI and its current and former franchisees as set forth in paragraph 2.1 2.3 above that may have occurred before the date of entry of this AOD, or that occur between the date of entry of this AOD and the conclusion of the 120 day period identified in Paragraph 3.3 above, and concludes the investigation thereof. Subject to paragraph 4.2, the State of Washington and the Antitrust Division of the Attorney General's Office shall not file suit or take any further investigative or enforcement action with respect to the acts set forth above that

1	occurred before the date of entry of this AOD, o	or that occurs between the date of entry of this
2	AOD and the conclusion of the 120 day period i	in Paragraph 3.3 above, against CKFI or any of its
3	current franchisees in the State of Washington th	hat sign the proposed amendment described in
4	Section III, any of its former franchisees in the S	State of Washington, or any of its current or
5	former franchisees located outside the State of V	Washington.
6		
7	APPROVED ON this day of	, 2018.
8		
9		
10		JUDGE/COURT COMISSIONER
11		
12		·
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23 ·		
24		
25		
. 1 /-	11	

1	
2	
3	Presented by:
4	ROBERT W. FERGUSON
5	Attorney General
6	
7	RAHUL RAO, WSBA #53375 Assistant Attorney General
8	Antitrust Division
9	Attorneys for State of Washington Office of the Attorney General
10	800 Fifth Avenue, Suite 2000 Scattle, WA 98104
11	Agreed to and approved for entry by:
12	CK FRANCHISING, INC.
13	Tim Fetzgerald Mindu Names Mit
14	Timothy B. Fitzgerald, WSBA #45103 Mirdy James Will
15	McNaul Ebel Nawrot & Helgren PLLC Assoc, General Counsel, North America 600 University Street, Ste. 2700 CK Franchising, Inc.
16	Seattle, WA 98101-3134
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
	H