IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA Civil Division

DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA a municipal corporation 441 4th Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20001,	Case No
Plaintiff,	
V.	
AMERICAN HONDA MOTOR CO., INC. 1919 Torrance Boulevard Torrance, CA 90501,	
and	
HONDA OF AMERICA MFG., INC. 24000 Honda Parkway Marysville, OH 43040,	
Defendants.	

COMPLAINT FOR VIOLATIONS OF THE CONSUMER PROTECTION PROCEDURES ACT

Plaintiff, District of Columbia ("District"), by and through its Attorney General, brings this action against Defendants American Honda Motor Co., Inc. and Honda of America Mfg., Inc. (hereafter referred to collectively as "Honda" or "Defendants"), for violations of the District's Consumer Protection Procedures Act ("CPPA"), D.C. Code §§ 28-3901, et seq. In support of its claims, the District states as follows:

Jurisdiction

1. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this case pursuant to D.C. Code § 11-921 and D.C. Code § 28-3909.

2. This Court has jurisdiction over the Defendants pursuant to D.C. Code § 13-423(a) because the Defendants have transacted business within the District of Columbia at all times relevant to this complaint.

Parties

- 3. Plaintiff District of Columbia ("District"), a municipal corporation empowered to sue and be sued, is the local government for the territory constituting the permanent seat of the government of the United States. The District is represented by and through its chief legal officer, the Attorney General for the District of Columbia. The Attorney General has general charge and conduct of all legal business of the District and all suits initiated by and against the District and is responsible for upholding the public interest. D.C. Code § 1-301.81(a)(1). The Attorney General is specifically authorized to enforce the District's consumer protection laws, including the CPPA, pursuant to D.C. Code § 28-3909.
- 4. Defendant American Honda Motor Co., Inc. is a corporation located at 1919 Torrance Boulevard, Torrance, CA, 90501.
- 5. Defendant Honda of America Mfg., Inc. is a corporation located at 24000 Honda Parkway, Marysville, OH 43040.
- 6. Defendants transact business in the District of Columbia and nationwide by advertising, marketing, distributing, selling, delivering, leasing, warranting, and/or financing motor vehicles manufactured by Honda.

Trade and Commerce

7. D.C. Code § 28-3901(a)(6) defines "trade practice" as: "any act which does or would create, alter, repair, furnish, make available, provide information about, or,

directly or indirectly, solicit or offer for or effectuate, a sale, lease or transfer, of consumer goods or services."

8. Defendants were at all times relative hereto, engaged in trade or commerce in the District of Columbia, by, among other things, advertising, marketing, distributing, selling, delivering, leasing, warranting, and/or financing consumer goods in the District of Columbia.

Honda's Conduct

- 9. At all times relevant to the allegations made in this Complaint, Honda has been in the business of manufacturing private passenger vehicles, among other motor vehicles, for sale and lease in the United States. Honda effectuates the sale and lease of these vehicles through an extensive network of dealerships. As part of its business, Honda engages in nationwide advertising and marketing efforts in order to promote the sale or lease of its products to consumers.
- 10. Honda's private passenger vehicles include critical safety features, such as seatbelts and airbags. Airbags are strategically installed in locations throughout the passenger compartment of the vehicle to maximize their safety effectiveness. Each airbag's design depends on its location within the passenger compartment. Frontal airbags can be the most critical airbag in circumstances that result in deployment.
- 11. Honda has advertised, promoted, and represented, in the media and in communications to consumers, the performance of its airbags, the safety benefits of its airbags, and the overall safety of its vehicles. For example, Honda created a video commercial featuring a demonstration involving a watermelon. In that advertisement, airbags are set up in a way that objects could be dropped on them from overhead while the

airbags simultaneously deployed. In the first segment of the video, a watermelon is dropped on a Honda airbag, and it deployed in such a way that the watermelon was cushioned and did not shatter. In the second segment, when a watermelon is dropped on a non-Honda airbag, the watermelon shattered when the airbag did not deploy properly.

- 12. At all times relevant hereto, Honda purchased frontal airbag assemblies from Takata Corporation ("Takata"), a Tokyo, Japan-based corporation, for installation by Honda in various Honda and Acura model vehicles. During the time that Honda was purchasing airbags from Takata, Honda was a fractional owner of Takata.
- ammonium nitrate, a highly volatile and unstable substance, as the propellant. At the time that Takata began using ammonium nitrate, there was little to no industry experience with using it as a propellant in airbags, although it was widely understood that ammonium nitrate was unstable and could degrade because of environmental conditions, such as heat and humidity. As evidenced by later airbag ruptures, degraded ammonium nitrate ignited more quickly and forcefully than non-degraded ammonium nitrate, creating so much excess pressure that the airbags ruptured, sending metal fragments into a vehicle's passenger compartment.
- 14. Even before Takata began manufacturing airbags utilizing ammonium nitrate, Takata had revealed its then-new ammonium nitrate-based propellent formula to Honda on September 7, 1999. Honda was Takata's first customer of the Airbags, installing them in model year 2001 vehicles. (The term "Airbags" shall hereafter refer to frontal airbag assemblies which utilized ammonium nitrate as a propellant and that Honda purchased from Takata).

- 15. From the outset, Honda was aware of information indicating that the Airbags were problematic and posed an unreasonable safety risk as demonstrated by explosive failures during testing in October 1999 and January 2000, one of which was powerful enough that the force of the blast injured an observer from Honda. Honda had other indications of problems, as well, including but not limited to a rupture in May 2004 involving an Airbag installed in a Honda Accord.
- 16. In 2007, Honda became aware of at least three other field ruptures but failed to timely report these ruptures to the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration ("NHTSA"). Concerned that the Airbags were incurring a larger number of field ruptures than other types of airbags, that same year, Honda and Takata formed a joint committee to identify the root cause(s) of the ruptures. This committee ultimately determined that Honda should initiate a recall for the Airbags.
- 17. In 2008, Honda initiated a recall of only a small set of Airbags that were manufactured during a narrow time period.
- 18. In 2009, Honda reported the 2007 field ruptures to NHTSA. Following a larger recall that same year, a Honda engineer identified serious concerns with the Airbags: In July 2009, he informed his colleagues and superiors that the Airbags' inflator modules contained serious safety deficiencies. In response to the engineer's concerns, Honda and Takata redesigned the Airbags' inflator modules and began installing the redesigned Airbags in MY2010 Honda vehicles. Honda did not, however, inform regulators, including NHTSA, of the change, nor did it warn owners of vehicles with the original, deficiently designed Airbags of these safety concerns.

- 19. From 2009 on, the original Airbags continued to rupture in the field, and passengers continued to be killed or seriously injured by the shrapnel thrown off by the shattered inflator modules.
- 20. The mounting and recurrent rupture incidents culminated in the repeated, separate recalls of Honda vehicles in discrete sets over the course of seven years until, eventually, in 2015, widespread recalls of the Airbags were initiated.
- 21. In the United States, over 12.9 million Honda vehicles containing the Airbags, including 22,542 in the District of Columbia, have been recalled. Repairs performed pursuant to these recalls are still being performed at this time.
- 22. Ultimately, on January 13, 2017, Takata pled guilty to wire fraud in a federal court case brought by the United States Department of Justice in relation to Takata falsifying test data.
- 23. Despite the early and continuing indications that the Airbags posed an unreasonable safety risk, including such indications as the concerns of Honda's own engineers, the ever-increasing number of recalled Airbags, and the mounting human cost, Honda did not break with Takata and failed to adequately warn its customers of the dangers posed by the Airbags until Honda learned of the misconduct that formed the basis of the criminal allegations against Takata.

Violation of the CPPA

24. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference herein each and every allegation contained in the preceding paragraphs 1 through 23.

- 25. The CPPA is a remedial statute that is to be broadly construed. It establishes an enforceable right to truthful information from merchants about consumer goods and services that are or would be purchased, leased or received in the District of Columbia.
- 26. The vehicles that Defendants promoted, advertised, offered for sale, sold, and distributed to District consumers were purchased for personal, household or family purposes and, therefore, were consumer goods.
- 27. The Defendants, in the ordinary course of business, offer to sell, sell, or supply consumer goods and, therefore, are merchants.
- 28. The CPPA prohibits unfair or deceptive trade practices in connection with the offer, sale and distribution of consumer goods and services.
- 29. Honda, in the course of marketing, promoting, selling, and distributing its vehicles, has engaged in a course of trade or commerce which constitutes false, deceptive, or misleading acts or practices, and is therefore unfair or deceptive under the CPPA, including but not limited to representing that goods or services had characteristics, uses, or benefits that they did not have. Honda violated D.C. Code § 28-3904(a) when it misrepresented the characteristics, uses, or benefits of its Airbags or Airbag components.
- 30. Honda, in the course of marketing, promoting, selling, and distributing its vehicles, has engaged in a course of trade or commerce which constitutes false, deceptive, or misleading acts or practices, and is therefore unfair or deceptive under the CPPA, including but not limited to representing that goods or services were of a particular standard, quality, or grade, when they were of another. Honda violated D.C. Code § 28-3904(d) when it misrepresented the standards, qualities, or grades of its Airbags or Airbag components.

- 31. Honda, in the course of marketing, promoting, selling, and distributing its vehicles, has engaged in a course of trade or commerce which constitutes false, deceptive, or misleading acts or practices, and is therefore unfair or deceptive under the CPPA, including but not limited to misrepresentations regarding (a) its Airbags, (b) the safety of its Airbags, (c) the safety of any components of its Airbags, and (d) the overall safety of its vehicles, in violation of D.C. Code § 28-3904(e).
- 32. Honda, in the course of marketing, promoting, selling, and distributing its vehicles, has engaged in a course of trade or commerce which constitutes false, deceptive, or misleading acts or practices, and is therefore unfair or deceptive under the CPPA, including but not limited to failing to disclose material information concerning its Airbags or any components of its Airbags, which was known at the time of the offer and sale of its vehicles, and such failure was intended to induce consumers into the transaction into which the consumer would not have entered had the information been disclosed, in violation of D.C. Code § 28-3904(f).

Prayer for Relief

WHEREFORE, the District of Columbia respectfully requests this Court enter a judgment in its favor and grant relief against Defendants, jointly and severally, as follows:

(a) Permanently enjoin Defendants, their agents, employees, and all other persons and entities, corporate or otherwise, in active concert or participation with any of them, pursuant to D.C. Code § 28-3909(a), from violating the CPPA and engaging in false, misleading, or deceptive practices in the marketing, promotion, selling, and distribution of their vehicles;

- (b) Order the payment of civil penalties as permitted by statute pursuant to D.C.Code § 28-3909(b);
- (c) Award the District the costs of this action and reasonable attorney's fees pursuant to D.C. Code § 28-3909(b); and
 - (d) Grant such further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: August 25, 2020 KARL A. RACINE
Attorney General for the District of
Columbia

KATHLEEN KONOPKA Deputy Attorney General Public Advocacy Division

JIMMY ROCK Assistant Deputy Attorney General Public Advocacy Division

BENJAMIN WISEMAN Director, Office of Consumer Protection

/s/ Gary M. Tan GARY M. TAN [987796] Assistant Attorney General Office of the Attorney General 441 4th Street, N.W., Suite 600 South Washington, DC 20001 Tel: (202) 727-6241 Email: gary.tan@dc.gov



Superior Court of the District of Columbia CIVIL DIVISION

Civil Actions Branch 500 Indiana Avenue, N.W., Suite 5000 Washington, D.C. 20001 Telephone: (202) 879-1133 Website: www.dccourts.gov

District of Columbia

	Plaintiff		
VS.			
		Case Number	
American Honda Motor Co., Inc.			
	Defendant		

SUMMONS

To the above named Defendant:

You are hereby summoned and required to serve asswernto the attached Complaint, either personally or through an attorney, within twenty one (21) days after service of this summons upon you, exclusive of the day of service. If you are being sued as an officer or agency of the United States Government or the District of Columbia Government, you have sixty (60) days after service of this summons to serve your Answer. A copy of the Answer must be mailed to the attorney fibre plaintiff who is suing you. The attorney's name and address appear below. If plaintiff has no attorney, a copy of the Answer must be mailed to the plaintiff at the address stated on this Summons.

You are also required to file the original Answer with the Court in Suite 5000 at 500 Indiana Avenue, N.W., between 8:30 a.m. and 5:00 p.m., Mondays through Fridays or between 9:00 a.m. and 12:00 noon on Saturdays. You may file the original Answer with the Court either before you serve a copy of the Answeron the plaintiff or withinseven (7) days after you have served the plaintiff. If you'll to file an Answer, judgment by default may be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint.

Gary M. Tan	Clerk of the Court	
Name of Plaintiff's Attorney		
400 6th Street, N.W., 10th Floor	By	
Address Washington, DC 20001	Deputy Clerk	
(202) 727-6241	Date	
Telephone		
如需翻译,请打电话 (202) 879-4828 Veuillez appeler a	au (202) 879-4828 pour une traduction Để có một bài dịch, hãy gọi (202) 879-4828	
번역을 원하시면. (202) 879-4828 로 전화주센세요	ትርጉም ለማግኘት (202) 879-4828 ይደውሉ	

IMPORTANT: IF YOU FAIL TO FILE AN ANSWER WITHIN THEME STATED ABOVE, OR IF, AFTER YOU ANSWER, YOU FAIL TO APPEAR AT ANY TIME THE COURT NOTIFIES YOU TO DO SO, A JUDGMENT BY DEFAULT MAY BE ENTERED AGAINST YOU FOR THE MONEY DAMAGESTICHER RELIEF DEMANDED THE COMPLAINT. IF THIS OCCURS, YOUR WAGES MAY BE ATTACHD OR WITHHELD OR PERSONAL PROPERTY OR REAL ESTATE YOU OWN MAY BE TAKEN AND SOLD TO PAY TH JUDGMENT. IF YOU INTEND TO OPPOSE THIS ACTION, DO NOT FAIL TO ANSWER WITHIN THE REQUIRED TIME.

If you wish to talk to a lawyer and feel that you cannot afford to pay a fee to a lawyer, promptly contact one of the offices of the Legal Aid Society (202 628-1161) or the Neighborhood Legal Services (202 279-5100) for help or come to Suite 5000 at 500 Indiana Avenue, N.W., for more information concerning places where you may ask for such help.

See reverse side for Spanish translation Vea al dorso la traducción al español





TRIBUNAL SUPERIOR DEL DISTRITO DE COLUMBIA DIVISIÓN CIVIL

DIVISION CIVIL

Sección de Acciones Civiles 500 Indiana Avenue, N.W., Suite 5000, Washington, D.C. 20001 Teléfono: (202) 879-1133 Sitio web: www.dccourts.gov

	Demandante	
contra	2 4	
		Número de Caso:
American Honda Motor Co., Inc.		
	Demandado	
	CITATORIO	
Al susodicho Demandado:	CHAIOMO	
Indiana Avenue, N.W., entre las 8:30 a.m. y 5. los sábados. Usted puede presentar la Cont demandante una copia de la Contestación o en usted incumple con presentar una Contestaci efectivo el desagravio que se busca en la dema Gary M. Tan Nombre del abogado del Demandante	ega del citatorio. Si usted est de Nortaárica o del Gobiern d haya recib ido este citatio tación adlogado de la parte o Si eldemandado no tiene abo ción que aparece en este Citato tar la Contestaión original al :00 p.m., de lunes a viernes o testacióniginal ante el Juez y n el plazo de siete (7) días de ión, poídr dictarse un fallo er anda.	á sixdo demandado en calidad de oficial o do del Distrito de Columbia, riœusted, para entregar su Contestación. Tiene que demandante. El nombre y dirixon del ogado, tiene que enviarle al demandante una orio. Tribunal en la Oficina 5000, sito en 500 entre las 9:00 a.m. y las 12:00 del mediodía ya sea antes quisted le entregue al haberle hecho la entrega al demandante. Si
400 6th Street, N.W., 10th Floor	Por:	0.1
Dirección Washington, DC 20001		Subsecretario
(202) 727-6241 Feléfono	Fecha	

IMPORTANTE: SI USTED INCUMPLE CON PRESENTAR UN**TESCIONC**IÓN EN EL PLAZO ANTES MENCIONADO O, SI LUEGO DE CONTESTAR, USTED NO COMP**R**ECE CUANDO LE AVISE EL JUZGADO, PODRÍA DICTARSE UN FALLO EN REBELDÍA CONTRA USTED PARA QUE SE LE COBRE LOS DAÑOS Y PERJUICIOS U OTRO DESAGRAVIO QUE SE BUSQUE EN LA DEMANDA. SI ESTO OCURRE, PODRÍA RETENÉRSE LE SUS INGRESOS, O PODRÍA TOMÁRSELE SUS BIENES PERSONALES O BIENES RAÍCES Y SER VENDIDOS PARA PAGAR EL FALLO. SI USTED PRETENDE OPONERSE A ESTA ACCIÓN, <u>NO DEJE DE CONTESTAR LA DEMANDA DENTRO DEL PLAZO EXIGIDO</u>.

Si desea conversar con un abogado y le parece que no puede pagarle a uno, llame pronto a una de nuestras oficinas del Legal Aid Society (202-628-1161) o el Neighborhood Legal Services (202 279-5100) para pedir ayuda o venga a la Oficina 5000 de 500 Indiana Avenue, N.W., para informarse sobre otros lugares donde puede pedirayuda al respecto.

Vea al dorso el original en inglés See reverse side for English original



Superior Court of the District of Columbia CIVIL DIVISION

Civil Actions Branch 500 Indiana Avenue, N.W., Suite 5000 Washington, D.C. 20001 Telephone: (202) 879-1133 Website: www.dccourts.gov

District of Columbia

District of Columbia			
	Plaintiff		
VS.			
		Case Number	
Honda of America Mfg., Inc.			
	Defendant		

SUMMONS

To the above named Defendant:

You are hereby summoned and required to serve asswernto the attached Complaint, either personally or through an attorney, within twenty one (21) days after service of this summons upon you, exclusive of the day of service. If you are being sued as an officer or agency of the United States Government or the District of Columbia Government, you have sixty (60) days after service of this summons to serve your Answer. A copy of the Answer must be mailed to the attorney fibre plaintiff who is suing you. The attorney's name and address appear below. If plaintiff has no attorney, a copy of the Answer must be mailed to the plaintiff at the address stated on this Summons.

You are also required to file the original Answer with the Court in Suite 5000 at 500 Indiana Avenue, N.W., between 8:30 a.m. and 5:00 p.m., Mondays through Fridays or between 9:00 a.m. and 12:00 noon on Saturdays. You may file the original Answer with the Court either before you serve a copy of the Answeron the plaintiff or withinseven (7) days after you have served the plaintiff. If you'll to file an Answer, judgment by default may be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint.

Gary M. Tan	Clerk of the Court	
Name of Plaintiff's Attorney		
400 6th Street, N.W., 10th Floor	By	
Address Washington, DC 20001	Deputy Clerk	
(202) 727-6241	Date	
Telephone	(900) 970 4000	
如需翻译,请打电话 (202) 879-4828 Veuillez appeler a 번역을 원하시 택. (202) 879-4828로 개화조 센씨와 약 8명(202) 879-4828로 개화조선에서와 약 8명(202) 879-4828로 개화조선에서와 약 8명(202) 879-4828로 개화조선에서와 약 8명(202) 879-4828로 개최조선에서와 약 8명(202) 879-4828로 제최조선에서와 약 8명(202) 879-4828로 제제조선에서와 약 899-4828로 제제조선에서와 약 8명(202) 879-4828로 제제조선에서와 약 899-4828로 제제조선에서와 약 8명(202) 879-4828로 제제조선에서와 약 8명(202) 879-4828로	au (202) 879-4828 pour une traduction	

IMPORTANT: IF YOU FAIL TO FILE AN ANSWER WITHIN THEME STATED ABOVE, OR IF, AFTER YOU ANSWER, YOU FAIL TO APPEAR AT ANY TIME THE COURT NOTIFIES YOU TO DO SO, A JUDGMENT BY DEFAULT MAY BE ENTERED AGAINST YOU FOR THE MONEY DAMAGESTICHER RELIEF DEMANDED THE COMPLAINT. IF THIS OCCURS, YOUR WAGES MAY BE ATTACHD OR WITHHELD OR PERSONAL PROPERTY OR REAL ESTATE YOU OWN MAY BE TAKEN AND SOLD TO PAY TH JUDGMENT. IF YOU INTEND TO OPPOSE THIS ACTION, DO NOT FAIL TO ANSWER WITHIN THE REQUIRED TIME.

If you wish to talk to a lawyer and feel that you cannot afford to pay a fee to a lawyer, promptly contact one of the offices of the Legal Aid Society (202 628-1161) or the Neighborhood Legal Services (202 279-5100) for help or come to Suite 5000 at 500 Indiana Avenue, N.W., for more information concerning places where you may ask for such help.

See reverse side for Spanish translation Vea al dorso la traducción al español





District of Columbia

TRIBUNAL SUPERIOR DEL DISTRITO DE COLUMBIA DIVISIÓN CIVIL

Sección de Acciones Civiles

500 Indiana Avenue, N.W., Suite 5000, Washington, D.C. 20001 Teléfono: (202) 879-1133 Sitio web: www.dccourts.gov

Demandante	
contra	
	Número de Caso:
Honda of America Mfg., Inc.	
Demandado	
CITATORIO	
Al susodicho Demandado:	
Por la presente se le cita a comparecer y se le require entregar u	ina Contestación a la Demanda adjunta sea en
persona o por medio de un abogado, en el plazo de veintiún (21) días citatorio, excluyendo el día mismo de la entrega del citatorio. Si usted	1 1
agente del Gobierno de los Estados Unidos de Nortaárica o del Gobi	
sesenta (60) días contados después que usted haya recib ido este cita	

A usted también se le require presentar la Contestación original al Tribunal en la Oficina 5000, sito en 500 Indiana Avenue, N.W., entre las 8:30 a.m. y 5:00 p.m., de lunes a viernes o entre las 9:00 a.m. y las 12:00 del mediodía los sábados. Usted puede presentar la Contestacióniginal ante el Juez ya sea antes que de entregue al demandante una copia de la Contestación o en el plazo de siete (7) días de haberle hecho la entrega al demandante. Si usted incumple con presentar una Contestación, podr dictarse un fallo en rebeldía contra usted paque se haga efectivo el desagravio que se busca en la demanda

enviarle por correo una copia de su Contestación abogado de la parte demandante. El nombre y dirient del abogado aparecen al final de este documento. Si el demandado no tiene abogado, tiene que enviarle al demandante una

copia de la Contestación por correo a la dirección que aparece en este Citatorio.

efectivo el desagravio que se busca en la demanda.	
Gary M. Tan	SECRETARIO DEL TRIBUNAL
Nombre del abogado del Demandante	
400 6th Street, N.W., 10th Floor	Por:
Dirección	Subsecretario
Washington, DC 20001	
(202) 727-6241	Fecha
Teléfono	
如需翻译,请打电话 (202) 879-4828 Veuillez appeler au (20	02) 879-4828 pour une traduction Để có một bài dịch, hãy gọi (202) 879-4828
世紀22周孙炽顿(202)879-4828 豆豆烟香辛丛402	የአማርኛ ትርጉም ለማግኘት (202) 879-4828 ይደውሉ

IMPORTANTE: SI USTED INCUMPLE CON PRESENTAR UNA SCIONCIÓN EN EL PLAZO ANTES MENCIONADO O, SI LUEGO DE CONTESTAR, USTED NO COMPRECE CUANDO LE AVISE EL JUZGADO, PODRÍA DICTARSE UN FALLO EN REBELDÍA CONTRA USTED PARA QUE SE LE COBRE LOS DAÑOS Y PERJUICIOS U OTRO DESAGRAVIO QUE SE BUSQUE EN LA DEMANDA. SI ESTO OCURRE, PODRÍA RETENÉRSE LE SUS INGRESOS, O PODRÍA TOMÁRSELE SUS BIENES PERSONALES O BIENES RAÍCES Y SER VENDIDOS PARA PAGAR EL FALLO. SI USTED PRETENDE OPONERSE A ESTA ACCIÓN, <u>NO DEJE DE CONTESTAR LA DEMANDA DENTRO DEL PLAZO EXIGIDO</u>.

Si desea conversar con un abogado y le parece que no puede pagarle a uno, llame pronto a una de nuestras oficinas del Legal Aid Society (202-628-1161) o el Neighborhood Legal Services (202 279-5100) para pedir ayuda o venga a la Oficina 5000 de 500 Indiana Avenue, N.W., para informarse sobre otros lugares donde puede pedirayuda al respecto.

Vea al dorso el original en inglés See reverse side for English original

Superior Court of the District of Columbia

CIVIL DIVISION- CIVIL ACTIONS BRANCH

INFORMATION SHEET

District of Columbia	Case Number:
VS	Date:
American Honda Motor Co., Inc., et al.	One of the defendants is being sued
	in their official capacity.
Name: (Please Print) Gary M. Tan	Relationship to Lawsuit
Firm Name: DC Office of the Attorney General	X Attorney for Plaintiff
Telephone No.: 202-727-6241 Six digit Unified Ba	Self (Pro Se)
Telephone No.: 202-727-0241 Six digit Offfice Ba	Other:
TYPE OF CASE: Non-Jury 6 Pers	son Jury X 12 Person Jury Other:
PENDING CASE(S) RELATED TO THE ACTION B	EING FILED
Case No.: Judge:	Calendar #:
Case No.: Judge:	Calendar#:
NATURE OF SUIT: (Check One Box Only)	
A. CONTRACTS COLI	LECTION CASES
☐ 02 Breach of Warranty ☐ 6 Negotiable Instrument ☐ 7 Personal Property ☐ 13 Employment Discrimination ☐ 15 Special Education Fees ☐ 17 OVER \$25,0 ☐ 27 Insurance/S ☐ 07 Insurance/S ☐ Under \$25,0 ☐ 28 Motion to C	00 Pltf. Grants Consent Over \$25,000 Consent Denied
B. PROPERTY TORTS	
1 Automobile 03 Destruction 2 Conversion 04 Property Date 07 Shoplifting, D.C. Code § 27-102 (a)	of Private Property 05 Trespass mage
C. PERSONAL TORTS	
	ander Not Malpractice) Iterference 18Wrongful Death (Not Malpractice) rosecution 19 Wrongful Eviction Legal 20 Friendly Suit dical(Including Wrongful Death) 21 Asbestos (Not Automobile, 22 Toxic/Mass Torts

SEE REVERSE SIDE AND CHECK HERE IF USED

Information Sheet, Continued

C. OTHERS O1 Accounting O2 Att. Before Judgment O5 Ejectment S9 Special Writ/Warrants (DC Code § 11-941) I0 Traffic Adjudication I1 Writ of Replevin I2 Enforce Mechanics Lien I6 Declaratory Judgment	☐ 17 Merit Personnel Act (OEA) (D.C. Code Title 1, Chapter 6) ☐ 18 Product Liability ☐ 24 Application to Confirm, Modify, Vacate Arbitration Award (DC Code ☐ 29 Merit Personnel Act (OHR) ☐ 31 Housing Code Regulations ☐ 32 Qui Tam ☐ 33 Whistleblower	e § 16-4401)	
II. 03 Change of Name 06 Foreign Judgment/Domestic 08 Foreign Judgment/Internation 13 Correction of Birth Certificate 14 Correction of Marriage Certificate 26 Petition for Civil Asset Forfe 27 Petition for Civil Asset Forfe 28 Petition for Civil Asset Forfe	2-1802.03 (h) or 32-151 9 (a)] 20 Master Meter (D.C. Code § 42-3301, et seq.) iture (Vehicle) iture (Currency)	☐ 21 Petition for Subpoena [Rule 28-I (b)] ☐ 22 Release Mechanics Lien ☐ 23 Rule 27(a)(1) (Perpetuate Testimony) ☐ 24 Petition for Structured Settlement ☐ 25 Petition for Liquidation	
D. REAL PROPERTY 09 Real Property-Real Estate 08 Quiet Title 25 Liens: Tax / Water Consent Granted 04 Condemnation (Eminent Domain) 30 Liens: Tax / Water Consent Denied 10 Mortgage Foreclosure/Judicial Sale 31 Tax Lien Bid Off Certificate Consent Granted 11 Petition for Civil Asset Forfeiture (RP)			
/s/ Gary M. Tan		August 25, 2020	
Attorney's Signatur		Date	