



NATIONAL ASSOCIATION  
OF  
MEDICAID FRAUD CONTROL UNITS

STATISTICAL SURVEY  
STATE MEDICAID FRAUD  
CONTROL UNITS

**2023**

1850 M Street N.W., 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Washington, DC 20036

The **NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF MEDICAID FRAUD CONTROL UNITS** was founded in 1978 to provide a forum for the mutual exchange of information and to facilitate communication among the state Medicaid Fraud Control Units. The Association fosters interstate cooperation on legal and law enforcement issues affecting the Units and conducts training programs and provides technical assistance to its members.

The Association represents the 53 Medicaid Fraud Control Units that investigate and prosecute Medicaid provider fraud and fraud in the administration of the Medicaid program. The Units are also responsible for protecting the most vulnerable of our population, those who reside in healthcare facilities, from abuse and neglect.

\*\*\*\*\*

This statistical report includes information from January to December 2022 and was compiled and produced by **Linda Vang**, Meetings Manager, National Association of Medicaid Fraud Control Units. The following statistics are a part of the January 2022 – December 2022 year.

## Contents

<b>Certification Date, Agency Affiliation, &amp; Fiscal Intermediary .....</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>Number of Staff.....</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>Prosecution and Arrest Authority.....</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>Medicaid Fraud, Resident Abuse &amp; False Claims Statutes .....</b>	<b>10</b>
<b>Medicaid and Unit Budgets.....</b>	<b>14</b>

## Certification Date, Agency Affiliation, & Fiscal Intermediary

STATE	DATE OF CERTIFICATION	AGENCY AFFILIATION	NAME OF FISCAL INTERMEDIARY
Alabama	04/15/78	Attorney General	Gainwell Technologies
Alaska	01/01/92	Attorney General	Conduent; Behavioral Health - Optum;
Arizona	10/01/84	Attorney General	Arizona Health Care Cost Containment System
Arkansas	01/01/79	Attorney General	Gainwell Technologies
California	07/28/78	Attorney General	Gainwell Technologies
Colorado	07/01/78	Attorney General	Gainwell Technologies
Connecticut	08/16/78	Division of Criminal Justice Chief State's Attorney	Department of Social Services
Delaware	04/01/80	Attorney General	Gainwell Technologies
District of Columbia	03/01/00	Office of Inspector General	Conduent
Florida	08/18/80	Attorney General	Gainwell Technologies
Georgia	01/01/95	Attorney General	Gainwell Technologies
Hawaii	06/04/79	Attorney General	Conduent
Idaho	06/25/07	Attorney General	Medical Health Care Purposes Gainwell Technologies; Behavioral Health - Optum; Non-Emergency Transportation - Medical Transportation Management, Inc.;; Dental Health - MCNA Dental
Illinois	06/30/78	Illinois State Police	Illinois Department of Health Care and Family Services
Indiana	07/01/82	Attorney General	Gainwell Technologies
Iowa	09/06/86	Iowa Dept. of Inspections & Appeals, Investigations Division	Iowa Department of Health and Human Services/ Iowa Medicaid
Kansas	10/01/95	Attorney General	Gainwell Technologies
Kentucky	04/01/80	Attorney General	Gainwell Technologies
Louisiana	03/31/78	Attorney General	Gainwell Technologies
Maine	07/02/79	Attorney General	Maine Department of Health and Human Services
Maryland	01/01/79	Attorney General	Maryland Department of Health
Massachusetts	08/15/78	Attorney General	Massachusetts Executive Office of Health and Human Services
Michigan	10/01/78	Attorney General	Michigan Department of Health and Human Services
Minnesota	07/01/83	Attorney General	Minnesota Department of Human Services
Mississippi	04/01/84	Attorney General	Conduent
Missouri	10/01/94	Attorney General	WIPRO
Montana	11/08/95	Attorney General	Conduent
Nebraska	07/01/04	Attorney General	HHS - Division of Medicaid & Long Term Care

<b>STATE</b>	<b>DATE OF CERTIFICATION</b>	<b>AGENCY AFFILIATION</b>	<b>NAME OF FISCAL INTERMEDIARY</b>
Nevada	10/01/91	Attorney General	Gainwell Technologies
New Hampshire	10/01/84	Attorney General	Conduent
New Jersey	03/01/78	Attorney General	Gainwell Technologies
New Mexico	10/01/89	Attorney General	Conduent
New York	05/02/78	Attorney General	CSR, INC
North Carolina	01/01/79	Attorney General	General Dynamics Information Technology
North Dakota	10/01/19	Attorney General	North Dakota Department of Human Services
Ohio	11/20/78	Attorney General	Ohio Department of Medicaid
Oklahoma	07/01/89	Attorney General	Gainwell Technologies
Oregon	04/01/86	Attorney General	Gainwell Technologies
Pennsylvania	12/21/78	Attorney General	Gainwell Technologies
Puerto Rico	12/17/18	Attorney General	Puerto Rico Department of Health
Rhode Island	02/21/87	Attorney General	Gainwell Technologies
South Carolina	01/01/95	Attorney General	South Carolina Department of Health & Human Services
South Dakota	07/01/90	Attorney General	SD DSS Medical Services
Tennessee	10/01/84	Tennessee Bureau of Investigation	RX – Optum RX; Dental – DentaQuest/ individual MCO
Texas	01/01/79	Attorney General	Texas Medicaid Healthcare Partnership
Utah	01/01/80	Attorney General	Dept. of Health & Human Services – Division of Integrated Health Care
Vermont	10/25/78	Attorney General	Gainwell Technologies
Virgin Islands	10/18/18	Attorney General	Virgin Islands Dept. of Human Services
Virginia	10/01/82	Attorney General	ACS State Healthcare
Washington	07/24/78	Attorney General	Health Care Authority
West Virginia	10/01/79	Attorney General	Gainwell Technologies
Wisconsin	07/01/78	Attorney General	Gainwell Technologies
Wyoming	01/01/95	Attorney General	CNSI

## Number of Staff

STATE	TOTAL STAFF	ATTORNEYS	CROSS-DESIGNATED*	AUDITORS	INVESTIGATORS	OTHER	SWORN PERSONNEL
Alabama	10	2	1	1	5	2	Yes
Alaska	12	3	0	1	6 <sup>1</sup>	2	No
Arizona	28	7	1	1	13 <sup>2</sup>	7	Yes
Arkansas <sup>3</sup>	22	7	0	1	10	4	Yes
California	207	51 <sup>4</sup>	0	26	69	61	Yes
Colorado	20	3	0	1	13 <sup>5</sup>	2	Yes
Connecticut	13	3	0	2	7	1	Yes
Delaware	16	5	0	1	6	4 <sup>6</sup>	Yes
District of Columbia	21	3	2	2	12	4	Yes
Florida	143	23	1	12	72	36	Yes
Georgia	41	9	1	5	17 <sup>7</sup>	10	Yes
Hawaii	16	3	0	2	7	4	Yes
Idaho	10	2	0	2	4	2	Yes
Illinois	34	2	0	4	27 <sup>8</sup>	1	Yes
Indiana	57	14	0	1	31	11	No
Iowa	9	1	1	1	5 <sup>9</sup>	2	Yes
Kansas	18	4	2	5	8 <sup>10</sup>	1	Yes
Kentucky	30	8	0	5	12	5	Yes
Louisiana	65	12	0	2	37 <sup>11</sup>	14	Yes
Maine	9	3	1	1	4	1	Yes
Maryland	35	10	1	4	16 <sup>12</sup>	5	No
Massachusetts	31	12	1	2	15 <sup>13</sup>	2	No
Michigan	31	7	0	1	16	7	Yes
Minnesota	28	7	0	1	14 <sup>14</sup>	6	No
Mississippi	34	8	0	2	19 <sup>15</sup>	5	Yes
Missouri	22	5	0	3	11 <sup>16</sup>	3	No
Montana	9	1	0	2	4	2	Yes
Nebraska	11	3	1	2	4	2	Yes
Nevada	20	5	1	2	9	4	Yes
New Hampshire	8	3	0	1	2	2	Yes
New Jersey	30	8	0	2	16	4	Yes
New Mexico	23	5	0	4	9 <sup>16</sup>	5	Yes
New York	259	52	0	86	57	64	Yes
North Carolina	47	11	11	7	21	8	Yes

<sup>1</sup>**ALASKA:** Investigators authorized to receive and execute search warrants, but not arrest or carry a firearm.

<sup>2</sup>**ARIZONA:** One investigator is a nurse investigator.

<sup>3</sup>**ARKANSAS:** One nurse analyst. Four sworn investigators and one nurse investigator. Sworn investigators have arrest and seizure power.

<sup>4</sup>**CALIFORNIA:** Two attorneys are SAAGs and one attorney is a CAAG/Director.

<sup>5</sup>**COLORADO:** One investigator is a nurse investigator.

<sup>6</sup>**DELAWARE:** One other is a nurse analyst.

<sup>7</sup>**GEORGIA:** Four investigators are nurse investigators. Four investigators are sworn.

<sup>8</sup>**ILLINOIS:** One investigator is a nurse investigator.

<sup>9</sup>**IOWA:** Investigators maintain limited peace officer status and may assist federal, state, or local law enforcement in the execution of search warrants, but do not arrest or carry firearms.

<sup>10</sup>**KANSAS:** Two investigators are nurse investigators.

<sup>11</sup>**LOUISIANA:** Two investigators are nurse investigators.

<sup>12</sup>**MARYLAND:** One investigator is a nurse investigator.

<sup>13</sup>**MASSACHUSETTS:** One investigator is a nurse investigator.

<sup>14</sup>**MINNESOTA:** Two investigators are data analysts.

<sup>15</sup>**MISSISSIPPI:** Three investigators are nurse investigators.

<sup>16</sup>**MISSOURI:** Two investigators are nurse investigators.

<sup>16</sup>**NEW MEXICO:** Two investigators are medical care investigators and two investigators are information system specialists.

\* Indicates number of attorneys cross designate to practice in federal court.

STATE	TOTAL STAFF	ATTORNEYS	CROSS-DESIGNATED*	AUDITORS	INVESTIGATORS	OTHER	SWORN PERSONNEL
North Dakota	6	1	0	2	2	1	Yes
Ohio	103	19	2	1	67	13	No
Oklahoma	24	6	0	1	14	3	Yes
Oregon	18	5	1	2	9 <sup>1</sup>	2	No
Pennsylvania	67	11	5 <sup>2</sup>	2	35	19 <sup>3</sup>	Yes
Puerto Rico	17	5	0	2	8 <sup>4</sup>	2	Yes
Rhode Island	11	3	0	1	6 <sup>5</sup>	1	Yes
South Carolina	20	6	0	2	10 <sup>6</sup>	2	Yes
South Dakota	5	1	1	1	2	1	Yes
Tennessee	57	3	0	5	32	17	Yes
Texas	149	3	2	28	79	39	Yes
Utah	16	3	1	2	8	3 <sup>7</sup>	Yes
Vermont	9	3	0	2	3 <sup>8</sup>	1	Yes
Virgin Islands	4	1	0	1	1	1	Yes
Virginia	102	20	6	6	53	23	No
Washington	60	13	2	13 <sup>9</sup>	20 <sup>10</sup>	14	Yes
West Virginia	21	3	0	3	12 <sup>11</sup>	3	No
Wisconsin	10	3	1	1	4	2	Yes <sup>12</sup>
Wyoming	4	1	1	1	1	1	Yes

<sup>1</sup>**OREGON:** One is a nurse investigator and one is a data analyst.

<sup>2</sup>**PENNSYLVANIA:** Designations authorize assistance with criminal cases.

<sup>3</sup>**PENNSYLVANIA:** One is a nurse investigator.

<sup>4</sup>**PUERTO RICO:** One investigator is a nurse investigator.

<sup>5</sup>**RHODE ISLAND:** One investigator is a nurse investigator.

<sup>6</sup>**SOUTH CAROLINA:** One investigator is a nurse investigator.

<sup>7</sup>**UTAH:** One is a nurse analyst.

<sup>8</sup>**VERMONT:** Two investigators are a sworn law enforcement.

<sup>9</sup>**WASHINGTON:** Three are nurse analysts/investigators.

<sup>10</sup>**WASHINGTON:** Two investigators are digital forensics investigator.

<sup>11</sup>**WEST VIRGINIA:** Investigators are authorized to carry firearms, to receive and execute search warrants, and swear criminal complaints.

<sup>12</sup>**WISCONSIN:** One investigator is a sworn agent.

\* Indicated number of attorneys cross designated to practice in federal court.

## Prosecution and Arrest Authority

STATE	PROSECUTION	PROSECUTION AUTHORITY	PROSECUTION REFERRED TO	AUTHORITY TO ARREST	AUTHORITY TO SEIZE EVIDENCE
Alabama	Yes	State Statute	N/A	Yes	Yes
Alaska	Yes	State Statute	N/A	No	Yes
Arizona	Yes	State Statute	N/A	Yes	Yes
Arkansas	Yes	Can be appointed by local prosecutors	U.S. Attorney/County Prosecutor	Yes	Yes
California	Yes	State Statute	N/A	Yes	Yes
Colorado	Yes	State Statute	N/A	Yes	Yes
Connecticut	Yes	State Statute	N/A	Yes	Yes <sup>1</sup>
Delaware	Yes	State Statute	N/A	Yes	Yes
District of Columbia	No	None; however, MFCU has 2 SAUSAs to prosecute MFCU criminal cases at the D.C. USAO	U.S. Attorney	Yes <sup>2</sup>	Yes
Florida	No	State-Cross designated SAUSA-Federal	U.S. Attorney/State Attorney Statewide Prosecutor	Yes	Yes
Georgia	Yes	Constitution; State Statute; Gov's Exec. Order	N/A	Yes	Yes
Hawaii	Yes	State Statute	N/A	Yes	Yes
Idaho	Yes	State Statute	N/A	Yes	Yes
Illinois	No	None; however, can be appointed by local prosecutor pursuant to State Statute	Attorney General/U.S. Attorney/ County Prosecutor	Yes	Yes
Indiana	Yes <sup>3</sup>	State Statute	U.S. Attorney/County Prosecutor	No	No
Iowa	Yes	State Statute	U.S. Attorney/County Prosecutor	No	Yes
Kansas	Yes	State Statute	N/A	Yes	Yes
Kentucky	Yes	State Statute	N/A	Yes	Yes
Louisiana	Yes <sup>4</sup>	Constitution	N/A	Yes	Yes
Maine	Yes	State Statute	N/A	Yes	Yes
Maryland	Yes	Governor's Letter	N/A	No	No <sup>5</sup>
Massachusetts	Yes	State Statute	N/A	No	No
Michigan	Yes	State Statute	N/A	Yes	Yes
Minnesota	Yes	State Statute <sup>6</sup>	N/A	No	Yes <sup>7</sup>
Mississippi	Yes	State Statute	N/A	Yes	Yes
Missouri	Yes	State Statute	U.S. Attorney/County Prosecutor	No	No
Montana	Yes	State Statute	N/A	Yes	Yes
Nebraska	Yes	State Statute	N/A	Yes	Yes
Nevada	Yes	State Statute	N/A	Yes	Yes
New Hampshire	Yes	State Statute	N/A	Yes	Yes

<sup>1</sup>CONNECTICUT: Search warrant.

<sup>2</sup>DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA: MFCU Criminal Investigators have arrest authority while engaged in the performance of their official duties within the District of Columbia or a District government facility located outside of the District.

<sup>3</sup>INDIANA: If referred back from the county prosecutor.

<sup>4</sup>LOUISIANA: If referred back from the local District Attorneys.

<sup>5</sup>MARYLAND: Investigators assist the State Police who are assigned on an as needed basis.

<sup>6</sup>MINNESOTA: Original jurisdiction for fraud, but requires a referral from a local county attorney for patient abuse/neglect case.

<sup>7</sup>MINNESOTA: Search warrant.



STATE	PROSECUTION	PROSECUTION AUTHORITY	PROSECUTION REFERRED TO	AUTHORITY TO ARREST	AUTHORITY TO SEIZE EVIDENCE
New Jersey	Yes	State Statute	N/A	Yes	Yes
New Mexico	Yes	State Statute & Constitution	N/A	Yes	Yes
New York	Yes	State Statute	N/A	Yes	Yes
North Carolina	Yes	State - Agreement with District Attorney Federal - SAUSA	N/A	Yes	Yes
North Dakota	Yes	State Statute	N/A	Yes	Yes
Ohio	Yes	State Statute	N/A	No	No
Oklahoma	Yes	State Statute	MFCU prosecutes most of its cases, but can refer to U.S. Attorney/Local District Attorney	Yes	Yes
Oregon	Yes	State - Appointment by District Attorney Federal - SAUSA	N/A	No	Yes <sup>1</sup>
Pennsylvania	Yes	State Statute	N/A	Yes	Yes
Puerto Rico	Yes	State Statute	MFCU Prosecutes most of its cases, but can refer to U.S. Attorney/Local District Attorney	Yes	Yes
Rhode Island	Yes	State Statute & Constitution	N/A	Yes	Yes
South Carolina	Yes	State Statute & Constitution	N/A	Yes	Yes
South Dakota	Yes	State Statute	N/A	Yes	Yes
Tennessee	No	None	U.S. Attorney/District Attorney	Yes	Yes
Texas	No	State Cross-designated SAUSA - Federal	U.S. Attorney/District Attorney/ County Attorney	Yes	Yes
Utah	Yes	State Statute	N/A	Yes	Yes
Vermont	Yes	State Statute	N/A	Yes	Yes
Virgin Islands	Yes	State Statute & SAUSA - Federal	Attorney General/AUSA	Yes	Yes <sup>2</sup>
Virginia	Yes	State Cross-designated SAUSA - Federal	U.S. Attorney/ Commonwealth Attorney	No	Yes
Washington	Yes	State Statute	U.S. Attorney/ County Prosecutor <sup>3</sup>	Yes	Yes
West Virginia	Yes	State Statute <sup>4</sup>	U.S. Attorney/ County Prosecutor	No	Yes
Wisconsin	Yes	State Statute	N/A	Yes	Yes
Wyoming	Yes	State Statute	N/A	Yes	Yes

<sup>1</sup>OREGON: Search warrant.

<sup>2</sup>VIRGIN ISLANDS: Search warrant.

<sup>3</sup>WASHINGTON: MFCU Attorney prosecutes its own cases with the consent of the local prosecuting attorney.

<sup>4</sup>WEST VIRGINIA: MFCU Attorney may be appointed Special Assistant Prosecuting Attorney.

## Medicaid Fraud, Resident Abuse & False Claims Statutes

STATE	SPECIFIC STATUTE FOR				FALSE CLAIMS			
	MEDICAID FRAUD	CMPL/ CIVIL FRAUD	RESIDENT ABUSE	ANTI-KICKBACKS	CIVIL	QUI TAM	CRIMINAL	DRA COMPLIANT CERTIFIED
Alabama	22-1-11	No	38-9-1 thru 38-9-11	22-1-11	No	No	No	N/A
Alaska	AS 47.05.210	No	AS 11.51.200 & AS 11.51.210	AS 47.05.210	AS 09.58.010	AS 09.58.020 <sup>1</sup>	AS 47.05.210(a)(1)	N/A
Arizona	ARS § 36-2918	ARS § 36-2918	ARS § 13-3623 § 13-1203-1204	ARS § 13-3713	No	No	No	N/A
Arkansas	ACA § 5-55-101 <i>et seq.</i>	ACA § 20-77-901 <i>et seq.</i>	ACA § 12-12-1701 5-28-101 <i>et seq.</i>	ACA § 5-55-111 (6) & (7)	ACA § 20-77-901	No	ACA § 5-55-111(1)&(2)	No
California	Welfare & Insts. Code 14107	Govt Code 12652	Penal Code 368	Welfare & Insts. Code 14107.2	Gov. Code § 12650 <i>et seq.</i>	Gov. Code § 12650 <i>et seq.</i>	Gov. Code § 12650 <i>et seq.</i>	Yes
Colorado	CRS 24-31-808	CRS 25.5-4-305	CRS 18.6.5-101 <i>et seq.</i> At-Risk Adults	CRS 24-31-809	CRS 25.5-4-303.5	CRS 25.5-4-303.5	CRS 24-31-808	Yes
Connecticut	CT Gen. Stat. 53a-122 - 124 53a-290 -296	CT Gen. Stat. 4-274 <i>et seq.</i>	CT Gen. Stat. 53a-59a, 60b, 61a 53-20	CT Gen. Stat. 53a-215	CT Gen. Stat. 4-274 <i>et seq.</i>	Yes	No	Yes
Delaware	31 Del.C. § 1001 <i>et seq.</i> & 11 Del.C. § 913A	31 Del.C. § 1001 <i>et seq.</i>	16 Del.C. § 1131 <i>et seq.</i>	31 Del.C. §§ 1001 <i>et seq.</i>	6 Del. C. § 1201 <i>et seq.</i>	6 Del. C. § 1201 <i>et seq.</i>	11 Del. C. § 913 A & 31 Del. C. § 1001 <i>et seq.</i>	No
District of Columbia	D.C. Code § 4-801 <i>et seq.</i> <sup>2</sup>	D.C. Code § 4-803	D.C. Code § 22-931 <i>et seq.</i>	No	D.C. Code § 2-381.02	D.C. Code § 2-381.03	No	No
Florida	F.S. 409.920	F.S. 409.920	F.S. 825	F.S. 409.920	F.S.68.081 -68.09	F.S.68.081-68.09	F.S. 409.920 & F.S. 812.014	No
Georgia	O.C.G.A. § 49-4-146.1(a) (b) & (c)	O.C.G.A. § 49-4-146.1(c.1) (d) & (e)	O.C.G.A. §§ 30-5-1 thru 10 & O.C.G.A. §§ 16-5-100 thru 105	O.C.G.A. § 26-5-80 (Limited to "Substance Abuse Providers")	O.C.G.A. § 49-4-168	O.C.G.A. § 49-4-168	No	Yes
Hawaii	346-43.5 H.R.S.	28-94 H.R.S.	709-905 H.R.S.	No	661-21 <i>et al.</i> H.R.S.	661-21 <i>et al.</i> H.R.S.	346-43.5 H.R.S.	Yes
Idaho	I.C. § 56-227A	I.C. § 56-227B	I.C. § 18-1505	No	No	No	No	N/A
Illinois	305 ILCS 5/8A-3	305 ILCS 5/8A-7	720 ILCS 5/12-4.4	305 ILCS 5/8A-3	305 ILCS, 5/8A-7	740ILCS, 175/1 <i>et seq.</i>	305 ILCS, 5/8A-3	Yes
Indiana	IC 35-43-5- 7.1	IC 12-15-23-8	IC 35-42-2-1 & 35-46-1-4	IC 12-15-24-2	IC 5-11-5.5-2	IC 5-11-5.5-4	IC 35-43-5-7.1	Yes
Iowa	IC 249A.51	No	IC 235E, 235B, 726.27, 726.28	No	Iowa Code Ch. 685	Iowa Code Ch. 685	Iowa Code Ch. 685	Yes

<sup>1</sup>ALASKA: False Claims Act qui tam provisions sunset June 30, 2019.

<sup>2</sup>DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA: Statute is not used to charge individuals in the District.

STATE	SPECIFIC STATUTE FOR				FALSE CLAIMS			
	MEDICAID FRAUD	CMPL/ CIVIL FRAUD	RESIDENT ABUSE	ANTI-KICKBACKS	CIVIL	QUI TAM	CRIMINAL	DRA COMPLIANT CERTIFIED
Kansas	K.S.A. 21-5925 thru 5934	No	K.S.A. 21-5417	K.S.A. 21-5928	K.S.A. 75-7501 thru 75-7511	No	K.S.A. 21-5927	N/A
Kentucky	KRS 194A	KRS 205, KRS 194A	KRS 209	KRS 205.8461	No	No	KRS 205 & KRS 194A	N/A
Louisiana	LA RS 14.70.1	LA RS 46:438.6(c)	LA RS 14:35.2, 14:93.3, 14:93.4, 14:93.5, 14:283.3	LA RS 14:70.5 (criminal), LA RS 46:438.2 (civil)	LA RS 46:438.1.1 <i>et seq.</i>	LA RS 46:439.1 <i>et seq.</i>	LA RS 14:70.1	No
Maine	None	None	Title 17-A § 555	No	Title 22 § 15	No	No	N/A
Maryland	Crim. Law § 8-508-517	Crim. Law § 8-517	Crim. Law §3-604 thru 606	Crim. Law § 8-511	Health Gen. § 2-601 <i>et seq.</i>	Health Gen. § 2-604 <i>et seq.</i>	Crim. Law § 8-509	No
Massachusetts	M.G.L. c. 118E, § 40	M.G.L. c. 118E, § 44	M.G.L. c. 265, § 13K; M.G.L. c. 111, § 72K; and M.G.L. c. 93A and 940 CMR 4.02(1)	M.G.L. c. 118E, §41	M.G.L. c. 12, § 5A <i>et seq.</i>	M.G.L. c. 12, § 5A <i>et seq.</i>	M.G.L. c.118E, § 40 and M.G.L. c.266, § 67B	Yes
Michigan	MCL 400.601 et al.	MCL 400.612	MCL 333.21771 MCL 750.145N	MCL 400.604	MCL 400.612	MCL 400.610a	MCL 400.601 <i>et seq.</i>	No
Minnesota	Minn. Stat. § 609.466; 609.52	Minn. Stat. § 256B.12	Minn. Stat. § 609.2325, 233, and 2335	No	15C	15C.05	No	No
Mississippi	MS Code 43-13-201 <i>et seq.</i>	MS Code 43-3-225	MS Code 43-47-19	MS Code 43-13-207	No	No	No	N/A
Missouri	§191.905 RSMo	§191.905 RSMo	§191.905 RSMo	§191.905 RSMo	§191.905 RSMo	No	§191.905 RSMo	N/A
Montana	MCA 45-6-313	MCA 53-6-111	MCA 52-3-825 MCA 45-6-333	MCA 45-6-313	MCA 17-8-403	MCA 17-8-406	MCA 45-7-210	Yes
Nebraska	NEB. REV. STAT. § 68-936; 68-1017,	NEB. REV. STAT. § 68-934 <i>et seq.</i>	NEB. REV. STAT. § 68-944, § 28-386	No	NEB. REV. STAT. § 68-934 <i>et seq.</i>	No	NEB. REV. STAT. § 68-1017	N/A
Nevada	NRS 422.540. <i>Et seq.</i>	NRS 422.580	NRS 200.495 & NRS 200.5091 <i>et seq.</i>	NRS 422.560	NRS 357.010 <i>et seq.</i>	NRS 357.010 <i>et seq.</i>	N/A	Yes
New Hampshire	RSA 167:61-a	RSA 167:61-b	RSA 151:27 RSA 631:8	RSA 167:61-a, I(i) & (j)	RSA 167:61-b	RSA 167:61-b,c,d,e	RSA 167:61-a	No
New Jersey	NJSA 30:4D-17(a)	NJSA 30:4D-17(e)	NJSA 2C:24-8	NJSA 30:4D-17(c)	NJSA 2A:32-1	NJSA 2A:32-5b	NJSA 2C:21-4.2	No

STATE	SPECIFIC STATUTE FOR				FALSE CLAIMS			
	MEDICAID FRAUD	CMPL/ CIVIL FRAUD	RESIDENT ABUSE	ANTI-KICKBACKS	CIVIL	QUI TAM	CRIMINAL	DRA COMPLIANT CERTIFIED
New Mexico	NMSA 1978 § 30-44-1 <i>et seq.</i>	NMSA 1978 § 30-44-1 <i>et seq.</i>	NMSA 1978 § 30-47-1 <i>et seq.</i>	NMSA 1978 § 30-44-1 <i>et seq.</i> & 30-41-1 <i>et seq.</i>	NMSA 1978 § 30-44-1 <i>et seq.</i> & 27-14-1 <i>et seq.</i>	NMSA 1978 § 44-9-1 <i>et seq.</i>	NMSA 1978 § 30-44-1 <i>et seq.</i>	No
New York	Penal Law § 177	Soc. Svcs. Law § 145-b & State Finance Law (FCA) § 187-194	Penal Law § 260.25 <i>et seq.</i> PHL § 12-b and § 2803-d (7)	Soc. Svcs. Law § 366-d and f	Soc. Svcs. Law § 145-b	State Finance Law (FCA) § 187-194	NYS Penal Law and Soc. Svcs. Law § 366-d & f	Yes
North Carolina	NCGS 108A-63	NCGS 108A-70.10	NCGS 14-32.2	NCGS 108A-63 (g) & (h)	NCGS 108A-70.10 and 1-605	NCGS 1-605	No	Yes
North Dakota	NDCC 50-24.8	NDCC 50-24.8-02, 50-24.8-10, 50-24.8-11	NDCC 50-24.8-01, 50-24.8-10	NDCC 50-24.8-11	NDCC 50-24.8-01, 50-24.8-02	No	NDCC 50-24.8-10, 50-24.8-11	N/A
Ohio	ORC 2913.40	ORC 5164.35	ORC 2903.33/34	Yes	No	No	No	N/A
Oklahoma	56 O.S. 1001-1007	56 O.S. 1007 & 63 O.S. 5053 <i>et seq.</i>	21 O.S. 843.1 & 43A O.S. 10-101 to 10-110	56 O.S. 1005. (A)(6); 63 O.S. 1-742	63 O.S. 5053 <i>et seq.</i>	63 O.S. 5053 <i>et seq.</i>	56 O.S. 1005	Yes
Oregon	ORS 165.692 ORS 411.675	ORS 180.760	ORS 163.200 and 163.205	No	ORS 180.760	No	ORS 165.692	N/A
Pennsylvania	62 P.S. § 1401 <i>et seq.</i> specifically 62 P.S. § 1407(a)	62 P.S. § 1407(c) (1)-initiated by SSA	Neglect-18 Pa. C.S.A. § 2713; Abuse-18 Pa. C.S.A. § 2713.1; Financial Exploitation-18 Pa. C.S.A. § 3922.1	62 P.S. 1407(a)(2)	No	No	No	N/A
Puerto Rico	Law No. 154 of 2018, Article 3.07	Law No. 154 of 2018	Law 146 of 2012 Puerto Rico Penal Code Articles 125-127D	Law No. 154 of 2018, Article 3.07 (A)(6)	Law No. 154 of 2018, Chapter IV, Art. 4.01 (a)	Law No. 154 of 2018, Chapter IV, Art. 4.01 (a)	Law No. 154 of 2018, Chapter IV, Art. 4.07	No
Rhode Island	RIGL 40-8.2-1	RIGL 40-8.2-1	RIGL 23-17.8-1	RIGL 40-8.2-1	RIGL 9-1.1-1	RIGL 9-1.1-4	No	Yes
South Carolina	S.C. Code Ann § 43-7-60	S.C. Code Ann § 43-7-60 (E)	S.C. Code Ann § 43-35-5 <i>et seq.</i>	S.C. Code Ann § 44-113-60	S.C. Code Ann § 43-7-60 (E)	No	S.C. Code Ann § 43-7-60 (B) & (C)	N/A
South Dakota	SDCL Ch. 22-45	SDCL 22-45-7	SDCL 22-46-2	SDCL 22-45-4	SDCL 22-45-2	No	SDCL 22-45-2	N/A
Tennessee	TCA 71-5-2601	TCA 71-5-181 <i>et seq.</i>	TCA 39-15-502, 510, 511, and 512	No	TCA 71-5-181 <i>et seq.</i>	TCA 71-5-183	TCA 71-5-2601	Yes

STATE	SPECIFIC STATUTE FOR				FALSE CLAIMS			
	MEDICAID FRAUD	CMPL/ CIVIL FRAUD	RESIDENT ABUSE	ANTI-KICKBACKS	CIVIL	QUI TAM	CRIMINAL	DRA COMPLIANT CERTIFIED
Texas	TPC Ch. 35A, THRC Ch. 36 <sup>1</sup>	THRC Ch. 36	TPC §Ch 22, TPC §32.53, TPC § 32.55 & 40 TAC 98 <sup>2</sup>	THRC § 32.039, § 32.0391 & TPC 35A.02(a)(5)	THRC Ch. 36 <sup>3</sup>	THRC Ch. 36 <sup>3</sup>	TPC Ch. 35A	Yes
Utah	Utah Code Ann. §26-20-13	Utah Code Ann. §26-20-9.5	Utah Code Ann. §76-5-111	Utah Code Ann. §26-20-4	Utah Code Ann. §26-20-9.5	No	Utah Code Ann. §26-20-1	N/A
Vermont	33 VSA § 141	33 VSA §143a	13 VSA § 1375-1385	No	32 V.S.A § 631,632 (a)	32 V.S.A § 631,632(b)	33 V.S.A § 141(d)	Yes
Virgin Islands	34 V.I.C. §680-687	34 V.I.C. §682(b)(1)	34 V.I. §§680-687	34 VI §686 (a)(1)(F)	V.I.C. Title 33 Ch. 119; 33 V.I. §3503	Yes	14 V.I.C. §§843-852	N/A
Virginia	V.A. code § 32.1-314	V.A. code § 32.1-312	V.A. code § 18.2-369	Yes	V.A. code § 32.1-312 & § 32.1-313	V.A. code §§ 8.01-216.1 et seq.	No	Yes
Washington	RCW 74.09.230	RCW 74.09.210	No <sup>4</sup>	RCW 74.09.240	RCW 74.09.210 (2)	RCW 74.66	RCW 74.09.230	Yes
West Virginia	W. Va Code § 9-7-1 et. seq.	W. Va Code § 9-7-6	W. Va. Code § 61-2-29	W. Va Code § 9-7-5	W. Va Code § 9-7-6	No	W. Va Code § 9-7-5	No
Wisconsin	Wis. Stat. § 946.91(2)	Wis. Stat. § 49.49 (4m)	Wis. Stat. § 940.295(3)	Wis. Stat. § 946.91(3)	Wis. Stat. § 49.49 (4m) & § 49.485 <sup>5</sup>	No <sup>6</sup>	Wis. Stat. § 946.91(2)	No
Wyoming	Wyo. Stat. § 42-4-406	None	Wyo. Stat. § 6-2-507, 35-20-102	Wyo. Stat. § 42-4-407	Wyo. Stat. §42-4-303. <sup>7</sup>	No	Wyo. Stat. §42-4-406	No

<sup>1</sup>TEXAS: Texas Penal Code (TPC) and Texas Human Resource Code (THRC).

<sup>2</sup>TEXAS: Texas Administrative Code (TAC).

<sup>3</sup>TEXAS: Unlawful Acts Statute.

<sup>4</sup>WASHINGTON: Charge under state criminal statutes as well as false claims act, parameters, and authority under RCW 74.66, 74.67.

<sup>5</sup>WISCONSIN: Former civil false claims act, Wis. Stat. § 20.931, included a qui tam provision. It was repealed effective July 14, 2015 but applies to claims arising before repeal.

<sup>6</sup>WISCONSIN: Former civil false claims act, Wis. Stat. § 20.931, included a qui tam provision. It was repealed effective July 14, 2015 but applies to claims arising before repeal.

<sup>7</sup>WYOMING: Medicaid specific "False Medicaid Claims."

## Medicaid and Unit Budgets

STATE	2022 MEDICAID BUDGET <sup>1</sup>	UNIT BUDGET <sup>2</sup>	REGIONAL OFFICES
Alabama	\$5.8 Billion	\$1.06 Million	None
Alaska	\$2.3 Billion	\$2.2 Million	None
Arizona	\$22.4 Billion	\$6.6 Million	2
Arkansas	\$8.1 Billion	\$2.8 Million	None
California	\$141.7 Billion	\$73.3 Million	8
Colorado	\$10.5 Billion	\$4.3 Million	None
Connecticut	\$7.5 Billion	\$3.3 Million	None
Delaware	\$2.8 Billion	\$2.7 Million	None
District of Columbia	\$3.6 Billion	\$4.5 Million	None
Florida	\$38.6 Billion	\$30.2 Million	8
Georgia	\$9.3 Billion	\$5.6 Million	None
Hawaii	\$2.8 Billion	\$2.4 Million	None
Idaho	\$2 Billion	\$1.02 Million	None
Illinois	\$34.4 Billion	\$10.7 Million	5
Indiana	\$2.9 Billion	\$8.4 Million	4
Iowa	\$8 Billion	\$1.3 Million	None
Kansas	\$3.2 Billion	\$2.7 Million	None
Kentucky	\$15.7 Billion	\$6.33Million	6
Louisiana	\$13.3 Billion	\$10.7 Million	3
Maine	\$3.1 Billion	\$1.6 Million	None
Maryland	\$11.8 Billion	\$5.8 Million	None
Massachusetts	\$19.5 Billion	\$6.6 Million	3
Michigan	\$22 Billion	\$6.9 Million	1
Minnesota	\$15.6 Billion	\$5.138 Million	None
Mississippi	\$6 Billion	\$4.9 Million	1
Missouri	\$14.1 Billion	\$3.93 Million	None
Montana	\$2.062 Billion	\$1.1 Million	None
Nebraska	\$2 Billion	\$1.2 Million	None
Nevada	\$4.2 Billion	\$3.2 Million	2
New Hampshire	\$2.1 Billion	\$1.2 Million	None
<sup>1</sup> <b>2022 MEDICAID BUDGET:</b> States with more than a billion-dollar budget are rounded off. <sup>2</sup> <b>UNIT BUDGET:</b> Fiscal year budget in effect Jan. 1, 2022 (State and Federal Match). Budgets of more than a million dollars are rounded off.			

STATE	2022 MEDICAID BUDGET <sup>1</sup>	UNIT BUDGET <sup>2</sup>	REGIONAL OFFICES
New Jersey	\$14.8 Billion	\$5.5 Million	2
New Mexico	\$6.9 Billion	\$3.77 Million	3
New York	\$92 Billion	\$65.2 Million	7
North Carolina	\$21.3 Billion	\$8.8 Million	None
North Dakota	\$1.55 Billion	\$819,930	None
Ohio	\$34.9 Billion	\$18.9 Million	None
Oklahoma	\$5.7 Billion	\$3.2 Million	2
Oregon	\$11.2 Billion	\$3.6 Million	None
Pennsylvania	\$37.1 Billion	\$13 Million	3
Puerto Rico	\$3.1 Billion	\$1.3 Million	None
Rhode Island	\$2.8 Billion	\$1.4 Million	None
South Carolina	\$7.2 Billion	\$2.6 Million	None
South Dakota	\$1.33 Million	\$580,672	None
Tennessee	\$12.9 Billion	\$9.4 Million	7
Texas	\$48.2 Billion	\$20.1 Million	8
Utah	\$4.6 Billion	\$3.2 Million	None
Vermont	\$1.9 Billion	\$1.5 Million	None
Virgin Islands	\$131.3 Million	\$500,000	None
Virginia	\$19.7 Billion	\$14.2 Million	3
Washington	\$22.95 Billion	\$10.9 Million	3
West Virginia	\$4.8 Billion	\$2 Million	None
Wisconsin	\$9.7 Billion	\$2.3 Million	None
Wyoming	\$735.5 Million	\$494,730	None

<sup>1</sup>2022 MEDICAID BUDGET: States with more than a billion-dollar budget are rounded off.

<sup>2</sup>UNIT BUDGET: Total fiscal year budget in effect Jan. 1, 2022 (State and Federal Match). Budgets of more than a million dollars are rounded off.